



# BUSINESS BLUEPRINT

**SOUTH32 WORSLEY ALUMINA (ABN 58 008 905 155)**

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Table of Contents	Topic	Page
	1 Declaration of Accuracy	4
	2 Executive Summary	5
	3 Reference to EPA and DCCEE Frameworks	8
	4 Conditions of Approval	8
	5 Context and Scope	14
	5.1 Proposal	14
	5.2 Legislative Requirements	17
	5.3 Key Environmental Factor	17
	6 Rationale and Approach	18
	6.1 Environmental Outcomes and Objectives	18
	6.2 Survey and Study Findings	19
	6.3 Key Assumptions and Uncertainties	25
	6.4 Objective-Based EMP – Risk Based Approach	25
	6.5 Rationale for Choice of Indicators and/or Management Actions	25
	6.6 Management and Mitigation Controls	34
	7 Flora and Vegetation Monitoring Programs	41
	7.1 Targeted Flora Surveys – Protected Areas	44
	7.2 Targeted Vegetation Condition Assessment– Protected Areas & Ecological Linkages	44
	7.3 Flora and Vegetation Establishment – Rehabilitation	44
	7.4 Forest Controls	45
	7.5 Pre-clearance Flora & Vegetation Surveys	45
	7.6 Targeted <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> & <i>C. dorrieni</i> Population Surveys	45
	7.7 Targeted <i>Caladenia caesarea subsp Mooradung</i> Population Surveys	47
	7.8 Forest Hygiene Mapping	47
	7.9 Regional Fragmentation Assessment	47
	7.10 Regional Vegetation Condition Assessment	47
	8 EMP Provisions	47
	8.1 Outcome-Based Provisions	47
	8.2 Objective-Based Provisions	58
	9 Adaptive Management and Review	65
	9.1 Adaptive Management and Plan Review	65
	9.2 Compliance Auditing	65
	10 Reporting	65
	10.1 Reporting under Ministerial Conditions	65
	10.2 Annual COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT	66
	10.3 Worsley State Agreement and 10-Year Mine Plan	66
	10.4 Annual Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (Annual Compliance)	66
	11 Environmental Management Roles and Responsibilities	67
	12 Stakeholder Consultation	69
	12.1 Department of Biodiversity, Conservation & Attractions	69
	12.2 Other Stakeholder Consultation	73
	13 Definitions, Terms and Abbreviations	74
	14 References	76

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

	15	Document Control	78
		Appendix A - Risk Assessment	80
		Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	85
<b>Table of Figures</b>	Figure		Page
	Figure 5-1:	Worsley project location	15
	Figure 5-2:	2025 Pre-clearance Survey Summary (March 2026)	16
	Figure 6-1:	Threatened Species, Priority species and Old Growth Forest Locations	24
	Figure 7-1	<i>C. hopperiana</i> and <i>C. dorrienii</i> Populations for Monitoring and Control Sites	46
<b>Table of Tables</b>	Table		Page
	Table 2-1:	Flora and Vegetation Management Plan Summary Table	5
	Table 3-1:	Location of relevant contents required in WA EPA and DCCEEW EMP Frameworks	8
	Table 4-1:	Applicable EP Act Approval Conditions	9
	Table 5-1:	Relevant Commonwealth and State legislation and regulations	17
	Table 6-1:	Vegetation community survey program	19
	Table 6-2:	Threatened and Priority Flora and Vegetation Communities included under Condition B12-1 of MS1237	21
	Table 6-3:	Threatened Species Conservation Advice	26
	Table 6-4:	Threat Abatement Plan	26
	Table 6-5:	Indicators Selected for ensuring compliance with environmental outcomes	28
	Table 6-6:	Flora and Vegetation Pre-Clearance Survey and Management Summary	37
	Table 7-1:	Flora and Vegetation Monitoring Programs – Revised Proposal	43
	Table 7-2:	Summary of recorded <i>C. hopperiana</i> abundance over time	45
	Table 7-3:	Summary of recorded <i>C. dorrienii</i> abundance over time	45
	Table 8-1:	Outcome-based Provisions	49
	Table 8-2:	Trigger and Threshold values for Environmental Values for MS1237 Condition B12-1(1)	57
	Table 8-3:	Trigger and Threshold values for Environmental Values for MS1237 Condition B12-1(3)	57
	Table 8-4:	Objective-based Provisions	59
	Table 11-1:	Roles and Responsibilities	67
	Table 12-1	DBCA Consultation Regarding Development of Flora and Vegetation Management and Development of this Management Plan	70
	Table 12-2:	Stakeholder Consultation Summary	73
	Table 13-1:	Terms and Abbreviations	74

## 1 DECLARATION OF ACCURACY

I declare that:

1. I am aware that:
  - a) Section 491 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) makes it an offence in certain circumstances to knowingly provide false or misleading information or documents to specified persons who are known to be performing a duty or carrying out a function under the EPBC Act or the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000 (Cth).
  - b) Section 112 of the EP Act makes it an offence to give or cause to be given information that to the person's knowledge is false or misleading to the Minister, the Authority, the CEO, a police officer, an inspector or an authorised person.
  - c) The above offences are punishable on conviction by imprisonment or a fine or both.
2. I am authorised to bind the approval holder to this declaration and that I have no knowledge of that authorisation being revoked at the time of making this declaration.

Signed



Full name (please print)



Organisation (please print)

South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

Date: 11 / 03 / 2026

## 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (Worsley), as operator for and on behalf of the Worsley Bauxite-Alumina Joint Venture, is the proponent for the Worsley Mine Expansion Revised Proposal (the Revised Proposal).

Worsley (the Proponent) proposes to continue activities that are currently approved or exempt and to expand those operations, providing access to future bauxite reserves and resources within the Primary Assessment Area (PAA) to sustain production at the Worsley Alumina Refinery near Collie. This expansion includes three main components:

- The Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE), within which the next phase of mining is proposed to take place, within existing areas as well as expansion areas to the west and north of current operations. Worsley Alumina would continue to utilise existing crushing and conveying infrastructure.
- The Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC), which would link current mining areas to new and future mining areas.
- The Contingency Bauxite Mining Envelope (CBME), which would provide for an emergency supply of bauxite close to the Refinery should it be required.

The Revised Proposal is described in its entirety in the referral for the Revised Proposal and the referral supporting document (Worsley, 2019) and the Response to Submissions document (Worsley, 2024).

This Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (FVMP) was prepared in accordance with the 'Instructions on how to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV Environmental Management Plans' published by the Western Australian (WA) Environment Protection Authority (EPA) (EPA, 2024) and the 'Environmental Management Plan Guidelines' published by the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (DCCEEW, 2024). This FVMP details the framework for management of flora and vegetation within the Primary Assessment Area (PAA). The FVMP describes the strategies and procedures that will be implemented to assist Worsley in meeting its environmental outcomes and objectives to minimise the impact of bauxite mining and transport activities to flora and vegetation. A summary of the information contained in this FVMP is provided in Table 2-1.

**Table 2-1: Flora and Vegetation Management Plan Summary Table**

<b>Proposal Name</b>	Worsley Mine Expansion Revised Proposal
<b>Proponent Name</b>	South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd
<b>Ministerial Statement</b>	Ministerial Statement 1237
<b>Commonwealth Assessment</b>	EPBC 2019/8437
<b>Purpose of FVMP</b>	<p>This FVMP provides a framework for the management of impacts to flora and vegetation in accordance with condition B12-6 of MS1237.</p> <p>The FVMP is designed to fulfil the Ministers conditions set out under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act), <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)</i> (EP Act) and Commonwealth Approval Decision EPBC 2019/8437.</p> <p>The FVMP describes the strategies and procedures that will be implemented to assist Worsley with compliance with the conditions of approval and minimise the impact of bauxite mining and transport activities to flora and vegetation.</p>
<b>Key environmental factor/s, outcome/s and/or objectives</b>	<p>The key environmental factor is Flora and Vegetation</p> <p><b>Environmental Outcomes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation;</li> <li>(2) ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) threatened flora including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>;</li> <li>(b) <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung;</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

- (c) *Papistylus intropubens* and *Synaphea panhesya*;
  - (d) the Mount Saddleback Heath Communities Priority Ecological Community and vegetation type G4;
  - (e) other significant vegetation;
  - (f) other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO; and
  - (g) old growth forest.
- (3) ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to more than:
- (a) 2% of the known population of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex*;
  - (b) 8% of the known population of *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington;
  - (c) 2% of the known population of *Hibbertia ambita*, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;
  - (d) 2% of the known population of *Halgania corymbosa*, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;
  - (e) 11.9 ha of Williams vegetation complex after the date of this Statement; and
  - (f) 332.5 ha of Michibin vegetation complex after the date of this Statement.
- (4) ensure that the proposal does not cause or contribute to the introduction and/or spread of forest disease, including *Phytophthora cinnamomi*, outside of areas identified as infected by the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3.

### Environmental Objective is:

- 1) avoid where practicable or otherwise minimise indirect impacts to flora and vegetation including but not limited to impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation.

<b>Condition clauses</b>	<b>B12-6</b>	<p>In order to meet the outcomes of condition B12-1, objectives of condition B12-2 and satisfy the requirements of condition C4, within twelve (12) months from the date of this Statement, and annually thereafter, the proponent shall prepare and submit an annual Flora and Vegetation Environmental Management Plan and submit it to the CEO on advice from DBCA. This plan shall:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. include details of the timing, methods, limitations, survey effort and results of the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3, B12-4 and B12-5 and demonstrate how the findings of the survey(s) have been considered, including identification of mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. demonstrate buffer zones are appropriately sized to adequately protect the environmental values listed in conditions B12-1(2) and B12-1(3), from the effects of forest disease, dust, weeds, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation; and</li> <li>3. include actions to ensure that forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation, are appropriately managed to ensure the environmental outcomes listed in conditions B12-1(2) and B12-1(3) are met.</li> </ol>
--------------------------	--------------	--

<b>B12-1</b>	<p>The proponent must ensure the implementation of the proposal achieves the following environmental outcomes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation after the date of this Statement.</li> <li>2. ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) threatened flora including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>;</li> <li>(b) <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung;</li> <li>(c) <i>Papistylus intropubens</i> and <i>Synaphea panhesya</i>;</li> <li>(d) the Mount Saddleback Heath Communities Priority Ecological Community and vegetation type G4;</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
--------------	--

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

	<p>(e) other significant vegetation;                  (f) other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO; and                  (g) old growth forest.</p> <p>3. ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to more than:                  (a) 2% of the known population of <i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i>;                  (b) 8% of the known population of <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington;                  (c) 2% of the known population of <i>Hibbertia ambita</i>, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;                  (d) 2% of the known population of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i>, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;                  (e) 11.9 ha of Williams vegetation complex after the date of this Statement;                  and                  (f) 332.5 ha of Michibin vegetation complex after the date of this Statement.</p> <p>4. ensure that the proposal does not cause or contribute to the introduction and/or spread of forest disease, including <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i>, outside of areas identified as infected by the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3.</p>
<b>B12-2</b>	<p>The proponent shall implement the proposal to achieve the following environmental objective:</p> <p>1. Avoid where practicable or otherwise minimise indirect impacts to flora and vegetation including but not limited to impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation.</p>
<b>B12-3</b>	<p>Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, the proponent shall undertake surveys and forest disease mapping of that area consistent with DBCA's Phytophthora Dieback Interpreters Manual for Lands Managed by the Department and with DBCA's Phytophthora Dieback Management Manual, as amended or replaced from time to time.</p>
<b>B12-4</b>	<p>Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, the proponent shall map the extent of old growth forest of that area in accordance with DBCA's Procedures for the assessment, identification and demarcation of old-growth forest, as amended or replaced from time to time.</p>
<b>B12-5</b>	<p>Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, the proponent must undertake targeted pre-clearance vegetation and flora survey(s) of that area, in accordance with Technical guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment, or any approved updates of these guidelines. Targeted pre-clearance surveys shall:</p> <p>1. target the following species and communities, but is not limited to:                  (a) threatened flora;                  (b) priority flora;                  (c) new species, or undescribed species; and                  (d) threatened and priority ecological communities and vegetation type G4.</p> <p>2. require appropriate botanists with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion, for pre-clearance surveys of <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. <i>Mooradung</i> and threatened orchid species, including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>.</p>
<b>B12-7</b>	<p>The proponent shall not commence ground disturbing activities within an area subject to the annual Flora and Vegetation Environmental Management Plan under condition B12-6, unless that plan has been approved by the CEO.</p>
<b>Environmental Management Plan required prior to implementation of proposal?</b>	Yes

### 3 REFERENCE TO EPA AND DCCEEV FRAMEWORKS

This FVMP has been produced to align with the following guidance documentation:

- Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEV) (2024) Environmental management plan guidelines; and
- Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) (2024) How to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV environmental management plans.

The combined relevant contents required in each of the frameworks and where they are located in this plan are included in Table 3-1.

**Table 3-1: Location of relevant contents required in WA EPA and DCCEEV EMP Frameworks**

DCCEEV Framework Requirements	Plan Ref (Section)	WA EPA Framework Requirements	Plan Ref (Section)
Executive summary or introduction	Section 2	Executive summary	Section 2
Conditions of approval table	Section 4	Proposal	Section 5.1
Project description	Section 5.1	Key environmental factors	Section 5.3
Objectives	Section 6.1	Condition requirements	Section 4
Environmental management roles and responsibilities	Section 11	Rationale and approach	Section 6
Reporting	Section 10	EMP Components	Section 8
Potential environmental impacts and risks	Section 5.3.1	Outcome-based EMPs	Section 8.1
Environmental management measures	Section 6.6 Section 8	Objective-based EMPs	Section 8.2
Audit and review	Section 9	Adaptive management and review of the EMPs	Section 9
Glossary	Section 13	Stakeholder consultation	Section 12
Evaluating Risks	Appendix A - Risk Assessment	Changes to an EMP	Section 9.1 Section 15

### 4 CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The FVMP is a requirement under Ministerial Statement 1237. The relevant conditions are listed in Table 4-1.

**Table 4-1: Applicable EP Act Approval Conditions**

Ref	Cond.	Condition Requirement	Section	Key commitments and activities
MS1237	B12-1	The proponent must ensure the implementation of the proposal achieves the following environmental outcomes:	N/A	N/A
MS1237	B12-1(1)	Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation.	8.1	Protection commitments described in Section 8.1. Outcome-based provisions described.
MS1237	B12-1(2)	Ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to: (a) threatened flora including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> ; (b) <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung; (c) <i>Papistylus intropubens</i> and <i>Synaphea panhesya</i> ; (d) the Mount Saddleback Heath Communities Priority Ecological Community and vegetation type G4; (e) other significant vegetation; (f) other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO; and (g) old growth forest.	8.1	Protection commitments described in Section 8.1. Outcome and objective-based provisions described.
MS1237	B12-1(3)	Ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to more than: (a) 2% of the known population of <i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. simplex; (b) 8% of the known population of <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. <i>Prostrate Boddington</i> ; (c) 2% of the known population of <i>Hibberia ambita</i> until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population; (d) 2% of the known population of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i> , until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population; (e) 11.9 ha of Williams vegetation complex after the date of this Statement; and (f) 332.5 ha of Michibin vegetation complex after the date of this Statement.	8.1	Protection commitments described in Section 8.1. Outcome and objective-based provisions described.
MS1237	B12-1(4)	Ensure that the proposal does not cause or contribute to the introduction and/or spread of forest disease, including <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> , outside of areas identified as infected by the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3.	8.1	Protection commitments described in Section 8.1. Outcome and objective-based provisions described. Biodiversity indicators and outcome-based provisions described.

Ref	Cond.	Condition Requirement	Section	Key commitments and activities
MS1237	B12-2	The proponent shall implement the proposal to achieve the following environmental objective: (1) avoid where practicable or otherwise minimise indirect impacts to flora and vegetation including but not limited to impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation.	8.2	Application of a buffer to specific environmental values within Protected Areas Dust suppression (water and / or chemical) on haul roads and open areas.
MS1237	B12-3	Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, the proponent shall undertake surveys and forest disease mapping of that area consistent with DBCA's <i>Phytophthora Dieback Interpreters Manual for Lands Managed by the Department</i> and with DBCA's <i>Phytophthora Dieback Management Manual</i> , as amended or replaced from time to time.	8.1	Coordinated controls and strategic controls described in Section 8.1 and Section 8.2 Outcome and objective-based provisions described.
MS1237	B12-4	Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, the proponent shall map the extent of old growth forest of that area in accordance with DBCA's <i>Procedures for the assessment, identification and demarcation of old-growth forest</i> , as amended or replaced from time to time.	6.6.5 8.1	Controls implemented under the Protected Areas Implementation and Management Procedure. Objective based provisions described.
MS1237	B12-5	Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, the proponent must undertake targeted pre-clearance vegetation and flora survey(s) of that area, in accordance with <i>Technical guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment</i> , or any approved updates of these guidelines. Targeted pre-clearance surveys shall:		Strategic controls implemented in accordance with Section 8.1 and 6.6.
MS1237	B12-5(1)	target the following species and communities, but is not limited to: a. threatened flora; b. priority flora; c. new species, or undescribed species; and b. threatened and priority ecological communities and vegetation type G4.	8.1	Strategic controls implemented in accordance with Section 8.1 and 6.6. Objective based provisions described.
MS1237	B12-5(2)	require appropriate botanists with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion, for pre-clearance surveys of <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung and threatened orchid species, including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> .	6.6.5	Preclearance surveys for Orchids to be undertaken by appropriately qualified botanists.
MS1237	B12-6	In order to meet the outcomes of condition B12-1, objectives of condition B12-2 and satisfy the requirements of condition C4, within twelve (12) months from the date of this Statement, and	10.1	The FVMP has been developed to meet condition B12-6 and will be submitted annually to the CEO in accordance with the actions described in Section 10.1.

Ref	Cond.	Condition Requirement	Section	Key commitments and activities
		annually thereafter, the proponent shall prepare and submit an annual Flora and Vegetation Environmental Management Plan and submit it to the CEO on advice from DBCA. This plan shall:		
MS1237	B12-6(1)	include details of the timing, methods, limitations, survey effort and results of the pre-clearance surveys required by conditions B12-3, B12-4 and B12-5 and demonstrate how the findings of the survey(s) have been considered, including identification of mitigation measures;	8.2	The FVMP has been developed to meet condition B12-6 and will be submitted annually to the CEO in accordance with the actions described in Section 10.1.
MS1237	B12-6(2)	demonstrate buffer zones are appropriately sized to adequately protect the environmental values listed in conditions B12-1(2) and B12-1(3), from the effects of forest disease, dust, weeds, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation; and	8.2	The FVMP has been developed to meet condition B12-6 and will be submitted annually to the CEO in accordance with the actions described in Section 10.
MS1237	B12-6(3)	include actions to ensure that forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation, are appropriately managed to ensure the environmental outcomes listed in conditions B12-1(2) and B12-1(3) are met.	8.2	The FVMP has been developed to meet condition B12-6 and will be submitted annually to the CEO in accordance with the actions described in Section 10.
MS1237	B12-7	The proponent shall not commence ground disturbing activities within an area subject to the annual Flora and Vegetation Environmental Management Plan under condition B12-6, unless that plan has been approved by the CEO.	10	Controls implemented in accordance with Section 10. Disturbance areas under the scope of this FVMP are defined in section 5.1.2 and Figure 5-2..
MS1237	C4-1	The plans required under conditions B3-1, B12-6, B13-7, B14-3, B15-4, B15-7, B15-9, B15-11, B16-2 and B17-3 must contain provisions which enable the substantiation of whether the relevant outcomes of those conditions are met, and must include:	8	
MS1237	C4-1 (1)	<b>threshold criteria</b> that provide a limit beyond which the environmental outcomes are not achieved	8.1	The FVMP includes threshold criteria in Table 8-1, Table 8-2 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-1 (2)	<b>trigger criteria</b> that will provide an early warning that the environmental outcomes are not likely to be met;	8.1	The FVMP includes trigger criteria in Table 8-1, Table 8-2 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-1 (3)	monitoring parameters, sites, control/reference sites, methodology, timing and frequencies which will be used to measure <b>threshold criteria</b> and <b>trigger criteria</b> . Include methodology for determining alternate monitoring sites as a contingency if proposed sites are not suitable in the future;	8.1 & 8.2	
MS1237	C4-1 (4)	Baseline data	6.2	

Ref	Cond.	Condition Requirement	Section	Key commitments and activities
MS1237	C4-1 (5)	data collection and analysis methodologies	7	
MS1237	C4-1 (6)	adaptive management methodology	9	
MS1237	C4-1 (7)	<b>contingency measures</b> which will be implemented if <b>threshold criteria</b> or <b>trigger criteria</b> are not met; and	8.1	
MS1237	C4-1 (8)	Reporting requirements	10	
MS1237	C4-3	The environmental management plan required under condition B12-6 shall include		
MS1237	C4-3 (1)	annual monitoring of <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> and <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung individuals in the <b>PAA</b> . Monitoring is to be undertaken by appropriate botanists with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion. Monitoring shall address, but is not limited to, the following parameters:	Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	
MS1237	C4-3 (1)(a)	Number of individuals	Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	
MS1237	C4-3 (1)(b)	Plant health and	Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	
MS1237	C4-3 (1)(c)	Vegetation condition	Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	
MS1237	C4-3 (2)	comparison of monitoring results against:		
MS1237	C4-3 (2)(a)	the preceding year; and	Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	
MS1237	C4-3 (2)(b)	The baseline	Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report	
MS1237	C4-3	For <b>disturbance</b> or adverse impacts to priority flora in condition B12-1(3), a <b>trigger criteria</b> that is less than:	8.1	The FVMP includes trigger criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-3 (3)(a)	8% for the <b>known population</b> of <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington;	8.1	The FVMP includes trigger criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3

Ref	Cond.	Condition Requirement	Section	Key commitments and activities
MS1237	C4-3(3)(b)	10% where more than 100 individuals have been recorded or 2% where less than 100 individuals have been recorded for the <b>known population</b> of <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> ; and	8.1	The FVMP includes trigger criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-3 (3)(c)	2% of the <b>known population</b> of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i> , until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the <b>known population</b> of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	8.1	The FVMP includes trigger criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-3 (4)	For disturbance or adverse impacts to priority flora in condition B12-1(3), a threshold criteria of:	8.1	The FVMP includes threshold criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-3 (4)(a)	8% for the <b>known population</b> of <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington;	8.1	The FVMP includes threshold criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-3 (4)(b)	10% where more than 100 individuals have been recorded or 2% where less than 100 individuals have been recorded for the <b>known population</b> of <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> ; and	8.1	The FVMP includes threshold criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C4-3 (4)(c)	2% of the <b>known population</b> of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i> , until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the <b>known population</b> of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	8.1	The FVMP includes threshold criteria in Table 8-1 and Table 8-3
MS1237	C5-1	The plans required under conditions B3-1,B12-6, B13-7, B14-3, B15-4, B15-7, B15-9, B15-11, B16-2 and B17-3 must contain provisions which enable the achievement of the relevant objectives of those conditions and substantiation of whether the objectives are reasonably likely to be met, and must include:		
MS1237	C5-1 (1)	<b>Management actions</b>	8.2	The FVMP includes management actions in Table 8-4
MS1237	C5-1 (2)	<b>Management targets</b>	8.2	The FVMP includes management targets in Table 8-4
MS1237	C5-1 (3)	<b>contingency measures</b> if <b>management targets</b> are not met; and	8.2	The FVMP includes management targets in Table 8-4
MS1237	C5-1 (4)	Reporting requirements	10	

## 5 CONTEXT AND SCOPE

### 5.1 PROPOSAL

#### 5.1.1 Background

South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (Worsley) operates the Worsley Bauxite-Alumina Project on behalf of the Joint Venture parties. Worsley sought approval for the Worsley Mine Expansion Revised Proposal (the Revised Proposal) to continue existing mining operations and access additional ore resources to maintain the continuity of the Boddington Bauxite Mine (BBM), which has been in operation for over 40 years.

Key elements of the Revised Proposal include:

- expansion of the existing mining envelope at the BBM (to become the Worsley Mining Development Envelope – WMDE),
- establishment of a Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC) at the BBM, and
- establishment of a Contingency Bauxite Mining Envelope (CBME) and support infrastructure / facilities at the Worsley Refinery (the Refinery).

The alumina refinery production rate remains at 4.7 million tonnes per annum. The full details of the Revised Proposal are detailed in the Worsley Environmental Review Document (Worsley, 2022) and the Response to Submissions document (Worsley, 2024).

#### 5.1.2 Purpose and Scope

This Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (FVMP) provides a framework for the management of flora and vegetation for Worsley operations. The FVMP has been prepared to demonstrate how Worsley will meet the requirements of approval conditions under MS1237 and has been prepared in accordance with the EPA Instructions for preparing management plans (EPA, 2024).

The FVMP applies to areas approved for mining and mining-related activities within the Primary Assessment Area (PAA) (inclusive of the proposed WMDE, BTC and CBME) (Figure 5-1). Conditions under MS1237 Part B(B) (“Extended Mining Areas”) are not included in the scope of this FVMP.


The FVMP includes a description of:

- The conditions of approval from the State under MS1237 and the Commonwealth EPBC 2019/8437;
- The potential impacts that have been determined as moderate to high risk from project activities;
- The Trigger and Threshold criteria applied to meet the environmental outcomes outlined in MS1237 Condition B12-1;
- The environmental management measures applied to meet the environmental objectives outlined in MS1237 Condition B12-2;
- Processes for the reporting of performance and the identification and / or adaptive management, based on pre-clearance surveys, monitoring results.

The FVMP includes mechanisms to review the flora and vegetation management techniques and assess if they meet the outcomes and objectives identified in Section 7.

In accordance with Condition C2-6 this FVEMP will be published on the South32 website and provided to the CEO in electronic form suitable for on-line publication by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation within twenty (20) business days of being implemented, or being required to be implemented (whichever is earlier).

Figure 5-2 provides the areas where flora and vegetation surveys have been completed, with these locations being the areas where approval under MS1237 condition 12-7 is sought. Pre-clearance surveys conducted to date are summarised in the Annual Report (Appendix B).



LEGEND	
■ Town	— State Highway
— National Highway	— Freeway
— Main Road	— Minor River
— Major River	— Lake
<b>Envelopes:</b>	<b>Existing Infrastructure</b>
■ BTC	■ Primary Crusher
■ CBME	● Secondary Crusher
■ WMDE	— Overland Conveyor
■ PAA	
<b>Regional Extents</b>	<b>Agreements</b>
■ EMA	■ Refinery Lease Area (RLA)
	■ Residual Disposal Area (RDA)


**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**FIGURE 5-1**

**Worsley Project Location**

Author: dlmm1 Annual Rehabilitation Plan (ARP) 2025 September 2025

Plan: WAPL\_CAR\_Fig1-2\_ProjectLocation\_A4P.mxd



**LEGEND**

■ Town	— State Highway	— Minor Road	— Major River	□ Lake
— Main Road	— Track	— Minor River		

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- ▭ Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)
- ▭ Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Flora and Vegetation Pre-clearance:**

- ▭ Survey Areas Completed

**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**2025 Pre-clearance Survey Summary**  
**March 2026**

**FIGURE 5-2**

## 5.2 LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Works undertaken by Worsley within the PAA are governed by a range of State and Commonwealth legislation (refer to Table 5-1), with the most relevant being the Western Australian (WA) legislation *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) and the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act), and the Commonwealth (Cth) *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

**Table 5-1: Relevant Commonwealth and State legislation and regulations**

Legislation	Relevance	Regulatory Authority
<b>Commonwealth Legislation</b>		
<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>	Protection of Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES).	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW)
<b>State Legislation</b>		
<i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i>	Prevention, control and abatement of pollution; and the conservation, protection and enhancement of the environment.	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) Environmental Protection Authority (EPA)
<i>Conservation and Land Management Act 1984</i>	Protection and management of nature reserves, State Forest, National Parks, Timber Reserve, marine parks etc.	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA)
<i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i>	Conservation and protection of wildlife (flora and fauna). Special provisions and schedules cover protection and management of gazetted threatened flora and fauna	DBCA
<i>Soil and Land Conservation Act 1945</i>	Conservation of soil and land resources with the mitigation of the effects of erosion, salinity and flooding	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD)
<i>Alumina Refinery (Worsley) Agreement Act 1973</i>	Authorises an agreement between the State relating to the establishment of a refinery to produce alumina and for incidental and other purposes.	Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation.

## 5.3 KEY ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR

This FVMP specifically addresses the EPA key environmental factor for flora and vegetation, in which the objective is ““To protect flora and vegetation so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained””.

### 5.3.1 Proposal Activities Potentially Affecting Flora and Vegetation

Activities associated with the Project have the potential to either directly or indirectly impact on the key environmental factor of Flora and Vegetation. Potential impacts to the environment that may result from Project activities include:

- Direct Impacts
  - The proposal will result in the direct loss of native, plantation and rehabilitated vegetation, through clearing associated with mine operations and supporting infrastructure.
  - Clearing of some locally significant vegetation communities, riparian vegetation and priority flora taxa.
- Indirect Impacts

- Further fragmentation of habitat in the local area through partial or complete clearing and associated habitat loss of isolated remnant bands or patches;
- Movement of soil and organic matter during mining operations leading to increased competition or degradation of quality of vegetation from invasive species (weeds) and/or vegetation death from invasive pathogens (e.g. dieback and Australian honey fungus);
- Dust generated during vehicle movements, mining activity and continued operations impacting on vegetation health;
- Increased water use for operations and dust suppression impacting on ecological and social values of forests;
- Changes to vegetation structure and floristic composition through altered surface water drainage patterns and flows;
- Changes to vegetation structure in Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDE) due to groundwater level rise (i.e. mounding), causing localised flooding and waterlogging of vegetation; and
- Cumulative impacts in relation to the direct loss of suitable habitat.

## 6 RATIONALE AND APPROACH

This FVMP addresses the Flora and Vegetation environmental factor and the EPA's objective to protect flora and vegetation so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained. The FVMP addresses the requirements of MS1237 and other legal requirements and identified risks related to Flora and Vegetation.

Worsley has operated in the region for over 40 years and, in this time, has conducted three detailed environmental impact assessments under Part IV of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)* to support the expansion of its operations. Worsley has a thorough understanding of the potential impacts to flora and vegetation that could occur as a result of its proposed operations.

Management measures and monitoring programs have been developed and adjusted over time in consultation with external experts, including regulators (eg DBCA), to ensure that any impacts to flora and vegetation are able to be identified and minimised. Management measures have been developed with consideration for the mitigation hierarchy (avoid, minimise, rehabilitate and offset). This section provides the rationale for the choice of monitoring and management measures to demonstrate compliance with the outcomes and objectives outlined in section 6.1.

### 6.1 ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVES

The intent of the FVMP is to provide a framework for the identification and management of flora species, populations and communities identified to potentially be at risk from Worsley's activities and has been prepared to fulfil requirements set out under MS1237.

This FVMP defines the outcome-based and management-based provisions to achieve the environmental outcomes and objectives outlined in MS1237. Outcome-based provisions employ the use of trigger and threshold criteria.

- *Trigger criteria* are defined as "Indicators that have been selected for monitoring to provide a warning that, if exceeded, the environmental outcome may not be achieved. They are intended to forewarn of the approach of the threshold criteria and trigger response actions."
- *Threshold criteria* are defined as "The indicators that have been selected to represent limits of impact beyond which the environmental outcome is not being met."

The primary objective of this FVMP is to minimise and monitor impacts to flora and vegetation from the Revised Proposal. This will be achieved through the following outcomes and objectives:

#### Environmental Outcomes:

- (1) disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation;
- (2) ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to:
  - (a) threatened flora including *Caladenia hopperiana*;

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

- (b) *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung;
  - (c) *Papistylus intropubens* and *Synaphea panhesya*;
  - (d) the Mount Saddleback Heath Communities Priority Ecological Community and vegetation type G4;
  - (e) other significant vegetation;
  - (f) other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO; and
  - (g) old growth forest.
- (3) ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to more than:
- (a) 2% of the known population of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex*;
  - (b) 8% of the known population of *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington;
  - (c) 2% of the known population of *Hibbertia ambita*, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;
  - (d) 2% of the known population of *Halgania corymbosa*, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;
  - (e) 11.9 ha of Williams vegetation complex after the date of this Statement; and
  - (f) 332.5 ha of Michibin vegetation complex after the date of this Statement.
- (4) ensure that the proposal does not cause or contribute to the introduction and/or spread of forest disease, including *Phytophthora cinnamomi*, outside of areas identified as infected by the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3.

### Environmental Objectives:

- (1) avoid where practicable or otherwise minimise indirect impacts to flora and vegetation including but not limited to impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water<sup>1</sup> and fragmentation.

## 6.2 SURVEY AND STUDY FINDINGS

### 6.2.1 Baseline Surveys

The Worsley operations lie primarily within the Darling Botanical District of the South-West Botanical Province (Beard 1980) with a general classification of eucalyptus woodland. The vegetation comprises Jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) and Marri (*Corymbia calophylla*) forest in the west grading to Wandoo (*Eucalyptus wandoo*) and Marri woodlands in the east. Powder bark wandoo (*Eucalyptus accedens*) is dominant on the breakaways. Extensive but localised low Banksia woodlands occur on sand sheets. There are heaths on granite rocks which is common in the north and east. The Northern Jarrah Forest has moderate species richness of between 400–600 species/km<sup>2</sup>. The PAA comprises native, rehabilitated and plantation vegetation, as well as previously cleared areas for mining or agriculture. Some wetland and swamp areas exist within the PAA however, none have been listed as areas of environmental significance under State or Commonwealth legislation.

Botanical surveys have been undertaken in accordance with the relevant temporal methodologies in the technical guidelines as outlined by EPA Guidance (2016) (Table 6-1).

**Table 6-1: Vegetation community survey program**

Mining Area	Description/Key Parameters Measured	Status/Timing	Survey Description
Saddleback	Forest site vegetation type classification, description and mapping	Initial survey completed 1981. Survey in July 1993. Ongoing monitoring for comparison to rehabilitation and climate.	Phase 1 Flora & Fauna Studies (Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd and Dames & Moore 1981, Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd 1985) Flora and Vegetation Studies on the Mount Saddleback Survey Area (Mattiske & Assoc. 1993)

<sup>1</sup> Indirect impacts associated with changes in groundwater and surface water are included in Worsley's Water Management Plan (01027243) required under condition 16-2 of MS1237 and are not included in this FVMP.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Mining Area	Description/Key Parameters Measured	Status/Timing	Survey Description
			Northern & Central Saddleback Flora Study (Mattiske & Assoc. 1983) Worsley Alumina Project - flora and fauna studies, phase two Flora and vegetation studies on the southern Mount Saddleback survey area (Mattiske Consulting 1993) The tree component of forest vegetation in the Saddleback and Quindanning timber reserves (Pice Pty Ltd 2002)
Marradong	Forest Site Vegetation Type classification, description and mapping, Threatened flora, Priority flora	Surveyed in 1990 Revisited in 2008	Flora & Vegetation: Marradong Timber Reserve (Mattiske & Assoc. 1990, 2008)
Quindanning	Forest Site Vegetation Type classification, description and mapping, Threatened flora, Priority flora	Surveyed in 1999 and 2019	Flora and Vegetation of the Quindanning Timber Reserve (Mattiske & Assoc. 1999a), Assessment of Flora and Vegetation on Worsley mine Expansion areas (Mattiske, 2021)
Hotham North	Forest Site Vegetation Type classification, description and mapping, Threatened flora, Priority flora	Surveyed in 1999 and 2019	Worsley Alumina Boddington Gold Mine Project Flora and Fauna studies (Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, 1999) and Assessment of Flora and Vegetation on Worsley mine Expansion areas (Mattiske, 2021)
Private Property – within WMDE	Remnant Vegetation Type classification, description and mapping, Threatened flora, Priority flora	Surveyed in 2014 with extensions in 2019	Assessment of flora and vegetation on private properties within the extension survey area (Mattiske 2014) and Assessment of Flora and Vegetation on Worsley mine Expansion areas (Mattiske, 2021)
Refinery (including CBME)	Flora and vegetation	Initial survey completed in 1981. Surveyed in 1999	Phase 1 Flora & Fauna Studies (Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd and Dames & Moore 1981, Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd 1985) Flora and Vegetation of the Collie Refinery Lease Area (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (1999b))

Botanical surveys have identified the following site-vegetation types within the PAA:

- Open woodland of *Eucalyptus rudis* and *Eucalyptus wandoo*;
- Open forest of *Corymbia calophylla* and *Eucalyptus marginata*;
- Open forest to woodland of *Eucalyptus marginata* and *Corymbia calophylla*;
- Open forest to woodland of *Eucalyptus marginata* and *Corymbia calophylla* with occasional admixtures of *Banksia grandis* and *Persoonia longifolia*;
- Open woodland of *Eucalyptus wandoo*;
- Open forest of *Eucalyptus marginata* and *Allocasuarina fraseriana*;
- Open forest of *Allocasuarina fraseriana*, *Eucalyptus marginata*, *Corymbia calophylla* and *Banksia grandis*; and

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

- Open forest of *Eucalyptus marginata* and *Corymbia calophylla* with mixtures of *Allocasuarina fraseriana*, *Banksia grandis* and *Persoonia longifolia*.

### 6.2.2 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities

There are no Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) for the Darling Range listed by the DBCA (inclusive of the Project Area). The *Mount Saddleback Heath Communities* is listed as a Priority Ecological Community (PEC) (P1) (DBCA, 2023). These heath communities occur in the Saddleback and Hotham North mining areas within the WMDE and BTC.

One Threatened flora species has been recorded within the PAA during baseline and targeted surveys (*Caladenia hopperiana*). Several Priority flora species listed by DBCA have also been recorded. Condition B12-1 of MS1237 outlines environmental outcomes for selected conservation significant flora. The current knowledge for these species is summarised in Table 6-2 and recorded occurrences are displayed in Figure 6-1.

**Table 6-2: Threatened and Priority Flora and Vegetation Communities included under Condition B12-1 of MS1237**

Flora / Vegetation	BC Act Listing	EPBC Act Listing <sup>1</sup>	Habitat / Occurrence	Likelihood within PAA
Mount Saddleback Heath Communities	P1 PEC		Mount Saddleback heath communities are variants of site-vegetation type G (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2021) and areas associated with shallow soils and granite outcrops. The heath types include (but are not limited to) site vegetation type G, G1 and G3 (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2021).	High Recorded
Site vegetation Type G4			Site-vegetation Type G4: Open scrub and tall shrubland of <i>Hakea trifurcata</i> and <i>Hakea undulata</i> with admixtures of mallee species including <i>Eucalyptus latens</i> and <i>Eucalyptus aspersa</i> on clay to clay-loam soils over outcrops on slopes (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2021).	High Recorded
<i>Caladenia dorrienii</i>	Threatened	EN	This species grows in clumps on sandy clay, clayey loam black loamy soil, sometimes lateritic, and usually in moist valley sites in open Wandoo ( <i>Eucalyptus wandoo</i> ) or Jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) woodlands. The species grows amongst low, scattered shrubs, annuals and dense low herbs, often on slopes adjacent to rivers and seasonal creeks (Onshore, 2026).	High Recorded (QTR)
<i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>	EN	EN	This species is concentrated in the lower valleys near swamps and Wandoo Woodlands (AY, Y) and Wandoo woodlands on mid to upper drier slopes (M). There is an occasional plant in the site-vegetation H2; which consists of an open forest of <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> and <i>Corymbia calophylla</i> over shrub species on gravel and sandy-gravel soils of slopes and less undulating hills (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2021).	High Recorded (QTR)
<i>Caladenia caesarea</i> Subsp. Mooradung	N/A	N/A	Yet to be determined. It is expected that preferred habitat will be comparable to that described for <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> .	High (HON)
<i>Papistylus intropubens</i>	P1		Only known occurrence was recorded within The G3 site vegetation type (heath community) within the Tunnell Road heath Protected Area. Despite	Low

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Flora / Vegetation	BC Act Listing	EPBC Act Listing <sup>1</sup>	Habitat / Occurrence	Likelihood within PAA
			searching this species has not been identified or located since the initial identification in the 1980s.	
<i>Synaphea panhesya</i>	P1		The occurrence at Mt Saddleback was recorded as part of Phase One for South32 by Dames and Moore (1982) within the P site-vegetation type on the fringes of heath areas. Despite additional searching in Jarrah-Sheoak, Wandoo and Powder Wandoo woodlands, as well as in nearby heath areas no additional plants have been recorded.	Low
<i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i>	P1		This species has been recorded near Mt Cooke within the northern extent of the Monadnocks Conservation Reserve, there is a high probability that there is an association with more shallow soils associated with granite areas and as such follows the pattern of occurring on the western, northern and eastern fringes of the Darling Ranges. Whilst the current records are concentrated near Armadale, near Mt Cooke and Mt Saddleback areas the latter may reflect the wider survey efforts with more concentrated efforts in specific locations (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2022).	High Recorded
<i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington	P1		The occurrence at Mt Saddleback and the Newmont areas to the north was mainly associated with the D, Y, L and M site-vegetation types which are associated with slopes (M site-vegetation types) and gullies supporting Wandoo ( <i>Eucalyptus wandoo</i> ) (Y, L) as the dominant overstorey (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd 2021). The D site-vegetation type supports a forest of Jarrah-Marri ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> – <i>Corymbia calophylla</i> ) on the clay-loam slopes particularly near the Hotham River. This species has also been recorded regularly in the rehabilitation areas at South32 operations (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2022).	High Recorded
<i>Hibbertia ambita</i>	P1		Further work is being undertaken to identify the distribution of this species following the reclassification of <i>Hibbertia commutata</i> . Known occurrences at Mt Saddleback are within the H, P and M site-vegetation types. This species has also been recorded in rehabilitation areas (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd, 2021).	High Recorded
<i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	P3		In the Boddington area this species has been recorded in site-vegetation type G2 (Mosaic of Rock Sheoak ( <i>Allocasuarina huegeliana</i> ) and closed heath to lithic complex on exposed or shallow granite outcrops), in granite heath communities (G1) on shallow soils over granite and in Wandoo ( <i>Eucalyptus wandoo</i> ) woodlands on valley floors (AY site-vegetation type) and lower slopes (Y) and in open forests of Jarrah-Marri ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> – <i>Corymbia calophylla</i> ) (H site-vegetation type) to Jarrah – Sheoak ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> – <i>Allocasuarina fraseriana</i> ) on sandy gravels to	High Recorded

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

Environmental Management Plan




Worsley Alumina

Flora / Vegetation	BC Act Listing	EPBC Act Listing <sup>1</sup>	Habitat / Occurrence	Likelihood within PAA
			gravels (PS site-vegetation type) (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd 2021).	

### 6.2.3 Additional Surveys

Targeted searches for Threatened and Priority flora species and communities occur during pre-clearance surveys (see section 6.6.5), periodically, or as otherwise required, prior to disturbance for mining purposes.



- Flora Records Oct 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Stylidium marradongense (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Lasiophyllum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (Biologic)**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia aff. ambita (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Spring '25 (Onshore Environmental)**
- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (T)
  - Caladenia dorrienii (T)
  - Caladenia hopperiana (T)
  - Loricobbia pauciflora (T)
  - Drosera silvicola (P1)
  - Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Goodenia katabudjar (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

<p><b>LEGEND</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Town</li> <li>State Highway</li> <li>Main Road</li> <li>Minor Road</li> <li>Track</li> <li>Major River</li> <li>Minor River</li> <li>Lake</li> </ul>		<p><b>Existing Infrastructure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Crusher</li> <li>Secondary Crusher</li> </ul> <p><b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MS1237 Development Envelope (BTC)</li> <li>MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)</li> </ul> <p><b>Old Growth:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Old Growth Forest (DBCA) (NJF) (Jan 2024)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Jan 2025 (MCPL):</b></p> <p><b>Priority 1 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)</li> <li>Hibbertia ambita</li> </ul> <p><b>Flora Records (Biologic Feb 2025):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (MCPL):</b></p> <p><b>Priority 3 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stylidium marradongense</li> <li>Styphelia filifolia</li> </ul> <p><b>Priority 4 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Feb 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Caladenia hopperiana (T)</li> <li>Grevillea dissectifolia (P3)</li> <li>Grevillea pimeleoides (P4)</li> <li>Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (undescribed)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hibbertia ambita (P1)</li> <li>Goodenia katabudjar (P3)</li> <li>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations**

**FIGURE 6-1**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

Plan: FVMP\_AR\_v7\_Fig3-7\_TandP\_OldGrowth\_A3P\_20260310.mxd

### 6.3 KEY ASSUMPTIONS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The key assumptions and uncertainties within this FVMP include:

- Flora surveys conducted over the last 40 years within Saddleback, Quindanning and Marradong Timber Reserves have identified all predominate vegetation types and conservation significant flora species likely to occur within the PAA;
- Abundance of conservation significant flora and vegetation has not been determined within the PAA or the region;
- Clearing footprint is indicative;
- Populations of threatened and priority flora will be updated over time as more information is collected;
- Should any newly listed TEC, PEC, Threatened flora or Priority 1 flora be identified as occurring within the PAA additional avoidance and management measures will be applied to protect these species and / or communities.

### 6.4 OBJECTIVE-BASED EMP – RISK BASED APPROACH

The FVMP has been developed to avoid and minimise risks to the conservation significant flora and vegetation by developing outcome and objective based management actions. Objective-based provisions have been developed for the Revised Proposal to meet the requirements of approval conditions granted under MS1237 and EPBC Approval Decision 2019/8437.

The objective-based provisions that have been developed are considered appropriate to manage risk of impacts (direct and indirect) to flora and vegetation within the PAA.

The objective-based provisions of this management plan will be monitored through opportunistic sightings, pre-clearance surveys, monitoring surveys and regular inspections. A risk assessment of the likely impacts is provided in Appendix A - Risk Assessment. The only risk that was identified with a residual risk rating of moderate relevant to flora and vegetation was the risk of the loss of habitat supporting Threatened species. This is consistent with MS1237 condition requirements.

### 6.5 RATIONALE FOR CHOICE OF INDICATORS AND/OR MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

This FVMP has been prepared in accordance with the following:

- Instructions on how to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV Environmental Management Plans (EPA, 2024);
- Environmental Management Plan Guidelines (DCCEE, 2024); and
- condition B12 of MS1237.

The mitigation hierarchy (enhance, avoid, minimise, rehabilitate and offset) has been applied in the impact assessment for potential impacts from Worsley operations on vegetation and flora species.

Worsley has considered the objectives outlined in the relevant Commonwealth and State Recovery Plans and Threat Abatement Plans to manage environmental risks to flora and vegetation.

Recovery plans set out the research and management actions necessary to stop the decline of; and support the recovery of Threatened species or Threatened Ecological Communities. The aim of a recovery plan is to maximise the long-term survival of the identified species or community. Recovery plans should state what must be done to protect and restore important populations of Threatened species and habitat, as well as how to manage and reduce threatening processes. Recovery plans achieve this by providing a planned and logical framework for key interest groups and responsible government agencies to coordinate their work to improve the plight of Threatened species and/or ecological communities.

Threat abatement plans provide for the research, management, and any other actions necessary to reduce the impact of a listed key threatening process on native species and ecological communities. Implementing the plan should assist the long-term survival in the wild of affected native species or ecological communities.

Conservation Advice and Threat Abatement Plans relevant to Worsley's operations that were used to inform Worsley's management objectives (summarised in Section 6.1) and are listed in Table 6-3 and Table 6-4.

**Table 6-3: Threatened Species Conservation Advice**

Threatened Species	Conservation Advice and Recovery Plans														
<i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>	<p>Conservation Advice <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> Quindanning spider orchid, (Threatened Species Scientific Committee 2018) (DoEE – now DCCEE). In effect under the EPBC Act from 15-Feb-2018.</p> <p><a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/88195-conservation-advice-15022018.pdf">http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/88195-conservation-advice-15022018.pdf</a>.</p> <p>Current and potential threats include the following, only some of which, are applicable to Worsley’s operations (denoted with an *):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Current Threats</th> <th>Potential Threats</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Reduced rainfall</td> <td>Timber extraction</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*Controlled burning and bushfires</td> <td>*Mining</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*Inundation of low lying plants</td> <td>Poor recruitment</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*Salinity</td> <td>*Groundwater extraction</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grazing and trampling</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Drought</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The impact of increased salinity is not a risk that Worsley have identified as in impact from their activities. A review of mining operations by indicate that soils proposed to be disturbed within the PAA have a low risk of either presenting current saline conditions or potential saline conditions in the future (South32, 2022).</p> <p>Table 8-1, Table 8-2, Table 8-3 and Table 8-4 deal with those impacts that may occur from Worsley’s activities within the PAA.</p>	Current Threats	Potential Threats	Reduced rainfall	Timber extraction	*Controlled burning and bushfires	*Mining	*Inundation of low lying plants	Poor recruitment	*Salinity	*Groundwater extraction	Grazing and trampling		Drought	
Current Threats	Potential Threats														
Reduced rainfall	Timber extraction														
*Controlled burning and bushfires	*Mining														
*Inundation of low lying plants	Poor recruitment														
*Salinity	*Groundwater extraction														
Grazing and trampling															
Drought															

**Table 6-4: Threat Abatement Plan**

Threat	Threat Abatement Plan
Phytophthora Dieback	<p>Threat abatement plan for disease in natural ecosystems caused by <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i>, DoAWE (DoEE) 2018.</p> <p><a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/ee1f3b9f-6e2e-4a01-86f3-6abb167fb443/files/tap-phytophthora-cinnamomi-2018.pdf">http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/ee1f3b9f-6e2e-4a01-86f3-6abb167fb443/files/tap-phytophthora-cinnamomi-2018.pdf</a></p>

### 6.5.1 External Contributing Factors

The region in which Worsley operates is large with many contributing factors that must be factored into an assessment of impacts. Of highest relevance are:

- Drying Climate: the drying climate has resulted in localised decline in vegetation throughout the region particularly following extended dry periods. An increase in the frequency of extreme weather events has also been experienced. The drying climate may lead to localised mortality, changes in habitat and habitat quality over time.
- Historic land use: areas surrounding the Worsley operation are largely used for agricultural purposes with most native vegetation historically removed. This means that remnant vegetation often occurs in isolated fragments with increased occurrence of feral animals and weeds.
- Dryland salinity: The Hotham River and Williams River are known to be impacted by salinity associated with historic land clearing in the upper catchments.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

- Newmont Boddington Gold (NBG): Worsley's operations will be adjacent to the existing NBG facility. Potential cumulative impacts have been considered in the EIA process to ensure that required environmental outcomes are achieved.

## 6.5.2 Selected Indicators

The environmental outcomes addressed by this FVMP are largely interconnected and reflect potential direct and indirect impacts associated with the clearing of native vegetation. A summary table of the indicators selected to ensure compliance with each environmental outcome and the rationale for their selection are included in Table 6-5.

**Table 6-5: Indicators Selected for ensuring compliance with environmental outcomes**

Environmental Outcome	Selected Indicator	Monitoring Program	Justification and Trigger Level	Ref
Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation	Verified spatial data for disturbance	Disturbance boundary survey	<p>Disturbance to native vegetation, plantation and rehabilitation is measured through survey of disturbance boundaries which is reconciled on a monthly basis.</p> <p>A trigger level of 75% of allowable disturbance has been selected to ensure controls are reviewed and adjusted as required when a reasonable allowance for clearing still remains within each category. This ensures ongoing compliance with condition B12-1(1).</p> <p>A threshold level of 95% has been set to allow a further verification of clearing boundaries to occur and to ensure each subsequent clearing area is closely reviewed with strict controls applied prior to clearing to ensure disturbance does not exceed the prescribed limits under MS1237.</p>	6.6.4 Table 8-1 Table 8-2
<p>Ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to:</p> <p>(a) threatened flora including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>;</p> <p>(b) <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung;</p> <p>(c) <i>Papistylus intropubens</i> and <i>Synaphea panhesya</i>;</p> <p>(d) the Mount Saddleback Heath Communities Priority Ecological Community and vegetation type G4; and</p> <p>(e) other significant vegetation;</p> <p>(f) other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO; and</p> <p>(g) old growth forest.</p>	Verified spatial data for disturbance and proximity to Protected Areas	<p>10 Year Mine Plan</p> <p>Internal Clearing Plan</p> <p>Pre-clearance surveys</p>	<p>All environmental values included under this outcome are required to be protected through the establishment of a Protected Area which must include an appropriate buffer. Pre-clearance flora and vegetation surveys ensure that any of the matters listed are identified prior to clearing and Protected Areas are assigned prior to disturbance.</p> <p>To ensure the achievement of this outcome any disturbance planned within 30 m of a Protected Area supporting any of the listed matters will be identified during review of the internal Clearing Plan and will have additional controls applied to prevent disturbance or adverse impacts to the designated Protected Area.</p> <p>Should disturbance occur within the boundary of the Protected Area this would represent an exceedance of the Threshold and be reported as a non-compliance.</p>	6.6.4 6.6.5 6.6.1 Table 8-1
	Flora abundance <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung	Targeted flora – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages	<p>Like many other orchid species, it is anticipated that <i>C. caesura</i> subsp. Mooradung may not flower every season. The lack of a flower often makes identification during targeted surveys impossible and impacts the resulting abundance and distribution of each surveyed population.</p> <p>Protected Areas containing <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung will be monitored initially on an annual basis for 3 years to establish a baseline understanding of the natural variability in flowering within each population (abundance and distribution). This information will then be used to revise the trigger and threshold values defined here, as required, through adaptive management processes.</p> <p>Following the establishment of baseline information, monitoring will continue on a 3 yearly basis to verify the effectiveness of management measures for protection of the species. Should the final conservation classification of this</p>	6.6.1 Table 8-1

Environmental Outcome	Selected Indicator	Monitoring Program	Justification and Trigger Level	Ref
			<p>species be confirmed as Threatened flora, this will be increased to annual in line with the <i>C. hopperiana</i> monitoring program.</p> <p>Control sites will be included in all surveys to provides a measure for comparison to understand potential impacts associated with regional impacts such as a poor rainfall season or climate change which may lead to decreased flowering across all populations.</p> <p>Until the baseline assessment period for this species has been completed (2025 and 2026 monitoring) an interim trigger criteria has been set at &gt;10% decline in the average recorded abundance for any given population potentially impacted by the implementation of the Revised Proposal (i.e., within 200 m of operational areas). This only applies where the decline is inconsistent with observed declines at control sites. This is considered to be consistent with the recent recorded variability in Population 2 of <i>C. hopperiana</i> which exhibited a 7% variation in abundance over two consecutive seasons.</p> <p>The interim threshold criteria has been set as where further decline in abundance is observed in the survey period following a trigger level exceedance (i.e. when completing trigger level action of a follow-up survey) or where a &gt;20% decline is observed and this decline is not aligned with observations for other populations within control sites.</p>	
	<p>Flora abundance: <i>Papistylus intropubens</i> <i>Synaphea panhesya</i></p>	<p>Not applicable at this time</p>	<p>To date only one record of <i>Papistylus intropubens</i> and two records of <i>Synaphea panhesya</i> have been identified within the PAA or adjacent areas. These occurrences do not represent populations which can be monitored for abundance as a consequence no trigger and threshold levels for these species have been set at this time. It is proposed that if a population were identified through future surveys, then adaptive management would be applied to incorporate an outcome-based provision for the identified species within this FVMP on advice from external qualified consultants.</p>	
	<p><i>C. hopperiana</i> abundance</p>	<p>Targeted <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> Population Surveys</p>	<p>It is known that <i>C. hopperiana</i> along with many other orchid species may not flower every season. The lack of a flower often makes identification during targeted surveys impossible and impacts the resulting abundance and distribution of each surveyed population. The inclusion of control sites in surveys also provides a measure for comparison to understand potential impacts associated with the operational activities as opposed to regional impacts such as a poor rainfall season or climate change which may lead to decreased flowering across all populations.</p> <p>The potentially impacted population of <i>C. hopperiana</i> (Population 2) has been surveyed on 5 occasions since 2012 with detailed targeted surveys conducted in 2023 (DBCA) and 2024 (Onshore Environmental Consultants</p>	

Environmental Outcome	Selected Indicator	Monitoring Program	Justification and Trigger Level	Ref
			<p>Pty Ltd). The recorded abundance within this population varied from 286 to 308 flowering individuals between these two seasons.</p> <p>Given the current baseline information is limited to a 2 year survey period, a trigger level for abundance of <i>C. hopperiana</i> has been set where the number of flowering plants present is &lt;282 (representing the mean minus 1 SDEV) for Population 2. However, this trigger is limited to where the measured decline is not consistent with other monitored populations to allow for variation associated with external factors (i.e. poor rainfall season).</p> <p>The threshold for this indicator has been set to represent where the number of flowering plants present is &lt;266 (representing the mean minus 2 SDEV). However, this threshold is limited to where the measured decline is not consistent with other monitored populations to allow for variation associated with external factors (i.e. poor rainfall season).</p> <p>Further annual surveys will be conducted for all populations of <i>C. hopperiana</i> to assess the natural variability in flowering. This information may be used to revise trigger and threshold levels for this indicator through adaptive management processes.</p>	
	<p><i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> abundance</p>	<p>Targeted <i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> Population Surveys</p>	<p>Like many other orchid species, <i>C. dorrienii</i> may not flower every season. The lack of a flower often makes identification during targeted surveys impossible and impacts the resulting abundance and distribution of each surveyed population.</p> <p>Protected Areas containing <i>C. dorrienii</i> will be monitored initially on an annual basis for 3 years to establish a baseline understanding of the natural variability in flowering within each population (abundance and distribution). This information will then be used to revise the trigger and threshold values defined here, as required, through adaptive management processes.</p> <p>Following the establishment of baseline information, monitoring will continue on an annual basis to verify the effectiveness of management measures for protection of the species.</p> <p>Control sites will be included in all surveys to provide a measure for comparison to understand potential impacts associated with regional impacts such as a poor rainfall season or climate change which may lead to decreased flowering across all populations.</p> <p>Until the baseline assessment period for this species has been completed (2025 and 2026 monitoring) an interim trigger criteria has been set at &gt;10% decline in the average recorded abundance for any given population potentially impacted by the implementation of the Revised Proposal (i.e., within 200 m of operational areas). This only applies where the decline is inconsistent with observed declines at control sites. This is considered to be consistent with the recent recorded variability in Population 2 of <i>C.</i></p>	

Environmental Outcome	Selected Indicator	Monitoring Program	Justification and Trigger Level	Ref
			<p><i>hopperiana</i> which exhibited a 7% variation in abundance over two consecutive seasons.</p> <p>The interim threshold criteria has been set as where further decline in abundance is observed in the survey period following a trigger level exceedance (i.e. when completing trigger level action of a follow-up survey) or where a &gt;20% decline is observed and this decline is not aligned with observations for other populations within control sites.</p>	
	Flora distribution	Targeted flora – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages	<p>Protected Areas containing flora species listed under this outcome will be monitored on a 3 yearly basis to verify the effectiveness of management measures for protection of flora. This program will include targeted Threatened and priority flora surveys which will assess the abundance and distribution of known populations of the species listed under this outcome.</p> <p>It is known that <i>C. hopperiana</i> along with many other orchid species may not flower every season. The lack of a flower often makes identification during targeted surveys impossible and impacts the resulting abundance and distribution of each surveyed population. Changes in distribution in corresponding control sites will also be taken into account to address potential changes associated with climate change (e.g., further contraction of distribution to lower lying areas) and seasonality. Populations of each listed species within the PAA will continue to be surveyed on an annual basis to improve the understanding of natural variation in flowering to verify the trigger level applied within this FVMP.</p> <p>Where a decline in distribution of &gt;10% is observed and this is not mirrored in control populations then the trigger response actions must be applied. This includes the requirement for a follow up survey within 2 years.</p> <p>If follow-up surveys identify further decline in distribution from the previous survey and this remains unaligned with observations for populations within control sites then threshold contingency actions will be applied.</p>	6.6.1 Table 8-1
	Decline in vegetation condition from baseline	Targeted vegetation condition – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages	<p>Protected Areas (including those containing environmental values listed under this outcome) will be monitored on a 3 yearly basis to verify the effectiveness of management measures for protection of environmental values. This program will include as assessment of vegetation condition by external qualified consultants. This assessment will focus on perennial species rather than short lived annuals and will include observation of relevant indicators of vegetation health including but not limited to local plant deaths, changes in vegetation structure, changes in healthy vegetation cover, evidence of impacts from pathogens/pests, dust deposition and water stress. The assessment will include collection of photographs for comparison with historic and future survey observations and forest control sites to allow for exclusion of regional impacts (e.g., climate change).</p>	6.6.1 Table 8-1

Environmental Outcome	Selected Indicator	Monitoring Program	Justification and Trigger Level	Ref
			<p>The trigger for this indicator is an observed decline in vegetation condition that cannot be attributed to other regional or non-project related impacts (e.g. climate change). Should this occur, trigger response actions must be completed including the requirement for a follow up survey within 12 months to reassess vegetation condition. Should the area continue to experience decline in vegetation condition inconsistent with control sites this will trigger the threshold criteria for this indicator and threshold contingency actions will be applied.</p>	
	<p>Identification of other significant vegetation or other significant flora</p>	<p>Pre-clearance surveys</p>	<p>Occurrences of other priority flora or vegetation within the PAA will be detected through the completion of pre-clearance surveys. The proposed trigger for this outcome is the identification of any other significant flora species or other significant vegetation within the pre-clearance surveys. Where the trigger criteria is met the mitigation hierarchy must be applied to minimise potential impacts on the identified location(s).</p>	
	<p>Verified spatial data for disturbance</p>	<p>Disturbance boundary survey</p>	<p>The threshold for this criteria is the identification of unauthorised disturbance of an area containing other significant vegetation or other significant flora. This will be determined through regular analysis of disturbance boundary survey data. Should unauthorised disturbance occur it would represent a direct impact to the environmental value and therefore a non-compliance with MS1237.</p>	
<p>Ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to more than:</p>	<p>Number of plants disturbed</p>		<p>Pre-clearance flora and vegetation surveys ensure that any of the matters listed under this outcome are identified within disturbance areas prior to clearing. The known population for each species will be adjusted as pre-clearance and targeted surveys are completed. All individuals will have GIS data recorded and incorporated into priority flora layers for tracking of disturbance. Following the completion of each years pre-clearance survey activities a total allowable disturbance (number of plants) for each species will be determined. Proposed disturbance layers will be reviewed against this value and clearing areas will be adjusted where required to ensure compliance with B12-1 (3).</p> <p>A trigger level of 75% of allowable disturbance has been selected for disturbance of priority flora plants to ensure controls are reviewed and adjusted as required when a reasonable allowance for disturbance remains for each species. This ensures ongoing compliance with condition B12-1(3).</p> <p>A threshold level of 95% has been set to allow a further verification of clearing boundaries to occur and to ensure each subsequent clearing area is closely reviewed with strict controls applied prior to clearing to ensure disturbance does not exceed the prescribed limits under MS1237.</p>	<p>6.6.5 Table 8-1 Table 8-3</p>
<p>(a) 2% of the known population of <i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i>;</p>				
<p>(b) 8% of the known population of <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington;</p>				
<p>(c) 2% of the known population of <i>Hibbertia ambita</i>, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;</p>				
<p>(d) 63% of the known population of <i>Halgania corymbosa</i>, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in</p>				

Environmental Outcome	Selected Indicator	Monitoring Program	Justification and Trigger Level	Ref
which case 10% of the known population;				
(e) 11.9 ha of Williams vegetation complex; after the date of this Statement and (f) 332.5 ha of Michibin vegetation complex after the date of this Statement.	Reconciled spatial data		Disturbance to native vegetation is measured through survey of disturbance boundaries which is reconciled on a monthly basis. The areas of Williams and Michibin within the PAA are well understood and Clearing Plans will be assessed against these boundaries prior to approval.  A trigger level of 75% of allowable disturbance has been selected to ensure controls are reviewed and adjusted as required when a reasonable allowance for clearing still remains within each category. This ensures ongoing compliance with condition B12-1(3).  A threshold level of 95% has been set to allow a further verification of clearing boundaries to occur and to ensure each subsequent clearing area is closely reviewed with strict controls applied prior to clearing to ensure disturbance does not exceed the prescribed limits under MS1237.	Table 8-1 Table 8-3
Ensure that the proposal does not cause or contribute to the introduction and/or spread of forest disease, including <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> , outside of areas identified as infected by the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3.	<i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> occurrence	Dieback Interpretation	<i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> (dieback) is known to occur in restricted areas within the PAA though is widespread within the CBME. Areas of proposed for disturbance must be assessed for the presence of dieback prior to disturbance.  The trigger for this indicator is the identification of a new dieback infestation through dieback interpretation. When this trigger is reached additional control measures must be applied for any activities occurring within the area to ensure that the risk of spread of the infestation is minimised.  The threshold for this indicator is the identification of a new dieback infestation likely to have been caused by Worsley operations. Any such occurrence must be reported to Regulators and managed to prevent any further spread by Worsley operations.	6.6.6 Table 8-1

## 6.6 MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION CONTROLS

The following management and mitigation controls are applied to the Worsley operation in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy, and its application is effectively applied to minimise the impacts on flora and vegetation as outlined in Appendix A - Risk Assessment.

### 6.6.1 Protected Areas

Protected Areas are those areas of lands that Worsley will protect from operational clearing activities. Protected Areas include those areas that are:

- Formal conservation reserves / parks, as defined in the Forest Management Plan 2024-2033 (Conservation Commission of Western Australia) (Map 2) (e.g. National Parks / Nature / Conservation Reserves), in compliance with the CALM Act and Regulations (2002);
- Areas identified under the EPBC Act, BC Act or through agreements with regulators;
- Areas within the PAA that Worsley has committed to protect as an offset; or
- Identified rehabilitation areas designated to be protected from further clearing (Protected Rehabilitation) as identified in the 10-Year Mine Plan.

Protected Areas are the primary avoidance measure applied to Threatened and Priority flora and vegetation communities located within and adjacent to operational areas within the PAA.

Baseline flora and vegetation surveys (including targeted searches) are the primary basis by which Protected Areas are defined for flora and vegetation within the PAA. When establishing a new Protected Area, buffers must be applied unless the Protected Area itself represents a buffer (e.g. stream buffers) to ensure the intrinsic values contained within the Protected Area are maintained and indirect impacts are minimised.

The following flora and vegetation must be protected:

- TECs (minimum 50 m buffer);
- PECs (minimum 30 m buffer);
- Threatened flora (minimum 50 m buffer);
- Priority flora species included under condition B12-1 (2) of MS1237;
- Old growth forest areas (minimum 30 m buffer);
- Plan W areas (areas of wandoo, heath and she-oak identified in consultation with DBCA)
- Heaths and granite rock outcrops, where they occur in areas of remnant vegetation within the Michibin Complex

In addition to the above, consideration must be given to the protection of other Priority flora through establishment of Protected Areas.

#### 6.6.1.1 Allowable Disturbance within Protected Areas

Disturbance within a Protected Area will only be permitted where approved by the CEO or where it occurs in the course of:

- Ecological restoration / rehabilitation activities (e.g. weed control, feral animal control, rehabilitation, rehabilitation maintenance etc.) to enhance the quality, or reduce potential adverse impacts to the Protected Area;
- Maintenance and / or decommissioning of existing infrastructure (e.g. roads, bores, fences, powerlines etc.);
- Environmental monitoring activities (including installation and decommissioning of monitoring equipment and sites); and
- activities carried out to ensure compliance with legislation or approvals (including the conditions of MS1237).

All disturbance activities within a Protected Area must be included in the Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) and the 10-Year Mine Plan.

### 6.6.1.2 Monitoring within Protected Areas

Protected Areas must be monitored to verify the effectiveness of management measures for protection of conservation significant flora and vegetation. Targeted vegetation condition surveys will be conducted on a 3 yearly basis within a representative sample of Protected Areas and associated control sites as advised by qualified external consultants. Vegetation condition will be assessed through field surveys with targeted searches for signs of plant and vegetation stress (e.g. general decline in vegetation structure, signs of water stress, localised plant deaths, increased incidence of insect attack, introduction of plant pathogens etc). Methods used to assess vegetation condition may be modified over time through adaptive management adopting new technologies and monitoring processes as appropriate. Photos will be taken at each survey site to support condition assessments.

Groundwater monitoring programs, as detailed within the Water Management Plan (01027243), will also be completed to support this assessment. Annual and triennial reviews of groundwater monitoring information will be completed and, if concerning trends are identified, this review will trigger the commencement of more frequent vegetation condition assessments in potentially impacted Protected Areas. For further information refer to section 4.10.2.1 of the Water Management Plan (01027243).

Targeted surveys for flora species described in Condition B12-1(2) will be conducted on a triennial basis to understand changes in abundance and distribution of populations within Protected Areas and control sites. Should other Threatened flora populations be identified during pre-clearance surveys these will be assigned to Protected Areas in accordance with section 6.6.1 and will be incorporated into this targeted flora monitoring program through adaptive management processes.

In addition, regional vegetation condition will be assessed on a 5 yearly basis using remote sensing technologies. Relative condition of vegetation within and adjacent to the PAA will be compared with areas of comparable vegetation types outside the potential impact area to identify any potential areas of vegetation decline associated with the Worsley operations. If areas of concern are identified during the desktop survey, additional targeted surveys will be initiated to verify the findings and, where verified, further investigations will be conducted to determine the contributing factors for the decline in vegetation condition. This program will include a review of Protected Areas against comparable control sites.

### 6.6.2 Protection Commitments

Where the complete avoidance of areas of potentially high conservation value is not possible, Worsley has committed to limit impact by setting a level of protection, known as a Protection Commitment. Protection Commitments have limits to the amount of clearing allowed within a broader and defined spatial area that has been identified as having potential high conservation value. The Protection Commitment that has been made in relation to flora and vegetation is:

- High Quality Wandoo – Locations of high-quality wandoo have been identified and Worsley has committed to disturb no more than 295 ha of the 1,184 ha of high quality wandoo habitat identified within the PAA.

*NOTE: high quality wandoo areas have been identified from aerial photography in some areas. These areas will be verified in the field by June 2026. At that time this protection commitment and associated maps will be updated to reflect the confirmed total area of wandoo. Protection will be maintained at 75% of high quality wandoo regardless of confirmed total extent.*

### 6.6.3 Ecological Linkages

Ecological linkages have been established across the landscape within new mining areas. These Ecological Linkages allow the movement of fauna (including pollinators) through the landscape reducing the risk of genetic isolation for flora species.

### 6.6.4 Vegetation Disturbance Planning

The 10-Year Mine Plan is developed annually and submitted to the WEMLG in the last quarter of the calendar year. The plan includes the anticipated clearing for both State Forest and private land for both mining and infrastructure, as well as maps and advises on the addition or removal of areas deferred from mining. The WEMLG reviews the clearing areas within the 10-Year Mine Plan and provides feedback and acceptance on behalf of the Minister.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

In addition to clearing areas, the 10-Year Mine Plan outlines the proposed exploration, resource definition and grade control drilling programs for the next available ten years, identifies Ecological Linkages (corridors), displays areas deferred from mining and the rehabilitation plan for the next 12 months. Acceptance of the 10-Year Mine Plan by the Minister endorses the next two (2) years of clearing.

The 10-Year Mine Plan aims to minimise clearing wherever possible. This is achieved by identifying options for utilising existing disturbance areas and placement of infrastructure and stockpiles over planned mining disturbance, thus allowing for a smaller disturbance footprint for the operation as a whole.

### 6.6.5 Pre-Clearance Flora & Vegetation Surveys

Pre-clearance surveys are required to be completed prior to clearing of native vegetation in accordance with conditions B12-4 and B12-5 of MS1237. These conditions include requirements for:

- Assessment of old growth forest in accordance with DBCA's Procedures for the assessment, identification and demarcation of old-growth forest (as amended from time to time)
- Targeted searches in accordance with Technical guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment (or any approved updates of these guidelines) for:
  - Threatened flora;
  - Priority flora;
  - New species, or undescribed species; and
  - TECs and PECs and vegetation type G4.

All vegetation and flora pre-clearance surveys must be completed by appropriately qualified individuals. Targeted pre-clearance surveys for *C. caesarea* subsp. Mooradung and Threatened orchid species must be completed by appropriate botanists with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion as required by Condition B12-5 (2).

Targeted surveys will be completed within likely habitat both within and surrounding the proposed disturbance area. Where the Pre-clearance surveys identify the presence of Threatened or Priority flora and / or vegetation additional avoidance or management measures must be applied.

All pre-clearance surveys must be completed within 5 years prior to clearing to be considered relevant.

Targeted pre-clearance flora surveys should include an additional survey area of at least 50 metres where remnant native vegetation is present. This ensures that the presence of priority species is adequately understood in the vicinity of the proposed clearing. Importantly, this additional buffer is not intended for clearing. However, conducting surveys within this buffer provides sufficient ecological information should clearing in the area become necessary during final pit design to allow for the avoidance of conservation significant flora and fauna habitat. The existing 200 metre survey buffer for Threatened flora species will remain unchanged. A summary of the pre-clearance surveys and management activities required to be completed for flora and vegetation is provided in Table 6-6.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

**Table 6-6: Flora and Vegetation Pre-Clearance Survey and Management Summary**

Species	Habitat	Survey Timing*	Pre-Clearance Monitoring and Management Activities
<i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> (Quindanning Spider Orchid),	Vegetation types A, M, Y in low lying areas of the landscape	Spring (Sep-Oct)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 200 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with application of a minimum 50 m buffer.</li> </ul>
<i>Caladenia caesarea subsp.</i> Mooradung (Mooradung Mustard Orchid)	Yet to be formally described.		
<i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> (Cossack spider orchid)	Sandy clay, clayey loam black loamy soil, sometimes lateritic, and usually in moist valley sites in open Wandoo or Jarrah woodlands		
<i>Diuris micrantha</i> (Dwarf Bee Orchid)	Vegetation type A	Spring (Sep-Oct)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 200 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with application of a minimum 50 m buffer.</li> </ul>
<i>Pultenaea pauciflora</i> (Narrogin Pea)	Vegetation types M, M2 and G	Not restricted (identification possible without flowers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 200 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with application of a minimum 50 m buffer.</li> </ul>
<i>Papistylus intropubens</i>	G3	Spring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with appropriate buffer.</li> </ul>
<i>Synaphea panhesya</i>	P	Spring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with appropriate buffer.</li> </ul>
<i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington	Vegetation types D, Y, L and M	Not restricted (identification possible without flowers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Avoidance as required to ensure compliance with Condition B12-1(3)(b).</li> </ul>
<i>Hibbertia ambita</i>	Vegetation types H, P and M <i>Note: this may alter following</i>	Spring (Sep-Oct)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> </ul>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Species	Habitat	Survey Timing*	Pre-Clearance Monitoring and Management Activities
	<i>further targeted surveys.</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where preclearance surveys identify a <i>Hibbertia</i> species and the individuals are unable to be confirmed as not being <i>Hibbertia ambita</i>, a 10 meter buffer will be applied to the individuals until they are confirmed as <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> or not.</li> <li>Avoidance as required to ensure compliance with Condition B12-1(3)(c).</li> </ul>
<i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i>	Primarily G3	Summer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Where preclearance surveys identify a <i>Calytrix</i> species and the individuals are unable to be confirmed as not being <i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i>, a 10 meter buffer will be applied to the individuals until they are confirmed as <i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i> or not.</li> <li>Avoidance as required to ensure compliance with Condition B12-1(3)(a).</li> </ul>
<i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	AY, G1, H, PS and Y	Not restricted (Spring preferable)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Where preclearance surveys identify a <i>Halgania</i> species and the individuals are unable to be confirmed as not being <i>Halgania corymbosa</i>, a 10 meter buffer will be applied to the individuals until they are confirmed as <i>Halgania corymbosa</i> or not.</li> <li>Avoidance as required to ensure compliance with Condition B12-1(3)(d).</li> </ul>
Mount Saddleback Heath Communities	Variants of site-vegetation type G and areas associated with shallow soils and granite outcrops.	Not restricted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with appropriate buffer.</li> </ul>
Vegetation Type G4	Clay to clay-loam soils over outcrops on slopes	Not restricted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Protection of any identified individuals with appropriate buffer.</li> </ul>
Old Growth Forest	Limited history of logging / disturbance (refer DBCA criteria)	Not restricted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Areas identified as of moderate to high potential for old growth forest to be assessed against DBCA criteria for old growth forest.</li> <li>Protection of any identified old growth forest with application of a minimum 30m buffer.</li> </ul>
Other Priority flora previously known within the PAA	Variable	Variable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted searches in likely habitat (inside and within 50 m of proposed clearing) prior to harvesting.</li> <li>Reporting of survey findings and any subsequent disturbance within annual reporting</li> </ul>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Species	Habitat	Survey Timing*	Pre-Clearance Monitoring and Management Activities
Other significant flora (as defined under MS1237)	Variable	Variable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notify EPA of any other significant flora identified during pre-clearance surveys.</li> <li>Do not allow disturbance of other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO in accordance with condition B12-1(2)(f).</li> </ul>

\* All pre-clearance surveys which are being relied on must be current and have been undertaken within 5 years prior to clearing, if the survey is older than 5 years the area proposed for clearing must be resurveyed.

### 6.6.5.1 Areas Impacted by Bushfire

The bushfire event adjacent to Newmont Boddington Gold Mine in Dec 25 – Jan 26 raised a significant concern for completion of pre-clearance flora survey requirements to support operational needs in areas affected by bushfire. High intensity bushfires pose a high risk to pre-clearance flora surveys as the vegetation can take several years to recover post fire, limiting the ability for identification of presence of conservation significant flora and vegetation. To allow critical mining operations to progress within fire affected areas, pre-clearance flora and vegetation surveys will still be conducted with the limitations associated with bushfire impacts clearly outlined in resulting reports. These limitations should not reflect a non-compliance with the *Technical Guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment* as outlined under condition B12-5 of MS1237. Where habitat types within the burnt areas represent known historical locations for threatened flora, these will continue to be protected in accordance with section 6.6.1. If areas have been previously surveyed for priority species, the known individuals will be factored into overall calculations for trigger and threshold comparisons (i.e. will count towards the limits assigned in MS1237 condition 12-1(3)).

Bushfires are natural events that are unable to be prevented by Worsley Alumina. To combat the risk posed to the effectiveness of pre-clearance flora and vegetation surveys from bushfire events, Worsley Alumina will continue to conduct pre-clearance surveys over areas of planned disturbance over the next three-year operational period including relevant buffers (noting that these areas are reviewed on an annual basis and are subject to amendment through the 10 Year Mine Plan). This approach maximises advance surveys to inform management decisions for conservation significant flora and vegetation in the event a bushfire should impact on planned disturbance areas.

## 6.6.6 Forest Hygiene

### 6.6.6.1 Phytophthora Dieback Pre-clearance Surveys

The EPBC Act lists dieback, caused by the root-rot fungus *Phytophthora cinnamomi*, as one of the key threats to Australian biodiversity. Disturbance and rehabilitation have the potential to facilitate the spread of this disease and others such as *Armillaria luteobubalina* (Australian honey fungus). Dieback is widespread in the Jarrah forest, with known locations within the PAA. Dieback has been recorded in 10 areas within the WMDE (Glevan 2020). The CBME area has been identified as almost completely infested by dieback, with a small section declared unprotectable (Glevan 2020).

The DBCA requires Worsley to survey and map all proposed clearing areas for forest disease such as *P. cinnamomi* and *A. luteobubalina* prior to disturbance. Areas of disease are recorded and reported and must be considered in mining operation planning. Once disease risk has been determined, appropriate hygiene management procedures are implemented.

#### 6.6.6.1.1 Areas Impacted by Bushfire

The bushfire event adjacent to Newmont Boddington Gold Mine in Dec 25 – Jan 26 raised a significant concern for completion of pre-clearance Phytophthora dieback surveys to support operational needs in areas affected by bushfire. High intensity bushfires pose a high risk to effectiveness of Phytophthora dieback surveys which rely on the presence of indicator species to interpret for presence of disease. Vegetation can take several years to recover post fire, limiting the ability for identification of presence of dieback unless previously known to occur in the area. To allow critical mining operations to progress within fire affected areas, these areas will continue to be included in dieback assessments but will likely be assessed as temporarily uninterpretable unless previously mapped as infested.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Bushfires are natural events that are unable to be prevented by Worsley Alumina. To combat the risk of bushfires posed to effectiveness of pre-clearance surveys for Phytophthora dieback, Worsley Alumina will continue to conduct pre-clearance surveys for areas of planned disturbance over the next two-year operational period including relevant buffers (given surveys are only considered valid for a three year period). This approach maximises advance surveys to inform management decisions for forest hygiene management in planned disturbance areas. Appropriate hygiene management procedures will continue to be implemented for areas impacted by bushfire taking into account all known infestations, transfer pathways and natural rates of spread.

### 6.6.6.2 Forest Hygiene Management

Working Arrangements with the DBCA recognise that Worsley's activities are undertaken in areas of Timber Reserve and on adjacent areas of private land supporting remnant bush and developed pastures. Many of Worsley's activities involving ground and vegetation disturbance have the potential to introduce and spread disease and / or weeds, including dieback and Australian honey fungus. Under the Working Arrangements, Worsley is responsible for maintaining hygiene management systems in its areas of operation and provides for DBCA input into the revision of these hygiene management systems. Key management actions to reduce the spread of forest disease to disease-free areas within the Project Area include:

- Forest areas must be mapped (interpreted) for the presence of dieback prior to any planned disturbance (interpretation is considered current for up to 2 years), flagging (dayglow pink currently to align with other forest users) is used to demarcate infested areas, areas of infestation are then marked on all clearing maps or pit plans to advise operators and planners of the risk;
- Gravel and topsoil must not be relocated from Crown to private land or vice-versa without the specific approval of the relevant government agency and / or land owner.
- A Forest Hygiene Management Plan must be formulated for each infested or uninterpretable area that is to be mined. Infested topsoil must be stockpiled separately and then returned to the demarcated infested area after mining is complete. The below table summarises management requirements based on the interpretation following survey:

AREA	ACTION
Uninterpreted / Unmappable / Uninterpretable / Not Yet Resolved / Excluded	Clean-down on entry from infested and on exit from these areas
Infested	Clean on exit
Uninfested	Clean on entry

- Private properties influenced by agriculture are likely to be considered unmappable/uninterpretable. Standard practice when accessing Private property must include clean on entry and clean on exit (when entering forested areas).

Where appropriate, DBCA will be consulted to ensure the measures taken to manage Phytophthora dieback are consistent with DBCA objectives, and Worsley will review and update site procedures as required.

### 6.6.6.3 As outlined in the Working Arrangements, Worsley and DBCA review the hygiene management systems for the BBM, conveyor and refinery lease area every three years, or as required. Dieback Research

Worsley supports relevant research into various aspects of forest disease caused by dieback to improve the knowledge on the biology of the disease and develop management options. Previous research support has focussed on control techniques, including use of phosphite and techniques for detection of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* undertaken by the CPSM at MU. Worsley has also supported research into diagnostic techniques (PRC method), and the mechanisms of infection and resistance through the CPSM, UWA and CU.

### 6.6.7 Weed Management

Disturbance caused by mining activities, including rehabilitation operations such as topsoil movement, presents an opportunity for weeds and forest diseases to spread and become established within new areas. The extent of weed invasion in the Project Area covered with intact jarrah forest is assessed during baseline surveys. Vegetation surveys undertaken to date indicate weed presence is variable, with greater presence in forest areas adjacent to cleared agricultural areas. A variety of weeds, common in agricultural areas, occur within the cleared portions of

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

the estate including grass species (e.g. Wild Oats, Veldt Grass) and flat-leaf species (e.g. Cape Weed, Clover sp.). Weed control is mostly achieved through hygiene procedures (see Section 6.6.6.2). This includes ensuring topsoil from infested areas is kept adjacent to agricultural areas. Specific weed control is implemented through location-specific treatment programs for significant infestations or regular inspections for any identified spot infestations within the Project Area (including Worsley Joint Venture (JV) properties).

Weed inspections and treatment will be completed regularly for known populations and prior to commencement of development activities. Upon identification, new populations will be added to a maintained map of known declared weed locations. Annual assessments of impacts and success of treatment programs will be documented within the metadata of the GIS layer.

### 6.6.8 Forest Rehabilitation

Worsley conducts progressive rehabilitation of disturbed forest areas within the Project Area. The re-establishment of native vegetation minimises the potential for indirect impacts associated with fragmentation, edge effects and changes in groundwater and surface water in adjacent forested areas. Rehabilitation completed by Worsley must be consistent with the requirements of Condition B14-1 of MS1237.

To ensure these outcomes are met in relation to the Revised Proposal, Worsley will prepare and submit a Rehabilitation Performance Report in accordance with the requirements of Condition B14-2 within 12 months of receipt of MS1237. The intent of this Report is to outline the historic developments and improvements in rehabilitation, detail completion criteria and biodiversity indicators and their relevance to the rehabilitation development, as well as document Worsley's monitoring, measurement and adaptive management processes.

These requirements and associated monitoring and reporting are detailed in full within the Annual Rehabilitation Plan required by condition B14-3 of MS1237.

Please refer to the Rehabilitation Performance Report and the Annual Rehabilitation Report for further detail on rehabilitation practices and outcomes.

### 6.6.9 Threatened Flora Research Partnerships

Worsley will establish, where available, a research program with King's Park Botanical Gardens to collect and safely store viable seed from Threatened flora located within the PAA. At this time *C. hopperiana* is the only known Threatened flora within the PAA. Seed and plant material have been collected and storage management programs are ongoing. Should other Threatened flora be identified within the PAA a similar process will be applied where appropriate as a mitigative control measure for any potential impact on the species.

### 6.6.10 Water Management Plan (01027243)

In accordance with the requirements of MS1237 (condition B16-2) Worsley has compiled a Water Management Plan (WMP) (01027243) which details the potential impacts on groundwater, surface water and Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDEs) and indirect impacts on conservation significant flora, fauna and ecological communities associated with changes to surface water and/or groundwater attributable to the Worsley operation. The WMP also outlines the management, monitoring and mitigation measures implemented to ensure that these direct and indirect impacts are minimised to achieve the environmental outcomes.

The WMP was prepared in accordance with the 'Instructions: How to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV Environmental Management Plans' published by the Western Australian Environment Protection Authority (EPA) (EPA, 2024) and the 'Environmental Management Plan Guidelines' published by the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (DCCEEW, 2024). WMP has been written to be consistent with the requirements of conditions C4-1 and C5-1 of MS1237. In accordance with condition C1-1 no ground disturbing activities may take place until the CEO has confirmed in writing that this WMP meets the requirements of condition B16-2 of MS1237.

## 7 FLORA AND VEGETATION MONITORING PROGRAMS

Flora and vegetation monitoring programs have been designed and implemented to identify potential impacts and assess outcomes of mitigation activities associated with operations within the PAA. The purpose, locations and frequencies of each monitoring program are outlined in Table 7-1. The flora monitoring programs include the monitoring required under MS1237. Monitoring programs are designed to allow assessment of outcomes and

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

*Environmental Management Plan*



Worsley Alumina

objectives as outlined in Section 8. Survey methodologies are determined by independent qualified third parties and comply with applicable EPA and DCCEEW guidelines.

Monitoring programs will be adapted with evolving technology and development in scientific knowledge.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

**Table 7-1: Flora and Vegetation Monitoring Programs – Revised Proposal**

Monitoring Program	Frequency	Location(s)	Purpose
Targeted flora surveys – Protected Areas	3 yearly	Protected Areas Control Sites	Assess abundance and distribution of targeted flora species within Protected Areas against baseline condition. Identify contributing factors for any observed decline.
Follow-up Targeted flora surveys – Protected Areas	As required (within 12 months of trigger)	Impacted sites Control sites Additional monitoring sites (as required to support investigations)	Assess abundance and distribution of targeted flora species within Protected Areas against baseline condition and previous survey results Identify contributing factors for any observed decline Assess health of individual plants
Targeted Vegetation Condition Assessment – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages	3 yearly	Protected Areas Ecological Linkages GDEs (representative sample from each location type) Forest control sites	Assess vegetation condition through field survey. Identify contributing factors for any observed decline.
Follow-up Targeted Vegetation Condition Assessment – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages	As required (within 2 years of trigger)	Impacted sites Control sites Additional monitoring sites (as required to support investigations)	Assess vegetation condition Identify contributing factors for any observed decline.
Flora and vegetation establishment – Rehabilitation	At age 18 months, 5, 10, and every subsequent 10 years	Rehabilitation areas	Assess performance against completion criteria Identify areas requiring management activities Verify success of recalcitrant species programs
Forest Controls	5 yearly	Established vegetation and forest control monitoring plots (STR, QTR, MTR). <i>Note: Additional forest control plots will be established as mining moves into new areas.</i>	Monitor regional changes in native vegetation and provide a baseline for assessment of rehabilitation establishment.
Pre-clearance flora and vegetation surveys (see section 6.6.5)	Ongoing	Areas of future disturbance as defined by 10 Year Mine Plan	Identify flora and vegetation of conservation significance as well as old growth forest. Apply avoidance and management measures to ensure impacts on conservation significant flora and vegetation are minimised.
Targeted <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> population surveys	Annual	Known occurrences of <i>C. hopperiana</i> within QTR	Assess abundance and distribution of <i>C. hopperiana</i> .
Forest Hygiene Mapping	Annual	Areas of future disturbance as defined by 10 Year Mine Plan	Assess for presence of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> and <i>A. luteobubalina</i> .

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Monitoring Program	Frequency	Location(s)	Purpose
Regional fragmentation assessment	5 yearly	PAA and wider Region	Assess changes in fragmentation across the landscape over time using spatial data and aerial imagery.
Regional vegetation condition assessment	5 yearly	PAA and wider Region	Assessment of Regional changes in vegetation health using remote sensing data. Comparison of Protected Areas and forest control sites information. Trigger additional field surveys in areas of potential concern.

Additional flora monitoring programs may be initiated on recommendation from independent qualified third parties, at the request of relevant Regulators, or as a result of applying adaptive management processes to achieve the required outcomes and objectives of this FVMP.

### 7.1 TARGETED FLORA SURVEYS – PROTECTED AREAS

Targeted flora and vegetation surveys will be completed in accordance with EPA Technical Guidelines by external qualified flora consultants during 2025 and 2026 to establish the baseline distribution and abundance of conservation significant flora and vegetation within relevant Protected Areas within the PAA. During this period, control plots will also be defined within the region to allow identification of regional impacts.

Following the establishment of baseline conditions, follow-up assessment will be completed every 2 years in accordance with relevant EPA Technical Guidelines. During these surveys the potential cause for any observed decline in abundance or distribution will be assessed with further survey requirements defined as recommendations by the expert external consultant as required.

### 7.2 TARGETED VEGETATION CONDITION ASSESSMENT– PROTECTED AREAS & ECOLOGICAL LINKAGES

Targeted vegetation condition assessment will be completed in conjunction with the Targeted Flora Surveys - Protected Areas (see section 7.1). Baseline condition will be established during 2025 and 2026 by qualified external flora consultants in accordance with relevant EPA Technical Guidelines with control sites also being established. Follow-up monitoring will be completed on a 5 yearly basis by qualified external flora consultants in accordance with relevant EPA Technical guidelines.

Vegetation condition assessment will focus on perennial species rather than short lived annuals and will include observation of relevant indicators of vegetation health including but not limited to local plant deaths, changes in vegetation structure, changes in healthy vegetation cover, evidence of impacts from pathogens/pests, dust deposition and water stress. The assessment will include the collection of photographs for comparison of historic and future survey observations and forest control sites to allow for exclusion of regional impacts (e.g., climate change).

### 7.3 FLORA AND VEGETATION ESTABLISHMENT – REHABILITATION

Worsley has an extensive ongoing flora monitoring program to assess the progress of the rehabilitation. The vegetation monitoring program has developed since the first assessment of rehabilitation performance in 1987 by Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd. A revised monitoring program was established in 2017 by Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd and Worsley, upon the review of historical data, success parameters and draft completion criteria. Permanent vegetation plots are established in the rehabilitated area to assess the development of trees and understorey. Currently, for each year of rehabilitation, a range of plots are repeatedly assessed when the rehabilitation reaches 18 months, 5, 10, and every subsequent 10 years of age. Frequency of monitoring may alter if any significant vegetation anomalies are identified. Floristic assessments are undertaken during spring on the number of individuals and percentage cover of each species. The tree component is assessed during winter (to avoid the spring floristic workload).

Please refer to the Rehabilitation Performance Report and the Annual Rehabilitation Report for further detail on rehabilitation monitoring and outcomes.

### 7.4 FOREST CONTROLS

Forest control monitoring plots are monitored on a rotational basis with each plot monitored every 5 years. The monitoring of forest control plots is completed in conjunction with the Flora and Vegetation Establishment – Rehabilitation monitoring program to maintain an understanding of the flora and vegetation in the region and understand any regional impacts that may be impacting flora and vegetation (i.e. climate change). As part of the implementation of the Revised Proposal, additional forest control plots will be established as required to ensure sufficient coverage within areas of the PAA that have not previously been impacted by Worsley operations (e.g., Hotham North Mining Region) to support adaptive management processes for forest rehabilitation activities.

### 7.5 PRE-CLEARANCE FLORA & VEGETATION SURVEYS

Preclearance surveys are completed for priority and Threatened flora. These are described in detail in section 6.6.5.

### 7.6 TARGETED CALADENIA HOPPERIANA & C. DORRIENII POPULATION SURVEYS

Targeted surveys for *C. hopperiana* and *C. dorrienii* will be completed in accordance with the EPA’s Technical guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment by external qualified flora consultants with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion. Surveys will be completed on an annual basis for all known populations of *C. hopperiana* and *C. dorrienii* within the PAA (see Figure 6-1). A summary of surveys for *C. hopperiana* completed within the last 7 years, and the associated abundance as determined at the time, is provided in Table 7-2. Table 7-3 provides a summary of surveys for *C. dorrienii*. These surveys form the baseline understanding of abundance and distribution for the species at this time. Annual targeted surveys of all populations will assist in determining the natural variation in flowering each year and this additional information will support amendments to the trigger and threshold targets for Outcome-based provisions through adaptive management.

Targeted surveys are designed by expert botanists and will be conducted annually. The targeted survey includes revisiting all known historic plants and searching in the immediate vicinity and any other habitat likely to support the species. Control sites are established in locations supporting the species and outside of potential impacts by mining operations. While both *C. hopperiana* and *C. dorrienii* are habitat restricted, the *C. hopperiana* control location has been set to represent the population in the eastern QTR (outside of the PAA) and the *C. dorrienii* control population selected is the population in the north west of the QTR (see Figure 7-1). Baseline monitoring for *C. dorrienii* is ongoing at this time.



**Table 7-2: Summary of recorded *C. hopperiana* abundance over time**

Survey Period	Surveyed by	Confirmed Abundance (Flowering)	
		All locations	Population 2
2012/13	DBCA	~227*	11*
2017	DBCA	Not assessed	36*
2019	Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd	312	32
2023	DBCA	388	308
2024	Onshore Environmental Consultants Pty Ltd	805	286
2025	Onshore Environmental Consultants Pty Ltd	413	139

\* Values interpreted from shapefiles provided by DBCA in March 2024.

**Table 7-3: Summary of recorded *C. dorrienii* abundance over time**

Survey Period	Surveyed by	Abundance	
		Total	Flowering
2025	Onshore Environmental	387	207



**LEGEND**

- Main Road
- - - Track
- MS1237 Approved Envelopes:
  - Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)
  - Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Flora Records:**

- Caladenia dorrienii (T)
- Caladenia hopperiana (T)

**Additional Buffers:**

- Additional Protected Buffers (50m)

**Worsley Protected Areas:**

- Protected Area [WAPL]

SOUTH32  
 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**FIGURE 7-1**  
**Locations and Buffers for Caladenia dorrienii and Caladenia hopperiana**

Author: dlmm1  
 Created: March 2026  
 File: FMAP\_AR\_v1\_Fig4-2\_LocationsAndBuffers\_CalDor\_CalHopp\_A3\_20260319.mxd

### 7.7 TARGETED *CALADENIA CAESAREA* SUBSP *MOORADUNG* POPULATION SURVEYS

Targeted surveys for *C. caesarea* subsp. *Mooradung* will be completed in accordance with the EPA's Technical guidance – *Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment* by external qualified flora consultants with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion. Surveys will be completed on an annual basis for all known populations within the PAA (see Figure 6-1) for three years to establish baseline conditions and natural variability in abundance for the species. Annual targeted surveys of all populations will assist in determining the natural variation in emergence and support refinement of trigger and threshold targets for Outcome-based provisions through adaptive management. Upon establishment of a baseline monitoring will be reduced to three yearly. If the conservation classification of this species is assessed as Threatened then the frequency of monitoring will increase to annual.

Baseline data is still being collected for *C. caesarea* and no impact to the known population is expected in the near future. However, during baseline assessment a control population will be determined to assist with reviewing results from ongoing monitoring.

### 7.8 FOREST HYGIENE MAPPING

Forest hygiene mapping is completed in a progressive manner as part of the pre-clearance process for mining operations. Interpretation of forested areas is completed by qualified external consultants in accordance with the current DBCA manual, "*Phytophthora Dieback Interpreters Manual for lands managed by the Department*" and all dieback reports are submitted for validation in accordance with DBCA requirements. Dieback interpretation for BBM operations is considered valid for two years from the date of survey after which the area must be re-interpreted prior to any clearing activities taking place.

### 7.9 REGIONAL FRAGMENTATION ASSESSMENT

A regional fragmentation assessment will be conducted encompassing the Wider Mapped Area surrounding the PAA (as outlined in Worsley, 2024). This assessment will use regional aerial imagery and internal spatial information (including flora and vegetation mapping and surveyed operational boundaries) to assess the extent and interconnectedness of remnant native vegetation, rehabilitation vegetation and restoration vegetation within the Wider Mapped Area. This assessment includes a process to determine the number of native vegetation parcels present and the respective size of each of these parcels. This process was first completed in 2023 to inform the Environmental Impact Assessment. Subsequent assessments will be completed on a five yearly basis commencing in 2028.

### 7.10 REGIONAL VEGETATION CONDITION ASSESSMENT

This monitoring program will be designed in consultation with external expert consultants and carried out on a five yearly basis. The intent is that spatial information (including NDVI) will be used to complete a vegetation health within the region within and surrounding the PAA. The assessment will assess changes in vegetation health over time and flag areas of potential concern that require ground truthing to verify the outcomes of the desktop survey activity. The report will review the current monitoring programs and make recommendations, as required, to improve these through adaptive management processes.

## 8 EMP PROVISIONS

### 8.1 OUTCOME-BASED PROVISIONS

This section describes the outcome-based provisions of this FVMP, which when implemented, will achieve the EPA objective for the environment factors Flora and Vegetation and manage impacts associated with Worsley's operations. These are based on the rationale outlined in Section 6.

The provisions included in this FVMP reflect management actions taken by Worsley, specifically targeting significant vegetation and flora species. Management actions for aspects relevant to significant fauna are addressed in the Conservation Significant Fauna Management Plan (200001091).

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

*Environmental Management Plan*



Worsley Alumina

The purpose of these outcome-based provisions is to meet legal requirements under MS1237 condition B12-1. These outcome-based provisions are further detailed in Table 8-1.

**Table 8-1: Outcome-based Provisions**

**EPA factor/s and objective/s:** Flora and Vegetation is “to protect flora and vegetation so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained”.

**Outcome/s:**

- (1) disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation;
- (2) ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to:
  - (a) threatened flora including *Caladenia hopperiana*;
  - (b) *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung;
  - (c) *Papistylus intropubens* and *Synaphea panhesya*;
  - (d) the Mount Saddleback Heath Communities Priority Ecological Community and vegetation type G4;
  - (e) other significant vegetation;
  - (f) other significant flora unless authorised by the CEO; and
  - (g) old growth forest.
- (3) ensure no disturbance or adverse impacts to more than:
  - (a) 2% of the known population of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex*;
  - (b) 8% of the known population of *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington;
  - (c) 2% of the known population of *Hibbertia ambita*, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;
  - (d) 2% of the known population of *Halgania corymbosa*, until it can be demonstrated that more than 100 individuals have been recorded, in which case 10% of the known population;
  - (e) 11.9 ha of Williams vegetation complex after the date of this Statement; and
  - (f) 332.5 ha of Michibin vegetation complex after the date of this Statement.
- (4) ensure that the proposal does not cause or contribute to the introduction and/or spread of forest disease, including *Phytophthora cinnamomi*, outside of areas identified as infected by the pre-clearance surveys required by condition B12-3.

**Key environmental values:** Threatened Ecological Communities, Priority Ecological Communities, Threatened flora, priority flora, forest hygiene

**Key impacts and risks:** Loss of biodiversity, spread of forest disease

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
<b>MS1237 Condition B12-1 (1)</b>					
<b>Outcome 1</b>	<b>Indicator:</b> <i>Reconciled spatial data</i> <b>Trigger Criteria:</b> The total reconciled area of disturbance for the environmental values as outlined under condition B12-1 (1) reaches 75% of the	<b>Trigger level actions:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notify EH&amp;A Manager and Production Planning Manager.</li> <li>• Review planned further disturbance activities during current harvesting / clearing cycle to ensure total</li> </ul>	10 Year Mine Plan to include forecasting of disturbance for all environmental values outlined under Condition 12-1 (1). Disturbance boundary surveys.	Annual  Monthly summary	Total disturbance against each environmental value included in the Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) Total disturbance and forecast disturbance

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
	<p>permitted disturbance area approved for clearing (see Table 8-2).</p> <p><b>Threshold Criteria:</b> The total reconciled area of disturbance for any environmental value as outlined under condition B12-1(1) reaches 95% of permitted disturbance (see Table 8-2).</p>	<p>disturbance will not exceed limits outlined in Condition B12-1 (1).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conduct an audit to ensure relevant controls are in place and effective to ensure compliance with limits outlined in Condition B12-1 (1).</li> </ul> <p><b>Contingency response actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notify EH&amp;A Manager and Production Planning Manager.</li> <li>Suspend all disturbance of the applicable environmental value until current disturbance boundaries have been surveyed and values have been verified.</li> <li>EH&amp;A Manager must approve any further disturbance for the applicable environmental value with additional controls applied (as deemed necessary) to ensure compliance with MS1237.</li> </ul>	Clearing reconciliation process to verify total area cleared.	Annual	<p>included in the 10-Year Mine Plan</p> <p>Reporting in accordance with MS1237 (as required).</p>
<b>Condition B12-1 (2)</b>					
<b>Outcome 2</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Proximity of disturbance to Protected Areas</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 1:</b> Disturbance proposed within 30 m of a defined Protected Area containing an environmental value listed under Condition B12-1 (2) of MS1237.</p> <p><b>Threshold Criteria 1:</b> Disturbance within Protected Areas defined for protection of environmental</p>	<p><b>Trigger Level Actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearing to be reviewed by Environmental Specialist with additional operational controls applied as necessary to ensure ongoing Protection of environmental values.</li> </ul> <p><b>Threshold contingency actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report disturbance to regulator (within 2 business days of becoming aware of the incident).</li> </ul>	Clearing Plan sign-offs. Survey of disturbance boundaries	As required. Reconciled monthly.	<p>Clearing areas reported within 10 Year Mine Plan and CAR.</p> <p>Incident and clearing boundaries to be included in CAR and 10 Year Mine Plan.</p> <p>Incident reports to regulators.</p>

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
	values listed under Condition B12-1 (2).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate the extent of any impact on applicable environmental matters outlined under condition B12-1(2) and the proposed response actions.</li> <li>Submit findings from the investigation and proposed response actions to the regulator within 12 business days of identification of the incident.</li> <li>Implement response actions as agreed with regulator.</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome 2</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Abundance (number of flowering plants) Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung.</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 2:</b> &gt;10% decline in abundance from baseline* within a given population of <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung where decline is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate potential cause of decline in abundance in consultation with an independent qualified expert.</li> <li>Implement preventative actions as appropriate to address findings from investigation.</li> <li>Conduct follow-up targeted flora monitoring including assessment of health for each plant and any obvious signs of indirect impacts (e.g. dust deposition) during the next appropriate monitoring period.</li> </ul>	<p>Targeted <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> Population Survey (see section 7.6).</p> <p>Targeted flora surveys – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages (see section 6.6.1.2)</p> <p>Follow-up Targeted flora surveys (including assessment of health)</p>	<p>Annually (for 3 years)</p> <p>3 yearly (following completion of baseline)</p> <p>Next available flowering period (Spring)</p>	<p>Summary of results from monitoring programs to be included in CAR.</p> <p>Incident reports to regulators.</p>
	<p><b>Threshold Criteria 2:</b> A sustained decline in abundance of <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung is identified during follow-up Targeted surveys OR a decline in abundance &gt;20% from baseline* is recorded within a given population of <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung where decline is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p>	<p><b>Threshold contingency actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report outcomes of follow-up monitoring program to Regulator.</li> <li>Conduct a detailed investigation supported by qualified external experts to determine the cause of any identified decline in presence and/or condition of matters listed under Condition B12-1(2).</li> </ul>			

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
	<p>* <i>baseline represents the mean abundance as determined through completion of 3 consecutive annual targeted surveys for any given population.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submit findings from the investigation and proposed response actions to the regulator.</li> <li>Implement agreed response actions.</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome 2</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Abundance (number of flowering plants) of Caladenia hopperiana within Population 2.</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 3:</b> Abundance of <i>C. hopperiana</i> within Population 2 is &lt;282** individual flowering plants, where decline in abundance is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p> <p>**The mean abundance from surveys conducted in 2023 and 2024 minus 1 standard deviation.</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate potential cause of decline in flora abundance in consultation with an independent qualified expert.</li> <li>Implement preventative actions as appropriate to address findings from investigation.</li> <li>Include assessment of health for each plant and any obvious signs of indirect impacts (e.g. dust deposition) during the next appropriate monitoring period.</li> </ul>	<p>Targeted <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> Population Survey (see section 7.6).</p> <p>Control sites are considered to be located within the eastern part of the QTR, outside of the PAA.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Summary of results from monitoring programs to be included in CAR</p> <p>Incident and investigation reports to regulator(s).</p>
	<p><b>Threshold Criteria 3:</b> Abundance of <i>C. hopperiana</i> within Population 2 recorded as &lt;266*** individual plants, where decline in abundance is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p> <p>*** The mean abundance from surveys conducted in 2023 and 2024 minus 2 standard deviations.</p>	<p><b>Threshold contingency actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cease native vegetation clearing within 200 m of the <i>C. hopperiana</i> population until an investigation has been completed.</li> <li>Report threshold exceedance to the applicable regulator(s).</li> <li>Conduct a detailed investigation supported by qualified external consultants to determine the cause of the identified decline in abundance.</li> <li>Submit findings from the investigation and proposed response actions to the relevant regulator(s).</li> </ul>			

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implement agreed response actions.</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome 2</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Abundance (number of flowering plants) of Caladenia dorrienii.</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 3:</b> &gt;10% decline in abundance from baseline**** within a given population of <i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> where decline is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate potential cause of decline in flora abundance in consultation with an independent qualified expert.</li> <li>Implement preventative actions as appropriate to address findings from investigation.</li> <li>Include assessment of health for each plant and any obvious signs of indirect impacts (e.g. dust deposition) during the next appropriate monitoring period.</li> </ul>	Targeted <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> Population Survey (see section 7.6).	Annual	Summary of results from monitoring programs to be included in CAR Incident and investigation reports to regulator(s).
	<p><b>Threshold Criteria 2:</b> A sustained decline in abundance of <i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> is identified during follow-up Targeted surveys OR a decline in abundance &gt;20% from baseline**** is recorded where decline is found to be inconsistent with control site (QTR north west population).</p> <p>**** baseline represents the mean abundance as determined through completion of 3 consecutive annual targeted surveys for any given population.</p>	<p><b>Threshold contingency actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report outcomes of follow-up monitoring program to Regulator.</li> <li>Conduct a detailed investigation supported by qualified external experts to determine the cause of any identified decline in presence and/or condition of matters listed under Condition B12-1(2).</li> <li>Submit findings from the investigation and proposed response actions to the regulator.</li> <li>Implement agreed response actions.</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome 2</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Vegetation condition (as assessed by qualified external consultant)</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 4:</b> A decline in vegetation condition from baseline for PEC, vegetation type G4 or old growth forest areas where</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate potential cause of decline in vegetation condition (i.e. evidence of dust deposition, review of relevant groundwater monitoring information, comparison with control sites etc).</li> </ul>	Targeted vegetation condition – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages (see section 6.6.1.2)	3 Yearly  Within 2 years of trigger exceedance	Summary of results from monitoring programs to be included in CAR Incident reports to regulators.

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
	<p>decline is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p> <p><b>Threshold Criteria 4:</b> A sustained or further decline in vegetation condition from baseline for PECs, vegetation type G4 or old growth forest areas (as identified during follow-up survey) which is potentially attributable to implementation of the Revised Proposal.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implement preventative actions as appropriate to address findings from investigation.</li> <li>Conduct follow-up vegetation condition monitoring within 2 years to reassess areas of potential decline, include additional locations within Protected Areas and additional control sites (as appropriate) to understand external contributing factors such as climate change.</li> </ul> <p><b>Threshold contingency actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report findings of monitoring program to Regulator.</li> <li>Conduct a detailed investigation with qualified external experts to determine the cause of any identified decline in condition of PECs, vegetation type G4 and / or old growth forest areas.</li> <li>Submit findings from the investigation and proposed response actions to the regulator.</li> <li>Implement agreed response actions.</li> </ul>	<p>Follow-up vegetation condition survey</p>		
<b>Outcome 2</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Identification of other significant vegetation or other significant flora</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 5:</b> A decline in vegetation condition from baseline for PEC, vegetation type G4 or old growth forest areas where decline is found to be inconsistent with control sites.</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notify EH&amp;A Manager and Production Planning Manager of identified other significant vegetation / flora.</li> <li>Apply the mitigation hierarchy to minimise impacts on the other significant vegetation / flora.</li> </ul>	<p>Pre-clearance surveys in accordance with condition B12-5 (see section 6.6.5).</p> <p>Survey of disturbance boundaries</p>	<p>Variable and dependent on requirements for species identification</p> <p>Monthly</p> <p>As required</p>	<p>Summary of results from monitoring programs to be included in CAR</p> <p>Incident reports and investigation findings to appropriate regulators.</p>

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review requirement for additional targeted surveys to understand distribution and abundance of other significant vegetation / flora.</li> <li>If avoidance is not practicable submit request for disturbance to the CEO of DWER for assessment.</li> </ul>	Follow-up Targeted flora / vegetation surveys (if required)		
	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Reconciled Spatial data</i></p> <p><b>Threshold Criteria 5:</b> Unauthorised disturbance to other significant vegetation or other significant flora identified from annual audit of spatial data for disturbance boundaries.</p>	<p><b>Contingency response actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report incident to the appropriate Regulator.</li> <li>Conduct an investigation to determine the cause of the incident and identify additional controls to be implemented to prevent recurrence.</li> <li>Submit findings from the investigation and proposed response actions to the regulator.</li> <li>Implement agreed response actions.</li> </ul>			
<b>MS1237 Condition B12-1 (3)</b>					
<b>Outcome 3</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>number of plants disturbed or reconciled spatial data</i></p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 6:</b> The total disturbance for the environmental values as outlined under condition B12-1 (3) exceeds the trigger criteria outlined in Table 8-3.</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notify EH&amp;A Manager and Production Planning Manager.</li> <li>Review planned further disturbance activities during current harvesting / clearing cycle to ensure total disturbance will not exceed limits outlined in Condition B12-1 (3).</li> <li>Conduct an audit to ensure relevant controls are in place and effective to ensure compliance with limits outlined in Condition B12-1 (3).</li> </ul>	Clearing Plan sign-offs. Survey of disturbance boundaries	As required. Reconciled monthly.	Total disturbance against each environmental value included in CAR Total disturbance and forecast disturbance included in the 10-Year Mine Plan Reporting in accordance with MS1237 (as required). Compliance Assessment Report
	<b>Threshold Criteria 6:</b>	<b>Threshold contingency actions:</b>			

Outcome: Disturb no more than 3,855 ha of native vegetation, 604 ha of rehabilitation vegetation and 74 ha of plantation vegetation					
Relevant Outcome/s	Indicator Trigger criteria & Threshold criteria	Response actions	Monitoring	Timing / frequency of monitoring	Reporting
	The total disturbance for the environmental values as outlined under condition B12-1 (3) exceeds the threshold criteria outlined in Table 8-3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notify EH&amp;A Manager and Production Planning Manager.</li> <li>Suspend all disturbance of the applicable environmental value until current disturbance has been verified.</li> <li>EH&amp;A Manager must approve any further disturbance for the applicable environmental value with additional controls applied (as deemed necessary) to ensure compliance with MS1237.</li> </ul>			
<b>MS1237 Condition B12-1 (4)</b>					
<b>Outcome 4</b>	<p><b>Indicator:</b> <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> occurrence</p> <p><b>Trigger Criteria 7:</b> New <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> (dieback) infestation identified during dieback interpretation.</p> <p><b>Threshold Criteria 7:</b> Identification of a new dieback infestation likely to have been caused by Worsley operations.</p>	<p><b>Trigger level actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report findings to applicable regulators.</li> <li>Restrict access to the area.</li> <li>Develop and implement a pit specific Forest Hygiene Management Plan for all operations planned within the infested area.</li> </ul> <p><b>Threshold contingency actions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report incident to regulator.</li> <li>Investigate potential cause of pathogen spread.</li> <li>Determine additional management measures required to prevent recurrence in consultation with regulator.</li> <li>Review and update operational procedures and plans as required.</li> </ul>	<p>Dieback interpretation of proposed clearing areas.</p> <p>Additional investigative dieback interpretation.</p> <p>Resurvey of known infestations (including rehabilitation).</p> <p>Audit of compliance with Forest Hygiene Management Plans.</p>	<p>Annual</p> <p>As required</p> <p>5-yearly</p> <p>As required</p>	<p>Compliance Assessment Report (CAR)</p> <p>Incident reports</p>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

**Table 8-2: Trigger and Threshold values for Environmental Values for MS1237 Condition B12-1(1)**

Environmental Value	MS1237 Limit	Trigger (75%)	Threshold (95%)	Tolerance after 95%
Native Vegetation	3,855 ha	2,890 ha	3,662 ha	193 ha
Rehabilitation Vegetation	604 ha	450 ha	570 ha	34 ha
Plantation Vegetation	75 ha	56 ha	70 ha	5 ha

**Table 8-3: Trigger and Threshold values for Environmental Values for MS1237 Condition B12-1(3)**

Environmental Value	MS1237 Limit	Known Population*	Trigger (%)	Trigger Value*	Threshold (%)	Threshold Value*
<i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. simplex	2% of known population	2,058	1.5%	30 plants	2%	41 plants
<i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington	8% of known population	101,587	6%	6,095 plants	8%	8,126 plants
<i>Hibbertia ambita</i>	10% of known population (>100 individuals)	44,532	7.5%	3,339 plants	10%	4,453 plants
<i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	2% of known population (<100 individuals)	79	1.5%	1 plant	2%	1 plant
Williams vegetation complex	11.9 ha	N/A	75%	8.9 ha	95%	11.3 ha
Michibin vegetation complex	332.5 ha	N/A	75%	249.4 ha	95%	316.0 ha

\*Values accurate as at January 2026. The known population and associated trigger and threshold values may change following completion of additional flora surveys, this will be included in the annual report.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

## 8.2 OBJECTIVE-BASED PROVISIONS

This section describes the objective-based provisions for conservation significant flora and vegetation, which when implemented, will achieve the EPA objective for the environment factor of Terrestrial Flora and Vegetation and manage impacts associated with Worsley's operations. These objective-based provisions are based on the approach described in Section 9.

The objective-based provisions included in this FVMP reflect management actions taken by the operation specifically targeting conservation significant flora species and vegetation. Management actions for aspects relevant to conservation significant fauna are included in the CSFMP (200001091).

The purpose of the objective-based provisions is to meet the legal requirements under MS1237 condition B12-2. These objective-based provisions are further detailed in Table 8-4.

**Table 8-4: Objective-based Provisions**

**EPA factor/s and objective/s:** Flora and Vegetation is “to protect flora and vegetation so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained”.

**Objective/s:**

- (1) Avoid and minimise indirect impacts to flora and vegetation including but not limited to impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water<sup>2</sup> and fragmentation.

**Key environmental values:** Flora and vegetation, ecosystem function, biodiversity

**Key impacts and risks:** Loss of biodiversity, spread of forest disease

Management Targets	Management actions	Contingency measures	Monitoring	Timing/frequency of monitoring	Reporting
<b>MS1237 Condition B12-2(1)</b>					
<b>Forest disease</b>					
<b>No new dieback infestation as a result of Worsley operations within the life of the proposal (15 years).</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prior to clearing each area to be disturbed in the PAA, forest disease mapping will be undertaken in line with DBCA’s <i>Phytophthora Dieback Interpreters Manual for Lands Managed by the Department</i> and DBCA’s <i>Phytophthora Dieback Management Manual</i> (DBCA, 2020), as amended or replaced from time to time.<sup>3</sup> Dieback mapping must have been completed within 2 years prior to the proposed disturbance to be considered current.</li> <li>If dieback is identified within a proposed disturbance area, a detailed site specific Hygiene Management Plan must be developed prior to disturbance occurring. This will include considerations for:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mine planning;</li> <li>Clearing and pit development;</li> <li>Topsoil and subsoil removal and stockpiling;</li> <li>Mining and reshaping earthworks;</li> <li>Rehabilitation of the stockpile areas;</li> <li>Revegetation;</li> <li>Contaminated material management; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>Investigate incident to understand causes and implement operational improvements as required to minimise the potential for recurrence.</li> </ul>	<p>Forest Disease Mapping</p> <p>Reassessment of known pathogen infestations within operational areas</p>	<p>Annual (areas identified within 10 Year Mine Plan)</p> <p>3 Yearly</p>	<p>Compliance Assessment Report</p> <p>Submission of Forest Disease Mapping to DBCA</p> <p>Incident reports to regulators.</p>

<sup>2</sup> Indirect impacts associated with changes in groundwater and surface water are included in Worsley’s Water Management Plan (01027243) required under condition 16-2 of MS1237 and are not included in this FVMP.

<sup>3</sup> As per MS1237 Condition B12-3.

Management Targets	Management actions	Contingency measures	Monitoring	Timing/frequency of monitoring	Reporting
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Ongoing monitoring.</li> <li>• Hygiene management plans of high-risk locations may be reviewed by DBCA for completeness and best practise management processes.</li> <li>• Infested topsoil shall be stockpiled separately within dieback infested areas and then returned to the demarcated infested area after mining is complete.</li> <li>• Gravel and topsoil must not be relocated from Crown to private land or vice-versa without the specific approval of the relevant government agency and / or land owner.</li> <li>• Access is restricted for known dieback infestations.</li> <li>• Dieback training required for all personnel with a Minesite Driver's Permit.</li> <li>• All vehicles must be clean of soil and vegetation prior to entry to site.</li> <li>• Vehicles and personnel must be clean on entry and clean on exit from private properties.</li> <li>• Areas that are identified as being infested with dieback resulting from mining and associated activities will be rehabilitated in a manner deemed appropriate to the level of impact. The rehabilitation process of each area will be included in the area-specific soil hygiene management plan.</li> <li>• Where appropriate, DBCA will be consulted to ensure the measures taken are consistent with DBCA objectives, and Worsley will review and update the procedures accordingly as required.</li> </ul>				
	Native Flora and Vegetation				
<b>Total disturbance for the environmental values, as outlined under condition B12-1 (3), is maintained</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete targeted pre-clearance surveys for conservation significant flora and application apply of associated management actions in accordance with section 6.6.5.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> </ul>	Pre-clearance surveys	Ongoing (in accordance with 10 Year Mine Plan)	Compliance Assessment Report

Management Targets	Management actions	Contingency measures	Monitoring	Timing/frequency of monitoring	Reporting
<p><b>within allowable limits for the duration of the operation.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Establish Protected Areas for any identified conservation significant flora and vegetation in accordance with section 6.6.1.</li> <li>Report findings from pre-clearance surveys and management measures applied within the annual Flora and Vegetation Management Plan.</li> <li>Conduct an annual review of the EPBC Act and BC Act listed species to identify any changes or additions to relevant conservation significant flora and vegetation. Amend pre-clearance monitoring programs as required through adaptive management processes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate incident to understand causes and implement operational improvements as required to minimise the potential for recurrence.</li> </ul>	Vegetation Condition – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages	3 yearly	Incident reports to regulators.
<p><b>Disturbance within High Quality Wandoo areas within the PAA will not exceed 25% for the duration of the project.</b></p> <p>Note: spatial definition of High Quality Wandoo areas within the PAA will be completed by June 2025.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Areas identified by aerial photography as high-quality Wandoo to be verified by June 2025.</li> <li>The verified High Quality Wandoo areas must be maintained as a formal layer within site GIS system.</li> <li>10 Year Mine Plan will be used to monitor and forecast impacts to High Quality Wandoo annually. Impacts will be minimised where practicable.</li> <li>Annual and cumulative clearing must be included in the Annual Environmental Report including tracking against management target.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>Investigate incident to understand causes and implement operational improvements as required to minimise the potential for recurrence.</li> </ul>	<p>Validate high-quality Wandoo areas within the PAA by June 2025.</p> <p>Survey of disturbance boundaries</p>	<p>One off (by June 2025)</p> <p>Annual (10 Year Mine Plan) Monthly</p>	<p>Annual and cumulative clearing of high quality Wandoo will be included in the CAR. Forecast disturbance of High Quality Wandoo for the following 2-3 years will be included in the 10 Year Mine Plan.</p>
<p><b>A progressive reduction in fragmentation within the PAA is observed from the 2028 assessment period for the duration of the project.</b></p> <p>Note the baseline assessment completed in 2023 is not applied given fragmentation will</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implement Ecological Linkages in accordance with section 6.6.3.</li> <li>Implement Protected Areas and Protection Commitments in accordance with section 6.6.1 and 6.6.2 respectively.</li> <li>Complete progressive rehabilitation in accordance with 6.6.8.</li> <li>Implement ecological restoration in accordance with the Local Offset Management Plan (200001090).</li> <li>Monitor regional fragmentation on a 5 yearly basis to understand effectiveness of</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>Investigate incident to understand causes.</li> <li>Review current rehabilitation and restoration plans to identify opportunities to reduce fragmentation in the next planning cycle.</li> </ul>	Regional Fragmentation Assessment	5 yearly	<p>Rehabilitation and restoration activities reported in CAR.</p> <p>Outcomes of 5 yearly Regional Fragmentation Assessment and progress against target reported in CAR.</p>

Management Targets	Management actions	Contingency measures	Monitoring	Timing/frequency of monitoring	Reporting
increase in the initial 5 years of implementation due to establishment of long term infrastructure.	management measures and implement adaptive management as required.				Rehabilitation deficit reported in 10 Year Mine Plan.
<b>Weeds</b>					
<b>No new outbreaks of weeds of national significance or declared plants as a result of Worsley operations within the life of the proposal (15 years).</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitoring for weed presence in areas prior to disturbance and following rehabilitation.</li> <li>Include identification of likely declared weed species in site training packages and encourage reporting by all personnel.</li> <li>Regular inspection and treatment for known weed populations and prior to commencement of development activities.</li> <li>New populations identified will be added to a maintained map of known declared weed locations.</li> <li>Where appropriate, DBCA will be consulted to advise on the measures taken are consistent with DBCA objectives, and Worsley will review and update the procedures accordingly as required.</li> <li>Monitor progress and effectiveness of management activities.</li> <li>Alternate topsoil management practices may be applied in areas with a high weed load (i.e. pasture to bush). Trials are currently underway to assess best management techniques and adaptive management will be applied as required.</li> <li>Forest Hygiene management practices as outlined in section 6.6.6.2.</li> <li>Weed management measures as outlined in section 6.6.7.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>Implement weed management measures in accordance with section 6.6.7.</li> </ul>	<p>Rehabilitation Establishment</p> <p>Inspections of known declared weed populations</p>	<p>At age 2, 5, 10, and every subsequent 10 years</p> <p>As required</p>	<p>Compliance Assessment Report.</p> <p>Incident reports.</p>
<b>Dust</b>					

Management Targets	Management actions	Contingency measures	Monitoring	Timing/frequency of monitoring	Reporting
<b>No recorded deaths of conservation significant flora or vegetation because of dust impacts from Worsley operations within the life of the proposal (15 years).</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dust suppression applied on haul roads and within fixed plant.</li> <li>Speed restricted to 60km/h onsite. Further speed restrictions applied during high dust conditions.</li> <li>Monitoring of dust in accordance with Part V of the EP Act.</li> <li>Protected Areas established in accordance with section 6.6.1 include buffers of at least 30m for all sensitive flora and vegetation.</li> <li>Three yearly vegetation condition monitoring to be completed for Protected Areas, Ecological Linkages and Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDEs)<sup>4</sup> to assess extent of indirect impacts on flora and vegetation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>Investigate incident to understand causes and implement operational improvements as required to minimise the potential for recurrence.</li> </ul>	<p>Ambient dust monitoring program</p> <p>Targeted flora and vegetation condition – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages</p>	<p>In accordance with Part V</p> <p>3 yearly</p>	<p>Incident reports to regulator in accordance with Part V approvals and within AER and CAR.</p> <p>Summary of results and conclusions from Targeted flora and vegetation condition – Protected Areas and Ecological Linkages monitoring program included in CAR.</p>
<b>Fire</b>					
<b>No uncontrolled fires effecting &gt;20 ha of remnant native vegetation, as a result of Worsley operations, for the duration of the operation (15 years).</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation and maintenance of fire breaks.</li> <li>Compliance with fire, harvest and vehicle movement bans issued by local Shire.</li> <li>Regular inspections of adjacent forested areas for spot fires must be completed during forest debris burning and a response vehicle must be on-hand.</li> <li>Site emergency response team and equipment to be maintained to allow efficient response to uncontrolled fires in the local area.</li> <li>If required, emergency clearing may be completed to minimise impacts from wildfire.</li> <li>Compliance with requirements of DBCA/Worsley Working Arrangements.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>Investigate incident to understand causes and implement operational improvements as required to minimise the potential for recurrence.</li> </ul>	<p>Firebreak inspections</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Incident reports to regulators and within CAR.</p>
Groundwater and Surface Water					

<sup>4</sup> Impacts on GDEs are included in Worsley's Water Management Plan (01027243 required under condition 16-2 of MS1237 and are not included in this FVMP.

Management Targets	Management actions	Contingency measures	Monitoring	Timing/frequency of monitoring	Reporting
<p><b>No negative impacts to conservation significant flora and vegetation associated with groundwater mounding as a result of Worsley operations, for the duration of the operation (15 years).</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimise native vegetation disturbance and utilise existing cleared areas or areas that will be disturbed for future mining pits where possible.</li> <li>• Implement the WMP (01027243) including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ groundwater and surface water monitoring programs with associated outcome- and objective-based provisions.</li> <li>○ Application of stream buffers.</li> <li>○ Installation of water management infrastructure (sumps, drainage lines etc.) for all operational areas including haul roads.</li> <li>○ Obtain Bed and Banks permits under the RIWI Act for any disturbance required to stream beds and banks.</li> <li>○ Reshape disturbed areas to match surrounding contours during progressive rehabilitation to minimise impacts on surface water drainage patterns.</li> <li>○ Regular sustainable yield testing for all production bores in the shallow and the deep fractured rock aquifer (6 yearly).</li> <li>○ Progressive rehabilitation of disturbed land.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report management target exceedances in accordance with MS1237.</li> <li>• Investigate incident to understand causes and implement operational improvements as required to minimise the potential for recurrence.</li> </ul>	<p>Ongoing monitoring in accordance with the WMP (01027243) including application of TARP requirements.</p> <p>Triennial aquifer reviews by hydrogeologist</p> <p>Monitoring of abstraction rates against sustainable yield.</p> <p>Sustainable Yield Assessment</p> <p>Regular inspection and maintenance of sumps.</p>	<p>Variable (see WMP)</p> <p>3 Yearly</p> <p>Monthly</p> <p>6 Yearly</p> <p>Annual</p>	<p>Summary of water monitoring data, water abstraction and triennial aquifer reviews provided within CAR.</p> <p>Reporting of incidents and associated corrective actions to Regulator in accordance with applicable TARPs.</p> <p>Compliance Assessment Report.</p> <p>Rehabilitation maintenance works and environmental incidents are included in the CAR.</p> <p>Reporting in accordance with requirements of Part V approvals.</p>

## 9 ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT AND REVIEW

### 9.1 ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT AND PLAN REVIEW

This content of the FVMP will be reviewed triennially by Worsley to assess effectiveness, ongoing relevance and incorporate improved management strategies derived from assessment of monitoring, research and positive corrective actions from incident investigations.

The review will consider:

- Changes to relevant legislation, policy, guidelines, guidance material and industry practices;
- Results from surveying and monitoring programs;
- Specialist advice and stakeholder consultation, including DBCA;
- Implementation and effectiveness of control measures;
- Maintaining compliance to environmental commitments;
- Reviewing and updating for “at risk species”;
- Extending protection capabilities for newly identified critical habitats or species;
- Implementing buffers for Protected Areas;
- Conducting and committing to recommendations from research;
- Targeted use of available land for improving environmental outcomes and objectives;
- Ongoing identification and management of Ecological Linkages and wildlife corridors;
- Maintaining supporting documentation Performance indicators and any corrective actions; and
- Changes to operational activities leading to changes in the risk.

The FVMP will be submitted to the CEO within twelve months from the date of the approved Ministerial Statement, and an appendix to the plan will be submitted (Annual Flora and Vegetation Management Plan) annually thereafter to include the reporting conditions as outlined in Condition B12-6 (1)-(3).

### 9.2 COMPLIANCE AUDITING

The FVMP is audited against the compliance assessment plan (CAP) in accordance with MS1237 Condition D2-1. Any non-compliances of the provisions set out in this CAP are identified via events registered within the internal incident, risk reporting and management system (G360) and will be reported within the CAR. Audit findings are communicated internally through G360 and to the CEO through the annual Compliance Assessment Report (CAR). All corrective actions are assigned to relevant areas for close-out.

The CAR must be provided in a form suitable for publication on the South32 website and online by DWER, as required by MS1237 Condition D2-4(5).

## 10 REPORTING

### 10.1 REPORTING UNDER MINISTERIAL CONDITIONS

Reporting will be completed in accordance with the requirements of MS1237 as follows:

Reporting under condition D-2 (1):

*“The proponent must provide an annual Compliance Assessment Report to the CEO for the purpose of determining whether the implementation conditions are being complied with.”*

Reporting under condition B12-6:

*“In order to meet the outcomes of condition B12-1, objectives of condition B12- 2 and satisfy the requirements of condition C4, within twelve (12) months from the date of this Statement, and annually thereafter, the proponent shall prepare and submit an annual Flora and Vegetation Environmental Management Plan and submit it to the CEO on advice from DBCA. This plan shall:*

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

1. include details of the timing, methods, limitations, survey effort and results of the pre-clearance surveys required by conditions B12-3, B12-4 and B12-5 and demonstrate how the findings of the survey(s) have been considered, including identification of mitigation measures;
2. demonstrate buffer zones are appropriately sized to adequately protect the environmental values listed in conditions B12-1(2) and B12-1(3), from the effects of forest disease, dust, weeds, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation; and
3. include actions to ensure that forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation, are appropriately managed to ensure the environmental outcomes listed in conditions B12- 1(2) and B12-1(3) are met.

Reporting under condition C3-2:

"The proponent must submit as part of the Compliance Assessment Report required by condition D2, a compliance monitoring report that:

- (1) outlines the monitoring that was undertaken during the implementation of the proposal;
- (2) identifies why the monitoring was capable of substantiating whether the proposal limitation and extents in Part A are exceeded;
- (3) for any environmental outcomes to which condition C3-1(2) applies, identifies why the monitoring was scientifically robust and capable of detecting whether the environmental outcomes in Part B are met;
- (4) outlines the results of the monitoring;
- (5) reports whether the proposal limitations and extents in Part A were exceeded and (for any environmental outcomes to which condition C3-1 (2) applies) whether the environmental outcomes in Part B were achieved, based on analysis of the results of the monitoring; and
- (6) reports any actions taken by the proponent to remediate any potential non-compliance."

### 10.2 ANNUAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

The CAR outlines the progressive implementation of environmental management and research programs and provides a detailed performance and compliance report regarding statutory environmental requirements. The CAR meets the requirements for reporting detailed in the Ministerial Statement as outlined above. Information reported in the CAR applicable to this FVMP is outlined in Table 8-1 to Table 8-4

During the reporting period, any environmental incidents are reported to the relevant government department and are investigated with corrective measures identified and actioned.

### 10.3 WORSLEY STATE AGREEMENT AND 10-YEAR MINE PLAN

Worsley is bound by the provisions of the Worsley State Agreement. Clause 16 (10) of this agreement requires Worsley to produce a Plan of Bauxite Mining Operations for the coming ten years (10-Year Mine Plan). This plan is produced and updated every year in consultation with the EMLG for submission to the State Government for endorsement. In preparation of the 10-Year Mine Plan, the following aspects of flora, vegetation and rehabilitation are considered:

- The sequence of mining and rehabilitation;
- Access for mining and future management;
- Location of mine facilities;
- Dieback hygiene;
- Water management systems and water course protection;
- Land use priorities; and
- Buffer zones for fire protection.

### 10.4 ANNUAL FLORA AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN (ANNUAL COMPLIANCE)

In accordance with Condition B12-6 of the MS1237, a FVMP must be prepared annually and submitted to the CEO on advice from the DBCA. The FVMP will be assessed for compliance annually through the CAR and an annual report (Appendix B – FVMP Annual Report) will be provided that addresses the following:

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

- Details of the timing, methods, limitations, survey effort and results of the pre-clearance surveys and demonstrate how the findings of the survey(s) have been considered, including identification of mitigation measures for *Phytophthora*, old growth forests and Threatened flora, Priority flora, new species and/or undescribed species, and TECs and PECs and vegetation type G4.
- Demonstrate buffer zones are appropriately sized to adequately protect from the effects of forest disease, dust, weeds, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation for:
  - *Caladenia hopperiana*;
  - *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung;
  - *Papistylus intropubens* and *Synaphea panhesya*;
  - Mount Saddleback Heath Communities PEC and vegetation type G;
  - Other significant vegetation;
  - Other significant flora;
  - Old growth forest;
  - Known population of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex*;
  - Known population of *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington;
  - Known population of *Hibbertia ambita*;
  - Known population of *Halgania corymbosa*;
  - Williams vegetation complex; and
  - Michibin vegetation complex.
- Detail management actions taken to minimise indirect impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation in order to meet the environmental outcomes and objectives (refer to Section 6.1).

The Annual Reporting section (Appendix B) will be accompanied with the FVMP wholistically on an annual basis for review.

## 11 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The roles and responsibilities for the implementation of the FVMP are described within Table 11-1.

**Table 11-1: Roles and Responsibilities**

Role	Responsibility
<b>Manager Environment and Heritage</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oversees that flora and vegetation monitoring is included in planning and budgeting processes.</li> <li>• Present flora and vegetation survey findings and proposed avoidance actions to the Worsley Lead Team.</li> <li>• Manages and investigates reports of dieback, Threatened flora observations and incidents; and communicates management and/or mitigation and monitoring measures to relevant regulatory authorities outlined in Section 5.2, Table 5-1.</li> <li>• Review and submit CAR</li> </ul>
<b>Principal Environment Supervisor</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop schedules for review and audit of Environmental Management Plans.</li> <li>• Monitor compliance to commitments.</li> <li>• .</li> </ul>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Role	Responsibility
<b>Environment Specialists</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Commission flora and vegetation monitoring programs within remnant vegetation and rehabilitation areas. Implement remedial actions as required.</li> <li>Implement weed management where required.</li> <li>Develop mapping layers for Protection Commitments and Protected Areas.</li> <li>Communicate findings and recommendations from flora and vegetation surveys to relevant internal and external stakeholders.</li> <li>Provide spatial information to Superintendent Mine Development Planning.</li> <li>Conduct annual Protected Matters Review.</li> <li>Monitor progress of rehabilitation against completion criteria.</li> <li>Prepare CAR.</li> <li>Work with DBCA to complete regular reviews of the DBCA – WAPL<sup>5</sup> Working Arrangements.</li> <li>Oversees that the appropriate environmental content is included in site training packages.</li> <li>Acquire and organise installation of Ecological Linkage signage.</li> <li>Prepare and audit compliance with pit specific Soil Hygiene Management Plans.</li> <li>Commission dieback interpretation in line with the 10-Year Mine Plan requirements.</li> <li>Order supplies for rehabilitation to support the 10-Year Mine Plan and maintain an adequate seed store (i.e. seed, recalcitrant plants, fertilizer etc).</li> </ul>
<b>Superintendent Mine Development Planning</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Oversee the preparation of the 10-Year Mine Plan</li> <li>Maintain mapping layers for Protection Commitments and Protected Areas in Mine Planning software.</li> <li>Track progressive disturbance for reporting against Protection Commitments</li> <li>Report on Protected Areas and Protection Commitments in the 10-Year Mine Plan.</li> <li>Plan rehabilitation to support Ecological Linkages.</li> <li>Conduct annual audit of surveyed clearing areas against the Protection Commitments.</li> </ul>
<b>Principal Long-Term Planning</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plans establish Protected Areas</li> <li>Incorporates the long term mine plans and maintain Protection Commitments through prioritisation of areas for the life of the operation.</li> <li>Long term mine plans reach rehabilitation commitments.</li> <li>Identify and endorse areas of rehabilitation for protection.</li> </ul>
<b>Mine Services</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete rehabilitation works in accordance with site plans, procedures, standards and specifications.</li> </ul>

<sup>5</sup> South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (WAPL)

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Role	Responsibility
<b>Principal – Environmental Approvals</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Commission surveys for biodiversity monitoring for new mining areas.</li> <li>Incorporate updates associated with new approvals into management plans and procedures.</li> <li>Develop and maintain Protected and Protection Commitment mapping layers.</li> <li>Process and maintain records of Recommendation for Area Protection Forms.</li> <li>Manage external approvals for disturbance as required.</li> </ul>
<b>Principal –Biodiversity Offsets</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development and implementation of Offset Plans.</li> <li>Commission Offset confirmation surveys.</li> </ul>
<b>Draftsperson Design Services</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compile all spatial information for clearing within the RLA (completed and proposed) for the Annual Clearing Reconciliation process.</li> </ul>
<b>All Staff and Contractors</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report dieback, Threatened flora observations and incidents to Manager Environment and Heritage.</li> </ul>

## 12 STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

### 12.1 DEPARTMENT OF BIODIVERSITY, CONSERVATION & ATTRACTIONS

The FVEMP outlines the management practices undertaken at Worsley to minimise the risk to flora and vegetation and is required to be prepared in consultation with DBCA (condition B12-6). Flora and vegetation management and development of processes and practices have been developed in consultation with DBCA since commencement of the Worsley State Agreement Act.; Condition 16(8) of the Act states “As may reasonably be required by the Conservator [DBCA], the Joint Venturers [Worsley] shall from time to time and at their expense take adequate measures “16(8)(1) for the progressive restoration and re-forestation of the forest destroyed” and further condition 5(1) which required the approval of an environmental review and management program. Worsley is bound by the provisions of both the Worsley agreement and the approved ERMPs (including 1979 and April 2006) – both which include objectives and undertakings in regard to rehabilitation.

The agreement in relation to state forest rehabilitation was further formalised with the development of a Rehabilitation Prescription which outlines the mechanisms by which the DBCA and Worsley will provide for progressive mine-pit rehabilitation in Timber Reserve areas (as defined in Section 6(2) of the Conservation and Land Management Act 1984) of the South32 Worsley Alumina Principal Mineralised Area (i.e. Saddleback, Quindanning and Marradong forest blocks). Further to this DBCA and Worsley have developed and continue to review and agree on a set of Working Arrangements, first developed in 2002 and reviewed every 5 years, which sets out the responsibilities for each party in the rehabilitation process.

DBCA has been an integral member of the Worsley Environmental Management Liaison Group (EMLG) since the group was formed following approval of the Worsley expansion in 1994 with Ministerial Statement 423. The purpose of the EMLG as outlined by MS423 was to “continue to review the mining plans of the proponent and review the proponent’s environmental performance in accordance with the Environmental Plan required by condition 4-1”. The ongoing review of the mining plan and annual environmental reports has continued on at least an annual basis since this time.

The FVEMP has evolved from the Biodiversity and Forest Management Plan (BFMP) which has been a requirement for the Worsley Operation since approval was provided for the Efficiency and Growth Expansion in 2006, in accordance with MS719. The BFMP was updated as an appendix to the Worsley ERD (2022) and Response to Submissions Document (2024) (Appendix B1). Comments from DBCA as part of the review of the Revised Proposal approval application documents (Environmental Review Document and Response to Submission document) during the consultation phase have been incorporated into the BFMP and subsequently into this FVEMP. This consultation is outlined below in Table 12-1.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

In addition to the requirements of this FVEMP, Worsley will also submit applications under section 40 of the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* and ensure compliance with the condition on any provided section 40 Authorisation.

**Table 12-1 DBCA Consultation Regarding Development of Flora and Vegetation Management and Development of this Management Plan**

Date	Discussion	Outcome
<b>2 Yearly</b>	<p><b>Rehabilitation prescription</b></p> <p>The aim of Rehabilitation prescription is to outline the mechanisms by which the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) and South32 Worsley Alumina (Worsley) will provide for progressive mine-pit rehabilitation in Timber Reserve areas (as defined in Section 6(2) of the Conservation and Land Management Act 1984), of the South32 Worsley Alumina Principal Mineralised Area (i.e. Saddleback, Quindanning and Marradong forest blocks).</p> <p>To achieve this aim, the document sets out the responsibilities of each party and develops a set of policies, objectives, strategies and review mechanisms for rehabilitation planning. These provide a framework from which a rehabilitation prescription will be prepared annually. The framework ensures that full advantage can be taken of the resources and experiences of both organisations and that the prescription is responsive to new information from trials, research and operational experience. It is also anticipated that the regular process of review built into the mechanism will identify aspects of rehabilitation requiring trial and experimentation.</p> <p>The Prescription is reviewed every two years between DBCA and Worsley.</p>	<p>Rehabilitation prescription is provided annually to DBCA to review, updates are made based on feedback.</p>
<b>Annual</b>	<p>An appendix to the Rehabilitation Prescription is provided annually to DBCA to provide details on the specific revegetation treatment for each rehabilitation area, including areas rehabilitated, seeding rates (to explain vegetation complexes targeted for rehabilitation and targeted tree seeding rates) and details of recalcitrant species incorporated into the years program.</p>	
<b>Annual</b>	<p><b>10 Year Mine Plan</b></p> <p>In accordance with Clause 16(10) of the Worsley State Agreement, submission of a plan with reasonable detail of the proposed mining operations upon areas of State Forest and Crown land during the succeeding ten years thereafter must be provided</p>	<p>DBCA has the opportunity to provide comments annually on the proposed clearing and rehabilitation activities. Clarification is provided where required on an annual basis and updates are made to the document and planning processes as required.</p> <p>An example was the addition of planning for ecological linkages in Marradong and the inclusion of an annually updated record of disturbance and percentage of remnant vegetation within the Timber Reserves.</p> <p>DBCA also requested s40 applications are submitted to support the 10 year plan proposed clearing areas, while this application is undertaken independently, it was raised in the feedback for the 10 year plan.</p>
<b>5 Yearly</b>	<p><b>DBCA/Worsley Working Arrangements</b></p> <p>Outlines the mechanisms by which DBCA and Worsley integrate working arrangements for Worsley's bauxite alumina activities on State lands managed by DBCA as outlined in the <i>Alumina Refinery (Worsley) Agreement Act 1973</i>. The document sets out the responsibilities of each</p>	<p>Working arrangements are awaiting update for 2024, and have been under review with DBCA since September 2023, Worsley are awaiting feedback so the arrangements can be finalised. Matters that will be updated include ensuring</p>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Date	Discussion	Outcome
	<p>party and provides a framework from which detailed working arrangements will be prepared or reviewed. The framework intends that full advantage can be taken of the resources and experiences of both organisations and that the arrangements are responsive to new information from operational experience, trials and research.</p>	<p>alignment with the 2024-2033 Forest Management Plan, interaction with public in recreational reserves and access and track management for exploration.</p>
	<p><b>Completion Criteria</b></p> <p>Worsley Alumina have drafted completion criteria based on the most recently agreed Completion Criteria for the Northern Jarrah Forest (agreed between the proponent and DBCA). Worsley Alumina have also undertaken a review against the WA Biodiversity Science Institute (WABS) Completion Criteria Framework as they apply to forest rehabilitation at the Boddington Bauxite Mine (this assessment, including the proposed criteria are presented in Appendix C4).</p> <p>The Worsley Alumina draft completion criteria were provided to DBCA in 2017 for consideration and comment, but unfortunately due to resourcing issues reported by the regulator, have not progressed to finalisation. Until DBCA endorses the draft Completion Criteria, Worsley Alumina will continue to implement rehabilitation in accordance with these draft criteria.</p> <p>While the completion criteria are still considered draft, Worsley Alumina has included review against the draft criteria, where appropriate, into the current monitoring programs.</p>	<p>Condition B14-2 of MS1237 requires the submission of a Rehabilitation Performance Report which will include the proposed biodiversity indicators and completion criteria.</p> <p>In accordance with condition B14-3 of MS1237 Worsley will include monitoring and reporting of finalised completion criteria in the Annual Rehabilitation Report.</p> <p>This EMP outlines the monitoring against these completion criteria as a monitoring activity to demonstrate the environmental outcomes are being met.</p>
12 Sep 2022	<p><b>DBCA ERD DMA Response</b></p> <p><b>Caladenia hopperiana</b></p> <p>The proponent provides further information or undertakes further investigations (targeted surveys) to clarify the full extent of impacts (direct and indirect) of the revised proposal on individuals of the threatened flora <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>, prior to implementation of the revised proposal, if approved.</p> <p>If the proposal is considered acceptable, the proponent seeks Ministerial Authorisation under the section 40 of the BC Act for the potential take (direct or indirect) of individuals of <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i>.</p>	<p>Table 6-6 &amp; Table 7-1 and Section 6.6.5 of this EMP addresses this feedback and Table 8-1 outlines the outcome based provisions related to protection of the species.</p> <p>Worsley will submit s40 applications for clearing in the areas that may have an indirect impact to <i>C. hopperiana</i>.</p>
	<p><b>Potentially new orchid species</b></p> <p>It is recommended that targeted surveys for <i>C. caesarea</i> subsp. 'Mooradung' are undertaken prior to disturbance in suitable habitat (i.e. seasonally moist flats and drainage lines) within the vicinity of the PAA, and impacts (direct and indirect) from the revised proposal on this species are avoided and/or minimised.</p>	<p>Table 6-6 &amp; Table 7-1 and Section 6.6.5 of this EMP addresses this feedback and Table 8-1 outlines the outcome based provisions related to protection of the species.</p>
	<p><b>Priority Flora</b></p> <p>That the proponent provides further information or undertakes further investigations (targeted surveys) to clarify the full extent of impacts (direct, indirect and cumulative) of the revised proposal on individuals of conservation significant flora.</p>	<p>Table 7-1 and Sections 6.2.2 &amp; 6.6.5 of this EMP addresses this matter</p>
	<p><b>Priority Ecological Communities</b></p> <p>There should be no impacts (direct and indirect) on the Priority 1 Mount Saddleback Heath Communities ecological community resulting from the implementation of the revised proposal.</p>	<p>Sections 6.2.2 &amp; 6.6.5 and Table 6-6 &amp; Table 7-1 of this EMP addresses this matter and Table 8-1 outlines the outcome based provisions related to</p>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Date	Discussion	Outcome
	<p>DBCA previously advised the proponent on 4 February 2021 that the G4 site-vegetation type, while not representative of the PEC, is considered to be of conservation significance and potentially requires consideration for listing as a separate priority ecological community.</p>	<p>protection of the Mount Saddleback Heath communities.</p> <p>While G4 is still not formally rated as a community of conservation significance, it has been incorporated into the protected communities.</p> <p>Sections 6.2.2 &amp; 6.6.5 and Table 10 &amp; Table 11 of this EMP addresses this matter and Table 12 outlines the outcome based provisions related to protection of the G4 community.</p>
	<p><b>Forest Hygiene</b></p> <p>If the proposal is considered acceptable, Phytophthora dieback interpretation, mapping and hygiene management should be undertaken in accordance with DBCA guidance (which includes review at regular intervals) to ensure that the proposal does not result in the introduction or spread of Phytophthora dieback or other pathogens.</p> <p>If the proposal is considered acceptable the proponent will need to provide DBCA, with each of the following documents prior to the clearing of native vegetation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dieback assessment information, (including GPS point evidence that supports each assessment)</li> <li>Risk assessments, (including for all drilling exploration)</li> <li>Dieback Management Plans prepared in accordance with the relevant guidance.</li> </ul>	<p>Section 6.6.6 of this EMP addresses this feedback</p>
	<p><b>Old Growth Forest</b></p> <p>That Old-growth forest is mapped prior to implementation of the revised proposal, in accordance with relevant guidance (and with review at regular intervals), and that appropriate management measures are implemented to ensure that the proposal does not result in impacts on old-growth forest.</p>	<p>Sections 6.6.1 &amp; 6.6.5 and Table 6-6 &amp; Table 7-1 of this EMP addresses this matter and Table 12 outlines the outcome based provisions related to protection of old growth forest.</p>
	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p>Requirement for the development and implementation (and regular review) of relevant management plans that specifies objectives and monitoring protocols to identify and manage impacts to conservation significant flora, fauna, and ecological communities.</p>	<p>Development of this EMP addresses this matter. Please see Section 9 for details on review and adaptive management proposed for this EMP.</p>
<b>Nov 2024</b>	EMP sent to DBCA for feedback	
<b>31 Jan 2025</b>	RFI received from EPA-S to be addressed	
<b>7 Feb 2025</b>		FVMP V2.0 updated to reflect requests for further information from EPA-S on 31 Jan 2025.
<b>20 Jan 2026</b>	FVMP (V6.1) submitted to DBCA for comment (Annual Review).	Feedback received on FVMP V6.1. FVMP amended to incorporate feedback in accordance with Worsley responses (FVMP V7.0).
<b>11 Mar 2027</b>	FVMP (V6.2) submitted via environment online and responses to comments also provided directly to DBCA.	

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

### 12.2 OTHER STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

Additional relevant stakeholder consultation in association with this FVMP is outlined in Table 12-2.

**Table 12-2: Stakeholder Consultation Summary**

Stakeholder	Consultation	Comments/Advice	Response
EPA-S	Oct 2024 - Provision of drafts for review and comments	Survey timing to be justified	Further justification added to the FVMP. Triggers from within the WMP relating to reviews of annual and triennial groundwater monitoring data that trigger increased frequency for assessment of vegetation condition in Protected Areas has also been added.
		Further detail on timing of surveys required specifically what year are they planned for and how these will fit into the 10 year Mine Plan	Clarification added to section 6.6.5 and Table 6-6
		Provide further details on the parameters and specifics of trigger criteria 2 related to targeted flora and vegetation condition in protected areas, specifically how a decline in presence / condition will be determined and how this will be attributable to the proposal	Additional information added to Section 6.6.1.2 and monitoring programs for Vegetation Condition and Targeted Flora surveys in Protected Areas have been split with additional detail added in Table 7-1.
		Please review the triggers and threshold levels presented in Table 14. It is unclear how these numbers have been developed and how they relate to condition B12-1(3)	Note added to Table 8-3 that the known population is as of 2024. Corrected values for vegetation complex trigger levels which were incorrect. Removed alternate values for trigger levels (as included in EPA Report 1768) with only values as proposed within the s106 Report included in this version.
		Draft condition B12-2 requires the implementation of the proposal to achieve the following environmental objective:  (1) avoid and minimise indirect impacts to flora and vegetation including but not limited to impacts from forest disease, dust, weeds, fire, changes in groundwater and surface water and fragmentation.  Table 15 provides management actions to address the above however no information on potential indirect impacts from changes in groundwater and surface water.  Please revise the plan to include management and monitoring measures to ensure the objective is met.	Key information from the WMP has been transferred into an additional line item in Table 8-4 for Groundwater and Surface water

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Stakeholder	Consultation	Comments/Advice	Response
		<p>Draft condition B12-6 requires the FVMP to be submitted to the CEO on advice from DBCA.</p> <p>Section 12.1 of this plan states that the EMLG has been established under the Worsley State Agreement and formalised under Ministerial Statement 423 and Ministerial Statement 719. The group has representatives from the DBCA, DWER, DPIRD, and the Department of Energy Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety.</p> <p>Please update section 12 to include information regarding the consultation with DBCA.</p>	<p>Section 12.2 has been added to the FVMP to address this.</p>
EPA-S	FVMP Minor Amendments – 8 Jan 2026	<p>Discussion regarding what EPA-S considered a minor amendment and reasoning for determination that FVMP V6 could not be implemented as a minor amendment.</p>	<p>FVMP (V6.1) to be submitted as an Annual Review incorporating proposed changes included in FVMP V6.</p>
	FVMP V6.1 – Annual Report	<p>Further comments received from DWER (incorporating DBCA comments also) for changes.</p>	<p>FVMP (V6.2) to be submitted with requested updates and responses to DWER Request for Information. (Once accepted by DWER, version 7 will become the final version and made publicly available. Noting no further changes will be made to the content, but minor updates, including removing the draft water mark, and completion of section 15 internal endorsements will be required).</p>
DCCEEW	Oct 2024 - Provision of drafts for review and comments	<p>No feedback required</p>	

## 13 DEFINITIONS, TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Table 13-1: Terms and Abbreviations

Term	Description
AER	Annual Environmental Report
BBM	Boddington Bauxite Mine
BC Act	<i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i>
FVMP	Flora and Vegetation Management Plan
BTC	Bauxite Transport Corridor
CAP	Compliance Assessment Plan
CAR	Compliance Assessment Report
CBME	Contingency Bauxite Mining Envelope

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Term	Description
CEO	Chief executive officer of the Department (currently Department of Water and Environmental Regulation)
DAZ	Disturbance Avoidance Zone
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions
DCCEEW	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
DPIRD	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development
DWER	Department of Water and Environment Regulation
EMLG	Environmental Management Liaison Group
EP Act	<i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i>
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
EPBC Act	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>
ERD	Environmental Review Document
FMP	Forest Management Plan 2024-2033
FHZ	Forest Habitat Zone
Known Population	In accordance with MS1237 refers to identified and documented facts about the location or locations of a group or groups of flora of the same species, including through surveys for the relevant species, within the region delineated by the PAA surrounded by a 20 km buffer.
MNES	Matters of National Environmental Significance
MS719	Ministerial Statement No. 719
MS1237	Ministerial Statement No. 1237
PAA	Primary Assessment Area
PEC	Priority Ecological Community
RLA	Refinery Lease Area
the Refinery	Worsley Refinery
The Revised Proposal	Worsley Mine Expansion (Revised Proposal) as referred for assessment under the EP Act and EPBC Act.
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community
Threatened	A species listed under Section 178 of the EPBC Act in any one of the following categories: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>extinct</li> <li>extinct in the wild</li> <li>critically endangered</li> <li>endangered</li> <li>vulnerable</li> <li>conservation dependent</li> </ul>
WMDE	Worsley Mining and Development Envelope
Worsley	South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd
Worsley State Agreement	<i>Alumina Refinery (Worsley) Agreement Act 1973</i>

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Term	Description
	<p><b>MS1237 Definitions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Native vegetation</b> - Native vegetation is defined by section 3(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 and means indigenous aquatic or terrestrial vegetation and includes dead vegetation unless that dead vegetation is of a class declared by regulation to be excluded but does not include vegetation in a plantation. Section 51A further defines that native vegetation includes vegetation that was intentionally sown, planted or propagated as required under the Act or another written law. However, in relation to the native vegetation to be cleared in the PAA this does not include rehabilitation vegetation.</li> <li>• <b>Rehabilitation vegetation</b> - Rehabilitation commences when earthworks, including ripping and contouring, occur in preparation for the area to receive topsoil and woody debris.</li> <li>• <b>Plantation vegetation</b> - One or more groups of trees, shrubs or plants intentionally sown, planted or propagated with a view to commercial exploitation.</li> <li>• <b>Threatened flora</b> - Flora listed as threatened under the BC Act or the EPBC Act.</li> <li>• <b>Old growth forest</b> - Ecologically mature forest where the effect of unnatural disturbance is negligible. Criteria for determining old growth forest are maintained by DBCA.</li> <li>• <b>Other significant flora</b> - Any other new flora species, undescribed flora species or priority flora species other than: <i>Acacia horridula</i>; <i>Asteridea gracilis</i>; <i>Banksia subpinnatifida</i> var. <i>imberbis</i>; <i>Banksia subpinnatifida</i> var. <i>subpinnatifida</i>; <i>Calothamnus quadrifidus</i> subsp. <i>teretifolius</i>; <i>Goodenia katabudjar</i>; <i>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum</i>; <i>Senecio leucoglossus</i>; <i>Stylidium marradongense</i>; <i>Tetratheca pilifera</i>; recorded in the pre-clearance surveys required under condition B12-5.</li> <li>• <b>Environmental values</b> – A beneficial use, or ecosystem health condition.</li> <li>• <b>Known population</b> - Previously identified and documented facts about the location of a group of flora of the same species as amended by pre-clearance surveys undertaken.</li> <li>• <b>Vegetation type G4</b> - A vegetation grouping described as open scrub and tall shrubland of <i>Hakea trifurcata</i> and <i>Hakea undulata</i> with admixtures of mallee species including <i>Eucalyptus latens</i> and <i>Eucalyptus aspersa</i> on clay to clay-loam soils over outcrops on slopes.</li> <li>• <b>Threatened ecological communities</b> - Ecological communities listed as threatened under the BC Act or the EPBC Act 1999.</li> <li>• <b>Priority ecological communities</b> - Ecological communities listed as priority by DBCA.</li> </ul>

## 14 REFERENCES

<i>Alumina Refinery (Worsley) Agreement Act 1973 (WA)</i>
<i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2018 (WA)</i>
<i>Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)</i>
<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)</i>
Ministerial Statement No. 719
Beard J.S. (1980) A New Phytogeographic Map of Western Australia, Western Australia Herbarium Notes, Notes 3:37-58.
Conservation and Parks Commission of Western Australia (2023) Forest Management Plan 2024–2033. Government of Western Australia.

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2020) Phytophthora Dieback Management Manual. Last Updated: 1 December 2023. Conservation and Ecosystem Management Division (DBCA). Available at: <a href="https://www.dbca.wa.gov.au/media/3863/download">https://www.dbca.wa.gov.au/media/3863/download</a>
Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2023) Priority Ecological Communities for Western Australia VERSION 35
DCCEEW (2024) Environmental Management Plan Guidelines, Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra, March. CC BY 4.0. Available at <a href="https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/epbc/publications/environmental-management-plan-guidelines">https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/epbc/publications/environmental-management-plan-guidelines</a> . Accessed 30 July 2024.
EPA (2016). Technical guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment. Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia.
EPA (2024) Instructions: How to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV environmental management plans. <a href="https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Forms_and_Templates/Preparing%20Environmental%20Protection%20Act%201986%20PIV%20environmental%20management%20plans.pdf">https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Forms_and_Templates/Preparing%20Environmental%20Protection%20Act%201986%20PIV%20environmental%20management%20plans.pdf</a>
Forest Products Commission (2022). Sustainable Forest Management Framework – Native forest and plantation. Version 09. October 2022. Government of Western Australia.
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2008), Flora and Vegetation of The Marradong Forest Block
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (1990), Flora and Vegetation of the Marradong Timber Reserve.
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (1993), Flora and Vegetation Studies on Mt Saddleback Survey Area, Unpublished Report prepared for Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd. (1999a) Flora and Vegetation of the Quindanning Timber Reserve. Unpublished report for Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (1999b) Flora and Vegetation Survey of the Collie Refinery Lease Area. Unpublished report prepared for Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, July 1999.
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2014) Assessment of Flora and Vegetation on Private Properties within the extension Survey Area. Unpublished report prepared for Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, December 2014.
Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2021) Assessment of Flora and Vegetation on Worsley mine Expansion areas, January 2020.
Pice Pty Ltd (2002), The Tree Component of Forest Vegetation in the Saddleback and Quindanning Timber reserves. Unpublished report prepared for Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (2022) Worsley Mine Expansion (Revised Proposal) Environmental Review Document.
South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (2018) DBCA – WAPL Working Arrangements 2018-2023.
South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (2024) Water Management Plan (01027243)
Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2018) Conservation Advice Caladenia hopperiana Quindanning spider orchid. <a href="https://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/88195-conservation-advice-15022018.pdf">https://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/88195-conservation-advice-15022018.pdf</a>
Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd & Dames and Moore (1981) Worsley Alumina Project Flora and Fauna Studies, Phase One. Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, Perth.
Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (1985) Worsley Alumina Project Flora and Fauna Studies, Phase Two. Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, Perth.
Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (1999) Worsley Alumina Boddington Gold Mine Project, Flora and Fauna Studies. Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, Perth.

## 15 DOCUMENT CONTROL

### Version Control

Version	Change	Date
1.1	First draft submitted to EPA-S for review.	23 Oct 2024
1.2	Modification of outcome-based provisions (Table 8-1) and associated monitoring programs (Table 7-1 and section 6.6.1.2) and rationale (section 6.5) to address comments received from EPA-S.	26 Nov 2024
1.3	Updated Ministerial Statement references and condition numbers throughout document, added internal document reference numbers and revised internal signatories.	15 Jan 2025
2.0	Internal signatures applied. Final as submitted under MS1237.	16 Jan 2025
2.1	Updates made based on EPA-S feedback	6 Feb 2025
3.0	Internal signatures applied. Final as submitted under MS1237.	6 Feb 2025
3.1	Inclusion of additional pre-clearance surveys completed from Jan-Jun 2025 into Appendix B. Minor amendment to Table 6-6 to include additional management measures for <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> , <i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i> and <i>Halgania corymbosa</i> . Amendment to known population value and associated trigger and threshold values for <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington.	5 Jun 2025
4.0	Internal approval and signatures applied.	6 Jun 2025
4.1	Inclusion of additional pre-clearance surveys completed from July – August 2025 into Appendix B Minor change to section 6.6.5 and Table 6-6 regarding targeted survey. Amendment to known population value and associated trigger and threshold values for <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington and <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> .	27 Aug 2025
5.0	Internal approval and signatures applied	28 Aug 2025
6.0	Proposed minor revision. Changes not considered minor. Not approved for implementation.	4 November 2025
6.1	Inclusion of additional pre-clearance surveys into Appendix B. Amendment to known population and associated trigger and threshold values for <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington and <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> . Update document throughout to align monitoring frequency for vegetation condition assessment within PECs to 3 yearly. Inclusion of sections 6.6.5.1 and 6.6.6.1.1 and addition to Appendix A - Risk Assessment to address potential issues with pre-clearance surveys associated with bushfire events. Inclusion of <i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> in Table 6-2 and Table 6-6. Update to Table 12-2. Update to section 5.1.2 to address condition B12-7 of MS1237. Update to Figure 6-1, inclusion of Figure 5-2.	January 2026

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

## Environmental Management Plan



Worsley Alumina

Version	Change	Date
6.2	Update to Figure 5-2 to show survey areas instead of proposed disturbance. Additional conditions included in Table 4-1. Inclusion of <i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> in Table 6-2, Table 6-5, Table 6-6, Table 8-1 and section 7.6. Additional information included in section 6.6.6. Contingency measures included in Table 8-4. Table 6-5	March 2026

### Reviewer Circulation

Role	Name	Endorsed	Date
Principal Environment	Paul Bullock	✓	11/03/2026
Production Manager - Mine	Dale McAtee	✓	11/03/2026
Manager Production Planning	Cameron McKean	✓	11/03/2026

### Approval Circulation

Role	Name	Approved	Date
Manager Environment & Heritage	Wendy Botes	✓	11/03/2026
General Manager Mine & Materials	Trever Stockil	✓	11/03/2026

## APPENDIX A - RISK ASSESSMENT

A risk assessment has been undertaken for the potential impacts described within the FVMP. The risk assessment comprises an evaluation of the likelihood and consequence of each of the identified impacts to manage and mitigate risks effectively, with the purpose of minimising the likelihood of the risk occurring.

**Table 1: Measure of Likelihood**

Qualitative measure of likelihood (after controls are in place)	
<b>Highly likely</b>	Is expected to occur in most circumstances
<b>Likely</b>	Will probably occur during the life of the project
<b>Possible</b>	Might occur during the life of the project
<b>Unlikely</b>	Could occur but considered unlikely or doubtful
<b>Rare</b>	May occur in exceptional circumstances

**Table 2: Measure of Consequence**

Qualitative measure of consequence (with controls in place)	
<b>Minor</b>	Minor incident of environmental damage that can be reversed
<b>Moderate</b>	Isolated but substantial instances of environmental damage that could be reversed with intensive efforts
<b>High</b>	Substantial instances of environmental damage that could be reversed with intensive efforts
<b>Major</b>	Major loss of environmental amenity and real danger of continuing
<b>Critical</b>	Severe widespread loss of environmental amenity and irrecoverable environmental damage

**Table3: Risk Matrix**

	Consequence				
	Minor	Moderate	High	Major	Critical
<b>Highly Likely</b>	Medium	High	High	Severe	Severe
<b>Likely</b>	Low	Medium	High	High	Severe
<b>Possible</b>	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Severe
<b>Unlikely</b>	Low	Low	Medium	High	High
<b>Rare</b>	Low	Low	Low	Medium	High

**Table 4: Risk Assessment**

Risk Identification				Risk Evaluation and Control Effectiveness Assessment			
Area	Risk Event	Causes (Direct & Contributing)	Expected Impact / Consequences	Controls (preventative and mitigating)	Severity	Likelihood	Risk Rating
All	Unauthorised clearing within a Protected Area or Protection Commitment Area	Insufficient signage Mapping layers not maintained Operator error Escaped Fire	Loss of areas of high cons. value Increased fragmentation of fauna habitat Potential loss of Threatened or Priority flora species Non-compliance with legal requirements	FVMP Clearing Planning process Sign off of Clearing Plans Clearing Permit System (non-production related clearing) GPS systems in SME Site GIS layers for Protected Areas and Protection Commitments Clearing boundaries surveyed and inspected Sign posting or flagging of Protected Areas when clearing is occurring adjacent BBM Clearing and Burning Operations manual	Moderate	Unlikely	Low
All	Spread of weeds leading to additional competition and decreased habitat quality	Poor topsoil management Failed soil hygiene management	Increase in weeds Loss of native flora	FVMP Driller's induction Forest Management Plan (202414-20323), DBCA Weed spraying or removal (as required) Pit specific Forest Hygiene Management Plans Topsoil and gravel handling restrictions applied for high weed load areas	Minor	Possible	Low
All	Unauthorised clearing	Operator Error Insufficient training / supervision Failure to follow site procedures	Loss of native vegetation / fauna habitat Legal non-compliance	10-Year Mine Plan Clearing Planning Process GPS units in surface mobile equipment Operator Training and Competencies Standard Work Instructions Land Clearing Flow Charts Internal Clearing Permit review and sign-off process (non-mining related)	Minor	Unlikely	Low

Risk Identification				Risk Evaluation and Control Effectiveness Assessment			
Area	Risk Event	Causes (Direct & Contributing)	Expected Impact / Consequences	Controls (preventative and mitigating)	Severity	Likelihood	Risk Rating
All	Spread of Forest Disease: Dieback (Phytophthora) or Armillaria leading to decreased habitat quality	Breach of Soil Hygiene Management Plan Working in an area of unknown soil hygiene status Non-compliance with Forest Hygiene Management Procedure Lack of signage Poor drainage design	Spread of Dieback and/or Armillaria Loss of biodiversity Decline in susceptible species Spread between mine/OBC and public areas	Green Card training for Drillers Wash down facilities on site Pit specific Forest Hygiene Management Plans Working Arrangements with DBCA Dieback awareness training for Mine Site Driver's Permit holders Dieback Management included in Site Inductions Drains and sumps cleared during summer Minimum design standards for drainage structures OBC Vehicle authorisation Signage Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (200001092) Vehicle clean down requirements Operate in dry conditions required for some activities Dieback surveys and mapping Restricted access Perimeter Tracks around clearing areas Clearing Planning Procedure Sealed roads along OLC Pit specific soil hygiene management plans Soil Hygiene management in Extractive Industries (Dieback Working Group) Exploration is often restricted to dry soil conditions Forest Hygiene Management Plans are developed where spread of dieback is assessed as a risk. Regular review of best practice dieback management for adaptive management	High	Rare	Low
BBM	Isolation of genetic pools - flora and fauna	Presence of linear barriers (e.g. conveyors) Increased fragmentation of vegetation	Reduced movement of fauna between isolated areas. Reduced genetic exchange between populations	10-Year Mine Plan - Figure 7 (Ecological Linkages) Ecological Linkages Protection Commitment Interagency Agreement with DBCA Wildlife Corridor (George Block) Fauna monitoring programs	High	Rare	Low

Risk Identification				Risk Evaluation and Control Effectiveness Assessment			
Area	Risk Event	Causes (Direct & Contributing)	Expected Impact / Consequences	Controls (preventative and mitigating)	Severity	Likelihood	Risk Rating
BBM	Increased competition or predation by introduced (feral) animals on Threatened Species	Increased fragmentation of vegetation	Increased predation from feral animals Increased competition from feral animals	Feral animal management program Ecological Linkages Conservation Significant Fauna Management Plan (200001091) Research program (adaptive management)	Minor	Likely	Low
BBM	Indirect impacts on Threatened Flora and Fauna from noise, dust and vibration	Construction and operation activities	Decreased vegetation health Change to local fauna population distribution (avoidance of impacted areas)	Dust suppression (water and / or chemical) on haul roads and open areas Dust suppression in fixed plant Noise suppression technology applied to SME Noise monitoring for compliance with Noise Regulations	Minor	Likely	Low
All	Forest rehabilitation fails to meet completion criteria	High grazing (kangaroos, rabbits) Insufficient management Extreme weather event Non-compliance with rehabilitation procedures	Rehabilitation requires additional management (i.e. thinning, replanting, weed management, drainage etc) Rehabilitation takes longer than expected to provide habitat values for native fauna	Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (200001092) Annual Rehabilitation Plan DBCA Working Arrangements Completion Criteria and targets for early stage rehabilitation Rehabilitation monitoring program Rehabilitation research program (adaptive management) Seed store maintained on site Recalcitrant species program Deep ripping to remove compaction Rehabilitation pit design process Operator training	Moderate	Unlikely	Low

Risk Identification				Risk Evaluation and Control Effectiveness Assessment			
Area	Risk Event	Causes (Direct & Contributing)	Expected Impact / Consequences	Controls (preventative and mitigating)	Severity	Likelihood	Risk Rating
All	Loss of habitat supporting Threatened Species	Clearing of native vegetation	Displacement or reduction of local populations of Threatened Species Increased fragmentation and associated edge effects	Minimise clearing through Mine Planning processes. Establishment of Protected Areas, Protection commitments and Biodiversity Areas of Interest. Progressive Rehabilitation. Ecological Linkages. Biodiversity Offsets.	Moderate	Likely	Moderate
BBM	Loss / deterioration in quality of topsoil leading to reduced quality of rehabilitation for use as habitat by Threatened Species	Erosion Poor topsoil management Poor planning and scheduling topsoil movements (wet)	Decrease in quality of rehabilitation Inability to use topsoil Direct Return annual targets not met. Failure to meet completion criteria	Annual direct return topsoil targets Rehabilitation designed to surrounding landform Rehabilitation monitoring and maintenance Strict controls on topsoil handling and movement (e.g. wet weather, tenure boundaries, weed load, dieback etc) Forest Management Plan (2024-2033)	Minor	Unlikely	Low
All	SRE unable to be supported in rehabilitation	Specific habitat requirements Clearing of native vegetation Lack of scientific knowledge	Restricted range of some SRE to undisturbed native vegetation	Targeted SRE monitoring program to improve understanding of distribution and utilisation of rehabilitation Rehabilitation research program Ecological Linkages Protected Areas	Moderate	Possible	Medium
All	Inability to identify areas supporting cons sig flora	Bushfire	Pre-clearance survey effectiveness limited – impacts to cons. sig. flora habitat	Maximise survey areas to include known potential disturbance out to three years in advance (flora) and two years in advance (dieback). If affected areas are surveyed and not disturbed within 3 years (and still planned for disturbance) have relevant experts complete follow-up inspections to determine whether conditions have improved to allow for a more thorough assessment.	Moderate	Possible	Medium

# Flora and Vegetation Management Plan

*Environmental Management Plan*



Worsley Alumina

## APPENDIX B – FVMP ANNUAL REPORT

Deployed  
Revalidate  
Author

Silver Kenny

Owner      Manager Approvals  
WAPL Business Blueprint  
UNCONTROLLED ONCE PRINTED

WAPL-Busin



# FLORA & VEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Annual Report – 2026**

March 2026



## Contents

1	Purpose .....	5
2	Pre-clearance Surveys Summary .....	5
3	Pre-clearance Surveys .....	11
3.1	Forest Disease .....	11
3.1.1	Timing .....	11
3.1.2	Method .....	12
3.1.3	Limitations.....	13
3.1.4	Results .....	13
3.1.5	Outcomes .....	13
3.2	Old Growth Forest .....	23
3.2.1	Timing .....	23
3.2.2	Method .....	23
3.2.3	Limitations.....	23
3.2.4	Results .....	23
3.2.5	Outcomes .....	24
3.3	Threatened & Priority Flora .....	24
3.3.1	Timing .....	31
3.3.2	Method .....	32
3.3.3	Limitations.....	33
3.3.4	Results .....	37
3.3.5	Other Priority Flora Within Pre-clearance Area .....	40
3.3.6	Outcomes .....	40
3.4	Threatened and Priority Vegetation.....	48
4	Buffer Zones .....	48
4.1	Threatened Flora and Vegetation .....	48
4.2	Priority Flora and Vegetation .....	50



5	Management Actions .....	54
6	Annual Monitoring of Threatened Orchids.....	54
6.1	<i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> .....	54
6.2	<i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung.....	55
7	Priority Flora Trigger and Threshold Criteria.....	56
8	References .....	57
9	Definition and Abbreviations .....	59

**Table of Figures**

Figure 1-1	2025 Pre-clearance Survey Summary.....	6
Figure 3-1	Areas of Forest Disease Interpretation Completed (2024-2026) .....	17
Figure 3-2	Dieback Interpretation Results (2023-2026) (including areas A – D) .....	18
Figure 3-3	Target Orchid Survey Locations for Onshore Environmental.....	27
Figure 3-4	Surveyed Locations for Mattiske Consulting.....	28
Figure 3-5	Target Survey Locations for Biologic Environmental Survey.....	29
Figure 3-6	Surveyed Locations for Onshore Environmental – Targeted Priority Flora (August 2025).....	30
Figure 3-7	Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations (including Areas A – D).....	42
Figure 3-8	Areas with all Pre-clearance Conditions Met.....	47
Figure 4-1	Additional Buffers as a Result of Survey Findings.....	52
Figure 4-2	Location and buffers for <i>Caladenia dorrienii</i> and <i>C hopperiana</i> .....	53



**List of Tables**

Table 3-1 *Phytophthora* Dieback Pre-clearance Survey Results (Surveys conducted in 2024-2026) ..... 13

Table 3-2 -Summary of Pre-clearance Flora Surveys ..... 25

Table 3-3 Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Onshore Environmental 2026)..... 33

Table 3-4 Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Mattiske 2025b, 2025c, 2025d and 2025e)..... 35

Table 3-5 Biologic Environmental Survey - Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Spring 2025 Survey)  
..... 36

Table 3-6 -Biologic Environmental Survey - Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Feb 2025 Survey)  
..... 37

Table 3-7 Results of Surveys for Species Identified in Condition B12-1(3) ..... 39

Table 6-1 Outcomes of Threatened Orchid Surveys..... 54

Table 7-1 Updated Trigger and Threshold Values for the Environmental Values for MS1237 Condition  
B12-1(3)..... 56

## 1 Purpose

The purpose of this Annual Report to the Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (FVMP) is to provide the annual reporting information required by Ministerial Statement 1237 (MS1237) Condition 12-6 for the South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd (Worsley Alumina) Worsley Mine Expansion – Revised Proposal. This annual report covers the period 11 February 2025 to 30 December 2025 and includes all outcomes of targeted and pre-clearance surveys completed during 2025.

This report includes all relevant survey activities within the Primary Assessment Area (PAA). This includes the following development envelopes (see Figure 5-1 of FVMP):


- The Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE), within which the next phase of mining is proposed to take place, within existing areas as well as expansion areas to the west and north of current operations. Worsley Alumina would continue to utilise existing crushing and conveying infrastructure.
- The Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC), which would link current mining areas to new and future mining areas.
- The Contingency Bauxite Mining Envelope (CBME), which would provide for an emergency supply of bauxite close to the Refinery should it be required.

Also included in this annual report, in accordance with MS1237 condition C4-3(1) & (2), is a summary of annual monitoring of *Caladenia hopperiana* and *C. caesarea* supsp. Mooradung in accordance with condition C4-3 (2) (see Section 6).


This report does not include any reporting or compliance summaries regarding the outcomes of condition 12-1 and 12-2. In accordance with MS1237 Condition D2 (D2-1 to D2-4), all compliance related reporting is reported annually in the annual Compliance Assessment Report.

## 2 Pre-clearance Surveys Summary

Figure 1-1 (a) – (d) show a summary of areas where pre-clearance flora and vegetation surveys have been undertaken within the last two years. A separate map has been provided summarising forest disease interpretation surveys (Figure 3-1) to appropriately demonstrate the timing of disease surveys.



<b>LEGEND</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Town</li> <li>State Highway</li> <li>Minor Road</li> <li>Major River</li> <li>Lake</li> <li>Main Road</li> <li>Track</li> <li>Minor River</li> </ul>	<p><b>Existing Infrastructure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Crusher</li> <li>Secondary Crusher</li> </ul>	<p><b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)</li> <li>Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora and Vegetation Pre-clearance:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Survey Areas Completed</li> </ul>	<p><b>SOUTH32</b> Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd</p>	<p><b>FIGURE 1-1</b></p> <p>2025 Pre-clearance Survey Summary</p>
---------------	--	---	---	---	--	---	---



**LEGEND**

- Minor Road
- Minor River
- Track

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)
- Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Flora and Vegetation Pre-clearance:**

- Survey Areas Completed




**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd


**2025 Pre-clearance  
Survey Summary  
- Area A**

**FIGURE  
1-1  
A**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026



<b>LEGEND</b> Main Road    Minor Road    Major River    Lake Track    Minor River		<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher Secondary Crusher		<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC) Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)		<b>Flora and Vegetation Pre-clearance:</b> Survey Areas Completed	
				Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd		<b>FIGURE 1-1 B</b> <b>2025 Pre-clearance Survey Summary - Area B</b>	
Author: dlmm1		Created: March 2026		Plan: FVMP_AR_V7_01_01_PreClearanceSummary_A3P.mxd			




<b>LEGEND</b> — Main Road    — Minor Road    — Major River — Track        — Minor River				Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd
<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> ■ Primary Crusher ● Secondary Crusher	<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)			

**2025 Pre-clearance Survey Summary - Area C**

**FIGURE 1-1 C**

Author: dlmm1      Created: March 2026

Plan: FVMP\_AR\_V7\_01\_PreClearanceSummary\_A3P.mxd



**LEGEND**

- Main Road
- Minor Road
- Major River
- Track
- Minor River

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Flora and Vegetation Pre-clearance:**

- Survey Areas Completed

**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**2025 Pre-clearance  
Survey Summary  
- Area D**

**FIGURE  
1-1  
D**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

### 3 Pre-clearance Surveys

Prior to clearing in the PAA Worsley Alumina is required to undertake pre-clearance surveys to meet the requirements of Condition B12-3 to B12-5 of MS1237. These conditions require surveys to be undertaken for forest disease, old growth forest, threatened and priority flora, new and undescribed species, threatened and priority ecological communities and vegetation type G4.

Specialist consultants with appropriate qualifications and experience have been engaged to undertake the required surveys for each pre-clearance element listed above. A summary of all completed pre-clearance surveys relevant to future ground disturbing activities is provided in sections 3.1 to 3.4, noting that survey validity is limited to set timeframes in accordance with the FVMP. Areas with all pre-clearance survey requirements met as at 15 January 2026 are displayed in Figure 3-8.

#### 3.1 Forest Disease

As outlined by condition B12-3 of MS1237, surveys and forest disease mapping must be undertaken prior to commencing clearing each area to be disturbed, consistent with DBCA's *Phytophthora Dieback Interpreters Manual for Lands Managed by the Department* and with DBCA's *Phytophthora Dieback Management Manual* (as amended or preplaced from time to time).

Worsley Alumina has recently confirmed with the DBCA Disease and Hygiene Standards Officer (DHSO) that given the lower risk area in which BBM is located, dieback surveys can be relied upon for two years, which is different to the one year outlined in the DBCA Management Manual. The BBM occurs within the Low Rainfall Zone (with rainfall averages around 600mm per annum). The dieback manual (DBCA 2023, table 5) indicates for rainfall zones >800mm, conditional dieback occurrence information for un-infested areas can be relied on for 5 years (after which it becomes obsolete) and for infested or uninterpretable areas this information never becomes obsolete. Worsley Alumina, although occurring within the 600-800mm range has applied a conservative approach to using the survey information by applying a two year restriction – i.e. after a survey is greater than two years old, vector locations will be rechecked for confirmation. All reports provided by consultants undergo a review and endorsement by the DHSO, with feedback provided back to the consultants.

Given the above, to be considered current for the next reporting period, surveys must have been undertaken during the period 2024-2026. All current surveys were completed by suitably qualified and certified dieback interpreters, Glevan Consulting Pty Ltd (Glevan) consistent with the specified DBCA documentation (Glevan, 2024a, 2024b, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e & 2026). Across all surveys 2,314.7 ha were surveyed (see Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1) with interpretation results presented in Figure 3-2. All dieback interpretation reports issued post December 2023 have been endorsed by the DHSO in accordance with DBCA requirements.

For completeness, all surveys that support future clearing activities have been included in Figure 3-2 (Glevan, 2023, 2024a, 2024b, 2024c, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e & 2026) to demonstrate the current understanding of dieback within future operational areas. It is understood that areas will require reassessment of vector locations prior to clearing should interpretation in that area be greater than two years old.

Dieback surveys continue to be completed for proposed ground disturbance activities and all reports and interpretation results will be validated by the DHSO prior to application to site operations. Given this regulatory verification process, additional dieback surveys completed following the submission of this annual report will not be submitted as amendments to the FVMP prior to implementation.

##### 3.1.1 TIMING

Surveys for dieback must have been completed within two years for clearing activities to occur, in which case, for areas proposed to be cleared in the next review period, all surveys must have been

undertaken since 2024. Dieback surveys considered current at the time of writing were completed during the following periods:

- Jan – May 2024 (Glevan 2024a)
- Mar – Aug 2024 (Glevan 2024b)
- Feb 2024 – Feb 2025 (Glevan, 2025a).
- Aug 2024 – Feb 2025 (Glevan, 2025b).
- Jan 2025 (Glevan, 2025c)
- Feb – May 2025 (Glevan, 2025d)
- Nov 2024 (Glevan, 2025e)
- Nov 2025 – Feb 2026 (Glevan 2026).

Dieback surveys are ongoing including revalidation of boundaries for previously interpreted areas as required.

### 3.1.2 METHOD

Glevan Consulting (Glevan) conducts all *Phytophthora* Dieback detection, diagnosis and mapping to standards and procedures defined in FEM047 *Phytophthora Dieback Interpreter's Manual for lands managed by the department* (DPAW 2015), Chapter 6 (Glevan, 2024a, 2024b, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e & 2026). These procedures are grounded on the presence of indicator species in the vegetation, and the observance of deaths in these plants. An indicator species is a plant species that is reliably susceptible to *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. Indicator Species Deaths (ISDs) alone do not necessarily indicate disease presence, and it is necessary to consider all environmental and ecological factors that may be present. These other factors (as listed in FEM047) include:

- Chronology of deaths,
- Pattern of deaths,
- Topographical position,
- Vectoring – causal agencies, and
- Biomass and biological diversity reduction.

Other causes of plant deaths need to be considered when determining the presence of *Phytophthora* Dieback, including (from FEM047):

- Armillaria Root Disease,
- Various cankers,
- Insects,
- Drought, wind scorch and frost,
- Salinity and waterlogging,
- Fire and lightning,
- Senescence and competition,
- Physical damage, and
- Herbicides and chemical spills.

The assessment type used by Glevan included a combination of comprehensive and linear assessments (featuring transect lines) using standards defined by Chapter 8, FEM047 (Glevan, 2024a, 2024b, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e & 2026). Prior to assessment, all information relevant to the project was assembled to assist the interpretation process (as defined in Chapter 7, FEM047). This information included previous assessments of the area, history of burning and possible other disturbances (Glevan, 2024a, 2024b, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e & 2026).

3.1.3 LIMITATIONS

Portions of the project area are excluded from the surveys due to previous disturbance of the vegetation, based on the Keighery Vegetation Condition Scale. The excluded areas are generally located on pastures with little to no existing vegetation and do not border other Dieback categories (Glevan, 2024a, 2024b, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e & 2026). Areas assessed as “Excluded” by Glevan are included in section 3.1.4.

3.1.4 RESULTS

A summary of the results of Phytophthora dieback interpretation conducted by Glevan during 2024, 2025 and 2026 are provided in Table 3-1 below.

**Table 3-1 *Phytophthora* Dieback Pre-clearance Survey Results (Surveys conducted in 2024-2026)**

Category	Protection Status	Area (ha) within Referenced Glevan Report								Total Area
		2024a	2024b	2025a	2025b	2025c	2025d	2025e	2026	
Infested	Unprotectable	30.11	0	2.28	6.40	1.36	7.40		0.01	47.56
Armillaria	Unprotectable		0	5.56	0.13		1.93	0.12		7.74
Uninfested	Protectable	38.61	122.47	89.68	153.38	26.06	259.37	18.7	181.16	889.43
Permanently Uninterpretable	Protectable		51.37	94.12	123.36	13.45		2.29	14.33	298.92
	Unprotectable						46.60			46.6
Temporarily uninterpretable	Protectable				2.53					2.53
	Unprotectable									0
<b>Assessed Area</b>		<b>68.72</b>	<b>173.84</b>	<b>191.64</b>	<b>285.8</b>	<b>40.87</b>	<b>315.30</b>	<b>21.11</b>	<b>195.5</b>	<b>1292.78</b>
Excluded Area	Protectable	1.26	221.05				78.06	53.51	399.28	753.16
	Unprotectable				63.09	218.91	21.83			303.83
<b>Total Area</b>		<b>69.98</b>	<b>394.89</b>	<b>191.64</b>	<b>348.89</b>	<b>259.78</b>	<b>415.19</b>	<b>74.62</b>	<b>594.78</b>	<b>2349.77</b>

\* Value differs to total area surveyed discussed in section 3.1 (2,314.7 ha) due to some overlaps of survey areas between reports.

3.1.5 OUTCOMES

Glevan 2024a

Three infestations, covering an area of 30.11 ha were mapped during the assessment, one of which had not previously been identified. A total of 38.61 ha of protectable Uninfested vegetation was also mapped during the assessment. The remaining 1.26 ha of the study area was excluded from assessment due to being devoid of vegetation.

A total of 6 soil and tissue samples were taken throughout the duration of the assessment, one of which tested positive for *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. The sample also contained *Phytophthora multivora*. The five remaining samples returned negative results.

Glevan 2025b

Two infestations of *Phytophthora* Dieback disease were observed within the assessment areas, both within the Saddleback mining region. Both infestations are along the mine access road, with the southern infestation extending to bushland adjacent to a clearing.

Vegetation within the two mining regions was observed to be predominately Uninfested, with some areas of Uninterpretable and Excluded vegetation. Good vegetation health was observed within the Uninfested vegetation, however some scattered deaths of *Banksia grandis* and *Xanthorrhoea preissii* were observed throughout the region. Strategic sampling of indicator species deaths supported field observations that these deaths throughout the assessment areas could be attributed to environmental factors other than *Phytophthora cinnamomi*.

Vegetation observed to be Uninterpretable during this assessment corresponds with the presence of *Eucalyptus wandoo* (Wandoo) woodlands and a lack of mid and understorey species. The Dieback status of these areas could not be determined.

A single infestation of Armillaria Rot Disease was demarcated in the Marradong mining region, west of Ashcroft Road. Significant decline in *Eucalyptus wandoo* was observed within the area.

Seventeen soil and tissue samples were taken during the course of the assessment, one of which returned a result positive for *Phytophthora cinnamomi*.

## Glevan 2025a

Two infestations of *Phytophthora* Dieback disease were demarcated within the 2024 Hygiene Areas, both of which occur adjacent to a haul road system maintained by a neighbouring mining operation.

Vegetation within the assessed area was observed to be predominantly Permanently Uninterpretable (94.12 ha), corresponding with the presence of *Eucalyptus wandoo* (Wandoo) woodlands and a lack of mid and understorey species. The Dieback status of these areas could not be determined.

Good to excellent vegetation health was observed within the Uninfested vegetation (89.68 ha); however, some scattered deaths of indicator species were observed throughout the region. Strategic sampling of indicator species deaths supported field observations that these deaths throughout the assessment areas could be attributed to environmental factors other than *Phytophthora cinnamomi*, predominantly drought.

A single infestation of Armillaria Rot Disease was demarcated west of Siding Road. Significant decline in *Eucalyptus wandoo* and *Xanthorrhoea preissii* were observed within the area.

Three soil and tissue samples were taken during the course of the assessment, all of which returned results negative for *Phytophthora cinnamomi*.

## Glevan 2025b

A single infestation of *Phytophthora* Dieback was identified through a positive soil and tissue sample in the area west of Old Soldiers Road and north of the Newmont Boddington Gold Security. Demarcations were extended to incorporate sections of Permanently Uninterpretable vegetation along a waterway (6.40 ha).

Good vegetation health was observed within the Uninfested vegetation. Vegetation observed to be Permanently Uninterpretable during this assessment corresponded with the presence of *Eucalyptus wandoo* (Wandoo) woodlands and a lack of mid and understorey species. The Dieback status of these areas could not be determined.

A single infestation of Armillaria Rot Disease was demarcated in the area south of Gold Mine Road (0.13 ha). Significant decline in *Eucalyptus wandoo* was observed within the area.

A total of 16 soil and tissue samples were taken within the 2024 Additional Hygiene Areas, with one having returned a result positive for *Phytophthora cinnamomi*.

## Glevan 2025c

One infestation of *Phytophthora* Dieback (1.36 ha) was assessed within the survey boundary. This area along Morts Road had previously been identified. Demarcations for the infestation of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* were reinstated.

Good vegetation health was observed within the Uninfested vegetation. Permanently Uninterpretable vegetation was observed across 13.45 ha of the Project Area, which corresponded with the presence of *Eucalyptus wandoo* (Wandoo) woodlands and water-gaining sites, both of which possessed a lack of mid and understorey indicator species. The Dieback status of these areas could not be determined.

No soil and tissue samples were taken during the Nullaga Link Road assessment, however negative soil and tissue samples for an adjoining assessment were received in early 2025.

## Glevan 2025d

Two infestations of *Phytophthora* Dieback disease were observed throughout the project areas totaling 7.4 ha. One infestation was observed within the Newmont mining region. The infestation was identified off a pipeline track that borders the eastern side of the Boddington mine site and was demarcated accordingly. A previously mapped infestation along the conveyer belt in the Lower Hotham area had tapes reinstated in the field due to minimal movement of the disease edge.

A single infestation of Armillaria Rot Disease (1.93 ha) was demarcated within the Project Area. The infestation was identified in a previous survey and demarcation was reinstated. Significant decline in *Eucalyptus wandoo* and *Hakea* spp. was observed within the area.

Good vegetation health was observed within the Uninfested vegetation, which occupied 259.37 ha (62.47 %) of the Project Area. Permanently Uninterpretable vegetation was observed across 46.6 ha (11.22 %) of the Project Area, which corresponded with the presence of *Eucalyptus wandoo* (Wandoo) woodlands and water-gaining sites.

Thirteen soil and tissue samples were taken during the course of the assessment. One returned positive for *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and the remaining twelve all returned negative results.

## Glevan 2025e

No infestations of *Phytophthora* Dieback were identified within the Study Area. A single infestation of Armillaria Rot Disease was demarcated within the Study Area. The infestation was identified in a previous survey of an area adjoining the current assessment, with the field demarcations extended. Significant decline in *Eucalyptus wandoo* was observed within the area.

Very good vegetation health was observed within the Uninfested vegetation (18.70 ha); however, some scattered deaths of indicator species were observed throughout the region. Strategic sampling of indicator species deaths supported field observations that the deaths throughout the Study Area could be attributed to environmental factors other than *Phytophthora cinnamomi*, predominantly drought or *Armillaria luteobubalina*.

Permanently Uninterpretable vegetation was observed across 2.29 ha of the Study Area, corresponding with the presence of *Eucalyptus wandoo* (Wandoo) woodlands with a lack of mid and understorey species as well as vegetation where non-native flora species have become dominant. The Dieback status of these areas could not be determined.


Three soil and tissue samples were taken during the course of the assessment, all of which returned results negative for *Phytophthora cinnamomi*.

## Glevan 2026


A single discreet infestation of *Phytophthora* Dieback was identified during this assessment. The infestation corresponds with a previously recorded occurrence west of Lower Hotham Road near the conveyor belt. Limited movement of the disease was observed, and existing field demarcations were reinstated.

Uninfested Protectable vegetation accounted for 181.16 ha of the surveyed area. Permanently Uninterpretable Protectable vegetation comprised 14.33 ha, primarily where non-susceptible species dominated. Excluded Protectable areas totalled 399.28 ha and consisted of land severely disturbed by mining operations or historical agricultural activities.


Vegetation decline observed in several areas did not display the spatial pattern or indicator species mortality characteristic of *Phytophthora* Dieback. Six soil and tissue samples were collected from dead plants to support field interpretation. All samples returned negative results for *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and other *Phytophthora* species.



<b>LEGEND</b> Town: Black square State Highway: Thick grey line Main Road: Thin grey line Minor Road: Dashed grey line Track: Dotted grey line Major River: Blue line Minor River: Light blue line Lake: Light blue area <b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher: Red square Secondary Crusher: Red circle <b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC): Cyan outline Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE): Orange outline <b>Dieback [WAPL]:</b> 2025 Spring Surveys (Glevan 2026): Yellow 2024 Morts Road Study Area (Glevan 2025e): Green 2025 Dieback Survey (Glevan 2025d): Purple 2025 Nullaga Link Road (Glevan 2025c): Blue 2024 Additional Hygiene Areas (Glevan 2025b): Red 2024 Hygiene Areas (Glevan 2025a): Orange 2024 Harvesting Areas (Glevan 2024b): Cyan 2024 Tunnell Road (Glevan 2024a): Blue		 <b>Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd</b> <b>Areas of Forest Disease Interpretation Completed 2024-2026</b> Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026	<b>FIGURE</b> <b>3-1</b>
--	--	---	-----------------------------



<b>LEGEND</b> Town: Black square State Highway: Thick grey line Main Road: Thin grey line Minor Road: Dashed grey line Track: Dotted grey line Major River: Blue line Minor River: Light blue line Lake: Light blue area <b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher: Red square Secondary Crusher: Red circle <b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC): Green outline Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE): Orange outline <b>Dieback [WAPL]:</b> Armillaria: Yellow square Infested: Red square Uninfested: Green square Permanent/Temporary Uninterpretable: Purple square Excluded/Unmappable: Hatched square		 <b>SOUTH32</b> Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd	<b>FIGURE</b> <b>3-2</b> <b>Dieback Interpretation Results 2023-2026</b> Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026
---	--	---	--



**LEGEND** — Minor Road — Minor River  
 - - - Track

**Existing Infrastructure:**  
 ■ Primary Crusher  
 ● Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**  
 ■ Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)  
 ■ Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Dieback [WAPL]:**  
 ■ Armillaria  
 ■ Infested  
 ■ Uninfested  
 ■ Permanent/Temporary Uninterpretable  
 ■ Excluded/Unmappable




**SOUTH32**


Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Dieback Interpretation Results 2023-2026 - Area A**


**FIGURE 3-2 A**



<b>LEGEND</b> Main Road    Minor Road    Major River    Lake Track        Minor River		<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher Secondary Crusher		<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC) Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)		<b>Dieback [WAPL]:</b> Armillaria Infested Uninfested		SOUTH32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd	
						<b>Dieback Interpretation Results 2023-2026 - Area B</b>		<b>FIGURE 3-2 B</b>	
Author: dlmm1						Created: March 2026			



<b>LEGEND</b> Main Road    Minor Road    Major River Track        Minor River		<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher Secondary Crusher		<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)		<b>Dieback [WAPL]:</b> Armillaria Infested Uninfested		<b>Dieback [WAPL]:</b> Permanent/Temporary Uninterpretable Excluded/Unmappable	
<b>LEGEND</b>		SOUTH32		Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd		<b>Dieback Interpretation Results 2023-2026 - Area C</b>		<b>FIGURE 3-2 C</b>	
Author: dlmm1		Created: March 2026		Plan: FVMP_AR_v7_Fig3-2_DiebackInterpResults_A3P_20260310.mxd					



**LEGEND**

- Main Road
- Minor Road
- Major River
- Track
- Minor River

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Dieback [WAPL]:**

- Armillaria
- Infested
- Uninfested
- Permanent/Temporary Uninterpretable
- Excluded/Unmappable

**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Dieback Interpretation Results 2023-2026 - Area D**

**FIGURE 3-2 D**

## 3.2 Old Growth Forest

Old growth forest is surveyed in the pre-clearance areas at the same time as pre-clearance surveys for priority flora species are being undertaken. The locations of all old growth forest assessment areas completed during 2024 and 2025 pre-clearance surveys are represented by the targeted Threatened and Priority flora survey areas as shown in Figure 3-4, Figure 3-5 and Figure 3-6.

### 3.2.1 TIMING

Surveys for old growth forest are not limited by seasonality as assessment methods are not reliant on presence of flowering material. Please refer to section 3.3.1 for timing of flora and vegetation surveys which included old growth forest assessments.

### 3.2.2 METHOD

The assessment of old growth values was based on the approach defined in the Department of Parks and Wildlife *Procedures for the assessment, identification and demarcation of old-growth forest* (DPaW 2017). This included an assessment of the following:

- Tenure and reserve status;
- Phytophthora dieback occurrence (if present this excludes consideration as defined as a significant disturbance);
- Other disturbance including grazing, mining, and previous farming;
- Types of forest types;
- Harvest history (evident from logs, stumps and track disturbances);
- Other clearing activities for infrastructure and historical railway, tracks and bridges; and
- Fire history and mining history.

This survey effort was undertaken during the targeted pre-clearance flora surveys on 20 m apart traverses or 10 m apart traverses as defined in Section 2.3.2.2.

### 3.2.3 LIMITATIONS

No limitations or constraints were identified during the surveys.

### 3.2.4 RESULTS

#### 2024

The areas assessed in 2024 varied between highly modified farmlands, infrastructure areas and areas adjacent to current mining operations (near Newmont operations in the north and Worsley Alumina operations in the south).

To summarise the findings the targeted areas in 2024 have been divided into sub-areas as follows:

- the northern area to the north of the Hotham River. This area has largely been cleared historically for farming and plantations or it abuts the southern waste dump areas of the Newmont mining area. Within the Newmont mining area during the early phases of operation exploration tracks were cleared on a regular basis (from 25 m apart to 100 m apart and as such this resulted in canopy breaks and loss of trees along these alignments).
- the areas adjacent to Hotham River. This area supported farming and highly modified areas, a historic railway line that went from Dwellingup to Boddington and current farming areas.
- the forested areas near the Marradong mining operations and southwards to the Pinjarra William Road. These areas were primarily disturbed with only a few regrowth stands on the

steeper slopes. The remaining areas remain fragmented and in some areas support heaths and wandoo woodlands rather than Jarrah forests,

- the areas within the current Mt Saddleback forested areas. The areas within this sub-area that were assessed remain as small remnants within largely mined landscapes and rehabilitation areas.
- the southern area near the conveyor corridor to Collie. This area is largely a former farm and as such the condition of the vegetation has been influenced by historical activities.

## 2025

The areas assessed in February 2025 consisted entirely of highly modified farmlands with no potential for old-growth forest. Areas assessed in July-August 2025 included areas which ranged mainly from completely degraded to very good, and included highly modified farmlands, private remnant vegetation and areas of State forest. Vegetation remaining within these areas had low potential for classification as old-growth forest.

Assessments completed in September - October 2025 were undertaken by three consultancy groups whilst conducting pre-clearance flora surveys namely Matiske Consulting, Biologic Group and Onshore Environmental. Areas surveyed were assessed as including highly modified farmlands to very good forest areas (including private land and areas of State forest).

The degree of disturbance in the majority of the areas assessed in 2025, and the resulting fragmentation, restricted the potential for presence of any old-growth forest areas. The stands of forest that still persist in the northern area abut Newmont mining operations (on the western side) and a road (on the eastern side). The only other forested area of sufficient size for consideration was an elevated hill on the north-west section of the Marradong forest area. This area was found to support stumps and tracks with variable growth of forest trees and therefore was not considered an old-growth forest area.

### 3.2.5 OUTCOMES

No areas of old growth forest were identified within the pre-clearance survey areas and therefore no map is provided.

### 3.3 Threatened & Priority Flora

Condition B12-5(1) of MS1237 requires targeted pre-clearance surveys (in accordance with *Technical guidance – Flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment*, or any approved updates of these guidelines) to be undertaken prior to clearing for:

- threatened flora;
- priority flora;
- new or undescribed species, and
- threatened and priority ecological communities and vegetation type G4.

Condition B12-5(2) specifically requires “appropriate botanists with demonstrated experience in orchid surveys in the bioregion, for pre-clearance surveys of *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung and threatened orchid species, including *Caladenia hopperiana*.” These surveys were undertaken by Onshore Environmental (Onshore Environmental 2025, Onshore Environmental 2026) and the survey teams were comprised of expert botanists with demonstrated experience in identification of orchid, particularly *Caladenia*, species.

Pre-clearance surveys required in accordance with condition B12-5(1) have been completed by three

expert consultancy groups over 2024 and 2025, namely Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (Mattiske), Biologic Group (Biologic) and Onshore Environmental Pty Ltd (Onshore Environmental). A summary of pre-clearance flora surveys conducted to date is provided in Table 3-2. The areas surveyed by each consultant are shown in the following figures:

- Onshore: Figure 3-3 (Orchid locations), Figure 3-6 Target Priority Flora
- Mattiske: Figure 3-4
- Biologic: Figure 3-5

Additional details for surveys completed since approval of FVMP (V3) are provided in sections 3.3.1 to 3.3.6.

**Table 3-2 - Summary of Pre-clearance Flora Surveys**


Purpose	Timing	Survey Area	Consultant (Reference)
Pre-clearance flora surveys to identify threatened and priority flora within proposed disturbance areas and within likely adjacent habitat (200 m buffer).	Aug - Dec 2024	MTR, STR, Hotham North and private property	Mattiske (2025a)
Targeted survey for threatened orchid species at known locations (population census and range extensions), and within likely habitat within and surrounding the WMDE. Definition of a model to support selection of locations for targeted assessment for orchids.	Sep-Oct 2024	Hotham North, Mooradung and QTR (other known locations in the region were also visited)	Onshore Environmental (2025a)
Targeted search for individuals of <i>Hibbertia</i> , <i>Halganina</i> and <i>Calytrix</i> species and other potential priority flora within highly disturbed vegetation on private property (grazed). All locations of potential priority flora were GPS recorded for follow-up when flowering material was present.	February 2025	Private property pasture areas west of STR	Mattiske (2025a & 2025b)
Targeted survey for <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington in areas known to support the species. The intent of this survey was to improve the accuracy of the known population value for the species.	February 2025	Hotham River and MTR	Biologic (2025a)
Targeted search for <i>Hibbertia</i> , <i>Halganina</i> and <i>Calytrix</i> species and other potential priority flora. All locations of potential priority flora that were unable to be identified due to lack of flowering material were GPS recorded for follow-up in subsequent surveys when flowering material was present. Notably, early flowering of <i>Hibbertia</i> was encountered during the survey allowing identification of many individuals at time of survey.	Jul-Aug 2025	High priority time critical areas in STR, MTR and adjacent private property	Mattiske (2025c)
Targeted search for <i>Hibbertia</i> , <i>Halganina</i> and <i>Calytrix</i> species and other potential priority flora within highly disturbed vegetation on private property. All locations of potential priority flora unable to be identified were recorded for future follow-up when flowering material was present. Notably flowering had commenced in <i>Hibbertia</i> sp. making identification possible in some instances.	Jul-Aug 2025	QTR and private property areas adjacent to Overland Bauxite Conveyor (west of STR).	Onshore Environmental (2025b)
Targeted survey for threatened orchid species in known populations (population census and extensions) within and surrounding the WMDE, and within likely habitat within proposed disturbance areas and surrounding vegetation (applying a 200 m buffer).	Sep-Oct 2025	QTR, Mooradung,	Onshore Environmental (2026)

# ANNUAL FLORA & VEG MANAGEMENT PLAN (2025)




Purpose	Timing	Survey Area	Consultant (Reference)
Pre-clearance flora surveys to identify threatened and priority flora within proposed disturbance areas and likely habitat within 50-200 m of proposed disturbance. Follow up verification of potential Priority flora GPS'd during winter survey (Jul-Aug 2025).	Sep-Nov 2025	QTR	Onshore Environmental (2026)
Pre-clearance flora surveys to identify threatened and priority flora within proposed disturbance areas and likely habitat within 50 - 200 m of proposed disturbance. Follow up verification of potential Priority flora GPS'd during previous surveys (Feb and Jul-Aug 2025).	Sep 2025	MTR, STR, private land	Mattiske (2025d)
Pre-clearance flora surveys to identify threatened and priority flora within proposed disturbance areas and likely habitat within 50 - 200 m of proposed disturbance.	October 2025	MTR, STR, private land	Mattiske (2025e)
Pre-clearance flora surveys to identify threatened and priority flora within proposed disturbance areas and likely habitat within 50 - 200 m of proposed disturbance.	Sep 2025	Private property areas surrounding MTR and STR, QTR and small areas of STR	Biologic (2025b)





<b>LEGEND</b> Town: [Black Square] State Highway: [Grey Line] Minor Road: [Thin Grey Line] Major River: [Blue Line] Lake: [Light Blue Area] Main Road: [Thick Grey Line] Track: [Dashed Grey Line] Minor River: [Thin Blue Line]		<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher: [Red Square] Secondary Crusher: [Red Circle]		<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC): [Blue Area] Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE): [Orange Area]		<b>Mattiske Consulting:</b> Surveyed Areas Oct 2025 (Mattiske 2025e): [Yellow Area] Surveyed Areas Feb 2025 (Mattiske 2025b): [Orange Area] Surveyed Areas Sep 2025 (Mattiske 2025d): [Pink Area] Surveyed Areas Sep-Dec 2024 (Mattiske 2025a): [Light Blue Area] Surveyed Areas Jul-Aug 2025 (Mattiske 2025c): [Green Area]	
<b>SOUTH32</b> Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd			<b>Surveyed Locations for Mattiske Consulting</b>		<b>FIGURE 3-4</b>		
Author: dlmm1			Created: March 2026		Plan: FVMP_AR_v7_Fig3-4_TargetSurveyLocations_Mattiske_A3P_20260310.mxd		



**LEGEND**

- Town
- State Highway
- Main Road
- Minor Road
- Track
- Major River
- Minor River
- Lake

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- ▭ Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)
- ▭ Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)


**Biologic Environmental:**

- ▭ Surveyed Areas Sep 2025 (Biologic 2025b)
- ▭ Surveyed Areas Feb 2025 (Biologic 2025a)

**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

## Target Survey Locations for Biologic Environmental Survey

**FIGURE 3-5**



<b>LEGEND</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Town</li> <li>State Highway</li> <li>Minor Road</li> <li>Major River</li> <li>Lake</li> <li>Main Road</li> <li>Track</li> <li>Minor River</li> </ul>		<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)</li> <li>Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)</li> </ul>		<b>Onshore Environmental:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Surveyed Areas Nov 2025 (Onshore Environmental 2026)</li> <li>Surveyed Areas August 2025 (Onshore Environmental 2025b)</li> </ul>		SOUTH32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd		<b>FIGURE</b> <b>3-6</b>
<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Crusher</li> <li>Secondary Crusher</li> </ul>						<b>Targeted Priority Flora</b> <b>Surveyed Locations for</b> <b>Onshore Environmental</b>		

### 3.3.1 TIMING

Timing of all surveys is provided in Table 3-2. Further commentary regarding timing of completed surveys in 2025 is provided below.

#### **3.3.1.1 Targeted Orchid Surveys (Onshore Environmental 2026)**

Timing of the Threatened orchid surveys was determined based on a list of dates where mature flowering specimens had been recorded. This was used to determine a “flowering window” around which field work was planned (Onshore Environmental 2026). Targeted surveys commenced by visiting known populations to confirm flowering and to ensure survey personnel were familiar with each species. Targeted orchid surveys in 2025 were conducted during the period 22<sup>nd</sup> Sep - 29<sup>th</sup> Oct (Onshore Environmental 2026).

#### **3.3.1.2 Threatened and Priority Flora Pre-clearance Surveys**

To support operational needs and improve efficiency of surveys in the limited spring monitoring period, targeted surveys were conducted in February 2025 and Jul-Aug 2025 (Mattiske 2025b & 2025c & Onshore Environmental 2025b). These surveys were conducted with known limitations due to timing and appropriate follow up surveys were planned in spring to verify identification of any potential priority flora found. All potential Threatened or Priority flora identified during the survey were GPS recorded for follow up survey in spring. Where any disturbance was planned to take place in these areas prior to spring, temporary 10 m avoidance buffers were applied to the identified potential Priority flora locations to prevent disturbance until identification was verified. This measure ensured any impacts to Priority flora were understood prior to disturbance and impacts were maintained within the allowable limits of MS1237.

The targeted survey completed in February 2025 targeted a highly degraded area on private property (grazed) (Mattiske 2025b). Desktop assessment of the area suggested it was unlikely to support conservation significant flora other than *Hibbertia ambita*.

Surveys in Jul-Aug 2025 included areas of more intact vegetation and rehabilitation areas (Mattiske 2025c & Onshore 2025b). Completing these surveys at this time allowed identification of vegetation unsuitable to support conservation significant flora and subsequent exclusion of these areas from spring surveys (e.g. grazed areas lacking understorey and otherwise unsuitable habitat as defined by expert botanists). This process ensured survey effort in spring was effectively allocated to areas with potential to support conservation significant flora. It should be noted that some individuals in target species had started to flower during this period allowing identification (Mattiske 2025c & Onshore 2025b).

Further targeted surveys for Threatened and Priority flora in 2025 were conducted over the period Sep-Nov (Mattiske 2025d, Mattiske 2025e & Onshore Environmental 2026). These surveys included follow-up survey of areas identified within earlier surveys (Feb and Jul-Aug 2025).

#### **3.3.1.3 Targeted *Gastrolobium* Survey**

A targeted survey for *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington was conducted in February 2025. Prior to survey the species was considered to be readily identifiable in the absence of flowering due to its prostrate habit (Biologic 2025) and therefore targeted surveys outside of the optimal seasonal timing (i.e. during the flowering period, which is known to be in spring) were undertaken.

### 3.3.2 METHOD

#### **3.3.2.1 Targeted Orchid Surveys (Onshore Environmental 2026)**

Targeted orchid surveys in completed in 2025 comprised of a number of steps to ensure compliance with the EPA guidelines. As previously mentioned, optimal timing of the surveys was determined using existing known data on flowering times and by observing known populations. Survey areas were determined based on known habitat preferences for the species and these areas were the focus of targeted searches with a 200 m buffer included around these areas (Onshore Environmental 2026).

In areas where targeted species had not previously been detected but had potential to occur (based on GIS mapping, an in-depth analysis of previous populations and updated distribution models developed for each species), a random 'meander' survey was conducted. This allowed the coverage of large areas of potential habitat to determine the presence/absence of orchid species. Following this, where target orchid species were found, a detailed and systematic targeted search was undertaken. This involved the use of parallel transects approximately 5 – 10 m apart. The width of the transect path was determined by the visibility of the orchid species, the density of vegetation and the landforms encountered (Onshore Environmental 2026).

#### **3.3.2.2 Pre-clearance Flora Surveys (Mattiske Consulting)**

Targeted flora searches for pre-clearance areas were conducted by botanists from Mattiske Consulting. Surveys included parallel foot traverses on regular grid systems whilst recording location and number of plants. Initially transects were located at 20 m apart and if any targeted species were recorded this was decreased to 10 m apart (Mattiske 2025b, 2025c, 2025d & 2025e).

GPS locations were recorded along with number (or density categories), condition and reproductive state of the species. The likelihood of the Threatened and Priority flora species occurring in the survey areas was based on FloraBase (DBCA 2024), extracted presence data from previous extensive gridding surveys, experience in the survey area by the authors and data collected over 40 years in the respective baseline and rehabilitation areas (Mattiske 2025b, 2025c, 2025d & 2025e).

All plant specimens collected during the field survey were dried and processed in accordance with the requirements of the Western Australian Herbarium (WAH). All plant specimens were identified through comparisons with pressed specimens at the Mattiske Consulting herbarium and WAH. Where appropriate, plant taxonomists with specialist skills were consulted (Mattiske 2025b, 2025c, 2025d & 2025e).

#### **3.3.2.3 Targeted *Gastrolobium* Survey (Biologic)**

Targeted searches involved botanists systematically grid-searching the Survey Area at approximately 10 to 20 m spacing for the target taxon with records of other significant flora being made opportunistically. Existing records of *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) from Mattiske (2021) in the Survey Area were ground-truthed when applicable to assess population health, confirm counts and update the accuracy of the previous data. Targeted searching within the Survey Area was not conducted during optimal seasonal timing for the priority target taxon (i.e., during the flowering period, which is known to be in spring) as pre-survey it was thought to be readily identifiable in the field without flowering or fruiting material due to its prostrate habit. Although this assumption held true for the most part, there were some taxonomic issues, which lead to constraints on the confident identification of specimens in-field and from dried specimens. When significant flora were encountered (and not already captured in previous data or if Biologic was updating previous data) a GPS coordinate of the individual was taken, when occurring in isolation, or a central GPS coordinate was taken for a small population (central coordinate with an approximate 10–20 m radius). Information

collected at each location comprised:

- GPS track logs of search effort;
- Number of individuals, for a small population or the extent of the population (if many);
- Condition and reproductive status of the plants in each population;
- Photographs of individuals and of the broad vegetation community/ habitat; and
- Broad information on vegetation type and condition.

Biological photo points, which comprise a GPS location, short description and photographs, were also established throughout the Survey Area to capture areas of unsuitable habitat for the priority target taxon and/or inaccessible areas (such as Hotham River which covers and divides large portions of the survey area).

#### **3.3.2.4 Pre-clearance Flora Surveys (Biologic)**

Prior to undertaking field surveys, a desktop assessment was undertaken to collate information on the target species, vegetation communities and old-growth forest present within the survey area.

The field survey was then conducted in line with relevant state and federal guidance and requirements (further details are provided in Biologic 2025b). The survey was conducted from 8 – 14 September by four Biologic personnel, totalling 28 person days and was led by a Senior Botanist. The targeted survey comprised three components including significant flora, old growth forest and TECs and PECs. The field team completed targeted traverses along transect lines approximately 25 to 50 m apart across the majority of the survey area. The distance between transect lines varied with consideration for habitat suitability, terrain, vegetation present and time constraints.

#### **3.3.2.5 Pre-clearance Flora Surveys (Onshore Environmental)**

The targeted flora and vegetation field surveys conducted in August 2025 were undertaken by a team that included up to a Principal Botanist and Ecologist over four days (4<sup>th</sup>-7<sup>th</sup> August 2025). The survey covered three target areas which were intensively ground-truthed via parallel transect walks at approximately 100 m intervals. The transect interval reflected the diversity of topography and vegetation units and the dimensions of the survey area, and reflected the maximum area that could be covered within the four day survey period allocated. Where populations of significant flora were recorded, more intensive searches down to 5-10 m transect intervals were conducted to determine the extent and size of those populations.

The targeted survey in spring included seven botanists working intermittently between the 22<sup>nd</sup> of September and the 29<sup>th</sup> of October 2025 to maximise the likelihood of recording annual target species in flower. The proposed disturbance areas and adjacent vegetation was intensively ground-truthed via transect walks at <100 m intervals noting deviation to assess habitat features and record tree stumps. Where populations of significant flora were recorded, more intensive searches down to 5 m transect intervals were conducted to determine the extent and size of those populations.

### 3.3.3 LIMITATIONS

#### **3.3.3.1 Targeted Orchid Surveys (Onshore Environmental 2026)**

No limitations or constraints were identified during the surveys undertaken in spring 2025 as outlined below in Table 2-5.

**Table 3-3 Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Onshore Environmental 2026)**

CONSTRAINT	RELEVANCE
Availability of contextual information at a regional and local scale	<p><b>Not a Limitation</b></p> <p>There has been at least eight previous flora and vegetation surveys conducted by Mattiske Consulting between 1996 and 2022 that intersect native vegetation forming the study area (Mattiske Consulting and Ninox Wildlife Consulting 1996, Mattiske Consulting 2002, 2005, 2010a, 2010b, 2012, 2019, 2021, 2022). This provided a comprehensive local database.</p>
Proportion of flora recorded and/or collected, any identification issues	<p><b>Not a Limitation</b></p> <p>The targeted flora and vegetation survey was scheduled to coincide with the optimum spring flowering period and extended over a six week period from late-September through to the end of October 2025. This maximised the likelihood of recording target conservation significant flora species and included re-assessment of some populations twice during the spring 2025 to increase the accuracy of population counts. There were no specific collection or taxonomic issues resulting from survey timing.</p>
Survey timing, rainfall, season of survey	<p><b>Not a Limitation</b></p> <p>The spring 2025 targeted field survey was conducted between the 22nd of September and the 29th of October 2025 during the peak flowering periods for target species, and within seasonal windows recommended by the EPA (2016). With the exception of March, monthly rainfall totals for the six month period January and June 2025 were below the long term average for the corresponding months. Monthly rainfall for July and August 2025 was above the long term average, with September and October 2025 totals marginally below the long term average.</p>
Disturbance that may have affected the results of the survey such as fire, flood or clearing	<p><b>Not a Limitation</b></p> <p>While there were a number of historical disturbances influencing native vegetation condition, none of the disturbances recorded within the study area prevented sampling or influenced survey outcomes. Disturbances within the study area included clearing, historical hardwood logging, <i>Phytophthora</i> dieback, mining, powerline and unsealed road corridors, ground disturbance by pigs, salinisation, weed encroachment, and edge effects along land use boundaries.</p>
Was the appropriate area fully surveyed (effort and extent)	<p><b>Not a Limitation</b></p> <p>The survey areas were extensively searched by seven botanists working along close interval grid lines and extending into modelled search areas over a wider regional area.</p>
Access restrictions within the survey area	<p><b>Not a limitation</b></p> <p>The study area was accessed by 4WD vehicle along formed access tracks and on foot. Access did not pose any restrictions to undertaking the field survey of the survey areas.</p> <p>Access into regional modelled targets occurring within native remnants on privately owned farmland was restricted in some instances but did not impact on the survey outcomes</p>
Competency/experience of the team carrying out the survey, including experience in the bioregion surveyed	<p><b>Not a Limitation</b></p> <p>The Principal Botanists working on the survey (Dr Darren Brearley and Dr Jerome Bull) have over 20 years' experience working locally and have completed numerous surveys around Boddington and Quindanning dating back to 2005. They were supported by long time orchid enthusiasts and contract native seed collectors Mr Keith Smith and Mrs Vicki Smith who found the first populations of <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> and <i>Caladenia caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung and have worked extensively around Boddington for the past 15 years. Mr Thomas Mott and Mr Bede Chandler are Ecologists with &lt;5 years' field experience; both ecologists were familiarised with target species and worked closely alongside one of the two Principal Botanists.</p>

### 3.3.3.2 Pre-clearance flora surveys (Mattiske Consulting)

Some limitations were noted for surveys completed in 2025 during February 2025 and July- August 2025 (Mattiske 2025b and 2025c) as detailed in Table 3-4. Mattiske also noted the following limitation within the report for the July – August 2025 survey work:

- The difficulty of identifying *Hibbertia ambita* in the field. Flowering samples were sent to the State Herbarium for confirmation noting this species was flowering in some areas at the time of survey (flowering period differs year to year depending on seasonal conditions).

**Table 3-4 Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Mattiske 2025b, 2025c, 2025d and 2025e)**

POTENTIAL SURVEY LIMITATION	IMPACT ON SURVEY
Sources of information and availability of contextual information (i.e. pre-existing background versus new material).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> A large body of previous work exists for the area, both locally and regionally
Scope (i.e. what life forms etc. were sampled)	<b>2025 Partial Constraint:</b> Individuals of <i>Hibbertia</i> sp. were unable to be identified to species level at the time of survey. Locations for each identified plant were recorded for avoidance with a 10m buffer until identification could be validated.
Proportion of flora collected and identified (based on sampling, timing and intensity).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> The intensity of the survey effort was such that a very high proportion of the flora was sampled and identified, particularly in view of the degree of disturbance of the understorey from agricultural activities and also the degree of past clearing.
Completeness and further work which might be needed (i.e. was the relevant survey area fully surveyed).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> The entire survey area was traversed during the survey period.
Mapping reliability.	<b>Not a constraint:</b> Aerial survey maps were sufficient for the work that was undertaken, along with the multiple assessments and targeted work undertaken on the flora.
Timing, weather, season, cycle.	<b>2025b and 2025c Partial Constraint:</b> Seasonality effected identification of potential occurrences of <i>Hibbertia ambita</i> only. Individuals of <i>Hibbertia</i> sp. were identified and recorded for avoidance until a follow-up spring survey was completed at which point this constraint was no longer applicable. <b>2025d and 2025e Not a constraint:</b> the survey was conducted during Spring which is considered to be the ideal sampling period for the Jarrah Forest (EPA 2004; EPA 2016a and 2016b).
Disturbances (fire flood, accidental human intervention, etc.).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> No disturbances impacted upon the survey
Intensity (in retrospect, was the intensity adequate).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> All remnant vegetation and rehabilitation areas were assessed.
Resources (i.e. were there adequate resources to complete the survey to the required standard).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> Adequate resources were provided for the completion of the survey work.
Access problems (i.e. ability to access survey area).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> Existing tracks enabled adequate access to survey the vegetation within the survey area.
Experience levels (e.g. degree of expertise in plant identification to taxon level).	<b>Not a constraint:</b> All survey personnel had appropriate training in sampling and identifying the flora of the region. Experienced botanists were consulted where plants could not be identified in the field.

### 3.3.3.3 Pre-clearance flora surveys (Biologic 2025b)

No constraints were recorded for the 2025 Spring survey completed by Biologic as outlined in Table 3-5.

**Table 3-5 Biologic Environmental Survey - Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Spring 2025 Survey)**

POTENTIAL LIMITATION	CONSTRAINT	COMMENT
Availability of data and information	No	Sufficient contextual information was available for the Survey Area, including broad information on land systems and vegetation associations. Significant flora spatial data from multiple surveys was provided by South32.
Competency/ experience of the team carrying out the survey, including experience in the bioregion surveyed	No	The field survey was led by Senior Botanist Sam Coultas who has 12 years' field experience. This meets the minimum requirements of the EPA (2016b) to manage a flora and vegetation field survey/ team in the Jarrah Forest bioregion. Sam was supported by Botanists and Graduate Botanists with 1 – 5 years' experience.
Proportion of flora recorded/collected and any identification issues	No	All flora records were identified in-field. Representative collections of significant flora were made and submitted to the WAH for formal identification.
Was the appropriate area fully surveyed (effort and extent)	No	The majority of suitable habitat for significant flora within the Survey Area was systematically traversed at 25-50 m spacing on foot, which aligns with EPA guidance for a targeted survey in the Jarrah Forest. Spacing was increased up to 50 m where habitat was deemed unsuitable for the taxa in the list. As substantial portions of the Survey Area were degraded (e.g., farm blocks, rehabilitation in progress), the team targeted prospective habitat rather than undertaking grid searching (across cleared and highly disturbed paddocks) in some instances to maximise efficiencies and concentrate on areas more likely to support significant flora.
Survey timing, rainfall, season of survey	No	Fieldwork was conducted in September (spring), which is optimal timing for flora surveys in the southwest. Seasonal timing was appropriate for most target species, with the exception of some of the orchid species, including <i>Caladenia hopperiana</i> (T). South32 advised that a separate consultant would be surveying for orchid species at a later date; as such, this is not considered a limitation for this survey.
Access restrictions within the Survey Area	No	The majority of the Survey Area was accessible via gravel access tracks which provided adequate access across most of the Survey Area. Walking traverses were limited to a maximum distance of <1 km from the vehicle for safety reasons.

### 3.3.3.4 Pre-clearance flora surveys (Onshore Environmental 2025b & 2026)

Onshore conducted two sets of pre-clearance surveys during 2025. The surveys conducted approximately three weeks prior to the official commencement of spring (August 2025) were predominantly limited to perennial species that could be readily identified without all diagnostic material available, i.e., flowering and/or fruiting material. A preliminary list of conservation significant flora species previously recorded in the region was provided by Worsley Alumina as a guide, and it was acknowledged that many herbaceous / annual species including orchids would not be present or identifiable at the time of the field survey.

Pre-clearance surveys conducted during the spring period were included in the report for the targeted Orchid assessment (Onshore 2026). This survey did not record any constraints (refer Table 3-3).

### 3.3.3.5 Targeted *Gastrolobium sp. Boddington prostrate* (Biologic 2025a)

Two constraints, with regards to taxonomic identifications, were identified for the survey as presented

in Table 2-7 in relation to the surveys undertaken in Feb 2025.

**Table 3-6 -Biologic Environmental Survey - Analysis of Potential Survey Limitations (Feb 2025 Survey)**

POTENTIAL SURVEY LIMITATION	IMPACT ON SURVEY
Availability of data and information	<b>Not a constraint:</b> Sufficient contextual information was available for the Survey Area, including broad information on land systems and vegetation associations. Botanical survey work, including targeted searches for <i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1), has previously been undertaken by Matiske (2021).
Competency/ experience of the team carrying out the survey, including experience in the bioregion surveyed	<b>Not a Constraint:</b> The field survey was co-led by Botanists Ryan Woodhouse and Emma Marsh, both with over five years' field experience. They were the field leads of each team which meets the minimum requirements of the EPA (2016b) to manage a flora and vegetation field survey/ team in the Jarrah Forest bioregion.
Proportion of flora recorded/collected and any identification issues	<b>Partial Constraint:</b> All flora records were identified in-field with representative collections of the two potentially different forms of the priority target taxon made in each of the areas of interest of the Survey Area. However, due to limited taxonomic research on the priority phrase name taxon (including few specimens at the WAH), confident formal identification of the five sterile <i>Gastrolobium</i> collections was difficult (especially distinguishing natural variation). This resulted in three being confirmed as <i>G. sp. Prostrate Boddington</i> (M. Hislop 2130) (P1) and the other two collections identified as the unconfirmed common taxon ( <i>G. ?hookeri</i> ).  Following taxonomic reviews from Mike Hislop and Olga Nazarova, Olga concluded that there might be two different taxa present, but to be confident in the identification, additional flowering and fruiting material will need to be collected, with a wider review of unresolved taxonomic issues in <i>Gastrolobium</i> currently underway.
Was the appropriate area fully surveyed (effort and extent)	<b>Not a Constraint:</b> The Survey Area was systematically traversed at 10–20 m grid-spacing on foot, which aligns with EPA guidance for a targeted survey in the Jarrah Forest. All appropriate habitat for <i>G. sp. Prostrate Boddington</i> (M. Hislop 2130) was surveyed within the Survey Area with inaccessible/ unsuitable habitat in Areas 2 and 3 not traversed as thoroughly.
Survey timing, rainfall, season of survey	<b>Partial constraint:</b> Fieldwork was conducted in February (summer) when plants were sterile. Pre-survey, this was not considered to be a constraint as the target taxon was thought to be readily identifiable in-field without flowers or fruit due to its prostrate habit. Post-survey, the lack of fertile material (due to survey timing) proved to be a constraint, with Olga Nazarova requesting flowering and fruiting material in order to determine whether collections represented two different taxa or variation within <i>G. sp. Prostrate Boddington</i> (M. Hislop 2130).
Access restrictions within the Survey Area	<b>Not a Constraint:</b> The Survey Area was accessible via gravel access tracks which provided adequate access across most of the Survey Area. Walking traverses were limited to a maximum distance of <1 km from the vehicle for safety reasons.

### 3.3.4 RESULTS

#### **3.3.4.1 Onshore Environmental**

##### **August 2025 Survey (Onshore Environmental 2025b)**

The survey recorded three species listed as Priority flora by DBCA. This included:

- *Hibbertia* sp (potentially *Hibbertia ambita* Priority 1) – 1066 individuals;
- *Goodenia katabudjar* (Priority 3) – 35 individuals; and
- *Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum* (Priority 4) – 563 individuals.

##### **Sept - Oct 2025 Survey (Onshore Environmental 2025c)**

The survey recorded the following conservation significant flora species either within, or in areas surrounding, the target survey areas:

- *Caladenia hopperiana* (Endangered Threatened) – 1,250 individuals
- *Caladenia dorrienii* (Endangered Threatened) – 387 individuals
- *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung – 287 individuals
- *Loricobbia pauciflora* (Threatened) – 2 individuals
- *Goodenia katabudjar* (P3) – 3,745 individuals
- *Drosera sivicola* (P1) – 8 individuals
- *Gastrolobium* sp. *Prostrate Boddington* (P1) – 330 individuals
- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) – 2,190 individuals
- *Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum* (P4) – 15,342 individuals
- *Senecio leucoglossus* (P4) – 5 individuals

### **3.3.4.2 Mattiske Consulting**

#### **2025 February Survey Area (Mattiske 2025b)**

A summary of findings from the Mattiske February survey are provided below:

- *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex* (P1) - No individuals of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex* (P1) were recorded in the areas to be cleared. The location of previous records of this species within the survey area were reviewed and found to not contain any individuals or suitable conditions to suggest the species would have occurred in the area previously. It is considered likely that the GPS information associated with the records is inaccurate.
- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) - 5 potential occurrences were noted (*Hibbertia* sp.). These individuals were reassessed in spring to confirm species with one being confirmed (Mattiske, 2025d & 2025e).

#### **2025 July – August Survey Area**

The survey recorded the following conservation significant flora species:

- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) - an additional 6,468 individuals were identified. In addition, a further 46 were collected to send to the WA Herbarium and 927 plants were recorded as “sterile” (i.e. no flowering material present to support positive identification). These sterile plants were revisited in spring to confirm identification (Mattiske 2025d & 2025e).

#### **2025 Sep Survey Area (Mattiske 2025d)**

During the September survey period the following conservation significant flora were recorded:

- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) - 5,139 individuals with an additional two plants flagged as *H. ?ambita* pending verification from the WA Herbarium
- *Gastrolobium* sp. *Prostrate Boddington* (*M. Hislop 2130*) (P1) - 3,854 individuals
  - Note: The buffer area for some of the future clearing areas overlapped with the February 2025 *Gastrolobium* survey undertaken by Biologic group, so to ensure the **known population** (as defined in MS1237) wasn't overrepresented the majority of the individuals recorded were not added to the total **known population**. Only three small populations, at a distance from the already recorded individuals were added to the **known population** totalling an additional 90 individuals. None of these additional individuals were within the proposed clearing area.
- *Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum* (P4) – 1850 individuals

### 2025 Oct Survey Area (Mattiske 2025e)

During the October survey period the following conservation significant flora were recorded:

- *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1) - 465 individuals
- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) - 8,291 individuals were recorded with an additional 110 plants flagged as *H. ?ambita* pending verification from the WA Herbarium.
- *Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum* (P4) – 609 individuals
- *Stylidium marradongense* – 15 individuals

### **3.3.4.3 Biologic Environmental Survey**

#### **Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1) (Biologic 2025a)**

During the targeted survey 72,167 *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1) individuals were identified from 1,826 point-locations across two discreet populations.

**Table 3-7 Results of Surveys for Species Identified in Condition B12-1(3)**

Species	Number of Plants found in 2025	Known Population	Maximum % impact	Maximum Number of Plants which could be disturbed in 2025
<i>Hibbertia ambita</i>	337	398	10% <sup>#</sup>	39
<i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	0	79	2% <sup>^</sup>	1
<i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington	72,957	99,572	8%	7,965

# Increased to 10% as more than 100 individuals have been recorded in the known population  
<sup>^</sup> 2% as more than 100 individuals have not been recorded in the known population

Future annual reports will continue to build on the total number of plants discovered and the number cleared in any given year.

#### **Pre-clearance Survey Spring 2025 (Biologic 2025b)**

The survey undertaken by Biologic Group in spring 2025 included the following priority flora findings:

- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) – 20,730 individuals
- *Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum* (P4) – 669 individuals

A number of potential specimens (25) of *Hibbertia ambita* were sent to the West Australian Herbarium for identification; 11 were confirmed as *H. ambita* while the remaining 14 were identified as *Hibbertia ovata*, an unlisted (not recognised as threatened or priority flora), co-occurring species that appears superficially very similar. Following formal identification confirmations, Mike Hislop (expert taxonomist from the Western Australian Herbarium) gave advice on the taxonomic differences between the species. This information is provided in Biologic 2025b.

Biologic noted that the majority of the Survey Area that had been mapped as suitable habitat for target significant flora taxa was heavily degraded through clearing, logging and agriculture.

### 3.3.5 OTHER PRIORITY FLORA WITHIN PRE-CLEARANCE AREA

In addition to the target species several additional priority species were identified as a result of the surveys including:

- *Banksia recurvistylis* (P2)
- *Stylidium marradongense* (P3)
- *Grevillea dissectifolia* (P3)
- *Styphelia filifolia* (P3)
- *Grevillea dissectifolia* (P3)
- *Grevillea pimeleoides* (P4)
- *Lasiopetalum caridophyllum* (P4)

No clearing of *Banksia recurvistylis*, *Grevillea dissectifolia* or *Grevillea pimeleoides* is proposed under this FVMP. Should clearing be proposed in the future disturbance will first need to be authorised by the CEO in accordance with condition B12-1(f) of MS1237.

### 3.3.6 OUTCOMES

#### **3.3.6.1 Onshore Environmental**

##### **Pre-clearance Surveys August 2025 (Onshore 2025b)**

The survey conducted in August 2025 resulted in identification of an additional 1066 *Hibbertia* sp which could potentially be *Hibbertia ambita*, further inspection was undertaken in spring to validate which individuals represented *H. ambita*. In accordance with version 4 of the FVMP, these plants had a buffer of 10 m applied until they were confirmed as *H. ambita* or not.

##### **Pre-clearance surveys September – October 2025 (Onshore 2026)**

The survey resulted in the discovery of a population of *Caladenia dorrienii* in the Quindanning Timber Reserve (QTR), recording a total of 387 plants, with 194 occurring within the survey polygon (which included buffers) and 193 plants occurring outside the survey polygon. All individuals were immediately allocated a minimum 50 m buffer for avoidance. Future orchid surveys will include annual survey of the *C. dorrienii* population in Quindanning Timber Reserve.

Priority flora identified within the survey areas (including buffers) with potential to be impacted by proposed clearing included:

- *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington (P1) – 330 individuals;
- *Hibbertia ambita* (P1) – 40 individuals;
- *Senecio leucoglossus* (P4) – 5 individuals; and
- *Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum* (P4) – 15,292 individuals.

##### **Targeted Orchid surveys September – October 2025 (Onshore 2026)**

Outcomes of this survey are discussed in detail in section 6.

#### **3.3.6.2 Mattiske**

A targeted survey in February 2025 of pasture areas identified five occurrences of *Hibbertia* sp. that potentially represent individuals of *Hibbertia ambita*. These specimens required follow-up survey during spring, when individuals are expected to be flowering, to confirm the species. To ensure no

disturbance to these individuals occurred prior to follow-up surveys being conducted, a 10 m buffer was applied to the recorded locations preventing any disturbing activities from taking place in this buffer. Spring surveys confirmed that of the 5 plants initially identified, only one was confirmed as *H. ambita*.

A targeted survey conducted in July – August 2025 included identification of further *Hibbertia ambita* individuals which were added to the baseline population, as well as three other priority species which reconfirmed their site preferences and patterns of occurrence. The potential *H. ambita* individuals (including 46 that were sent to the WA Herbarium and 927 “sterile” individuals) had a 10m buffer applied. This will remain until they are confirmed as *H. ambita* or not.

Targeted surveys conducted in Sep-Oct 2025 identified an additional 13,542 *Hibbertia ambita* and an additional 555 *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington which have been added to the known population (baseline population) for each species. During this survey two other priority species were identified which reconfirmed their site preferences and patterns of occurrence. Biologic


The targeted survey for *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington identified 72,167 individuals across 1,826 point locations within two distinct populations (Biologic 2025a). This significantly increases the known population for the species. The survey also identified potential taxonomic issues associated with identification outside of the flowering period and as a result all further targeted surveys will be conducted in spring. Follow-up surveys of these areas will be conducted during spring to allow further taxonomic work to be completed to delineate whether two different taxa are present or if the species has two differing forms. Until this work is completed, and as advised by Mike Hislop, it is prudent to assume individuals identified in Area 1 are *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop, WAH, pers. comm. in Biologic 2025a).

The targeted pre-clearance survey undertaken by Biologic in spring 2025 (Biologic 2025b) identified an additional 20,730 *Hibbertia ambita* individuals which will be added to the **known population** (baseline population) for the species.

### **3.3.6.3 Summary of findings**


Areas have been surveyed in such a way to ensure pre-clearance surveys have been undertaken in accordance with the requirements of MS1237 prior to clearing commencing within each location.

Figure 3-7 shows the locations of known Threatened species, Priority species and old growth forest within and surrounding the Worsley Alumina mining operations in the PAA.



- Flora Records Oct 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Stylidium marradongense (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Lasiophyllum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (Biologic)**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia aff. ambita (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Spring '25 (Onshore Environmental)**
- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (T)
  - Caladenia dorrienii (T)
  - Caladenia hopperiana (T)
  - Loricobbia pauciflora (T)
  - Drosera silvicola (P1)
  - Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Goodenia katabudjar (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

<p><b>LEGEND</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Town</li> <li>State Highway</li> <li>Main Road</li> <li>Minor Road</li> <li>Track</li> <li>Major River</li> <li>Minor River</li> <li>Lake</li> </ul>		<p><b>Existing Infrastructure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Crusher</li> <li>Secondary Crusher</li> </ul> <p><b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MS1237 Development Envelope (BTC)</li> <li>MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)</li> </ul> <p><b>Old Growth:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Old Growth Forest (DBCA) (NJF) (Jan 2024)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Jan 2025 (MCPL):</b></p> <p><b>Priority 1 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)</li> <li>Hibbertia ambita</li> </ul> <p><b>Flora Records (Biologic Feb 2025):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (MCPL):</b></p> <p><b>Priority 3 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stylidium marradongense</li> <li>Styphelia filifolia</li> </ul> <p><b>Priority 4 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)</li> <li>Hibbertia ambita (P1)</li> <li>Hibb@WAH (P1)</li> <li>Hibbertia ?ambita - Sterile (Potential P1)</li> <li>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)</li> <li>Senecio leucoglossus (P4)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Feb 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Caladenia hopperiana (T)</li> <li>Grevillea dissectifolia (P3)</li> <li>Grevillea pimeleoides (P4)</li> <li>Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (undescribed)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hibbertia ambita (P1)</li> <li>Goodenia katabudjar (P3)</li> <li>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)</li> </ul>	<p><b>SOUTH32</b></p> <p><b>Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd</b></p> <p><b>Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations</b></p> <p><b>FIGURE 3-7</b></p> <p>Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--



- Flora Records Oct 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Stylidium marradongense (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Lasiophyllum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (Biologic)**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia aff. ambita (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Spring '25 (Onshore Environmental)**
- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (T)
  - Caladenia dorrienii (T)
  - Caladenia hopperiana (T)
  - Loricobbia pauciflora (T)
  - Drosera silvicola (P1)
  - Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Goodenia katabudjar (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

**LEGEND**

- Minor Road
- Track
- Minor River

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- MS1237 Development Envelope (BTC)
- MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Old Growth:**

- Old Growth Forest (DBCA) (NJF) (Jan 2024)

**Flora Records Jan 2025 (MCPL):**

**Priority 1 Species:**

- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)
- Hibbertia ambita

**Flora Records (Biologic Feb 2025):**

- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)

**Priority 3 Species:**

- Stylidium marradongense
- Styphelia filifolia

**Priority 4 Species:**

- Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum

**Flora Records Aug 2025 (MCPL):**

- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
- Hibb@WAH (P1)
- Hibbertia ?ambita? - Sterile (Potential P1)
- Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

**Flora Records Feb 2025 (Onshore Env.):**

- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (undescribed)


**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations - Area A**

**FIGURE 3-7 A**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

Plan: FVMP\_AR\_v7\_Fig3-7\_TandP\_OldGrowth\_A3P\_20260310.mxd



- Flora Records Oct 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Stylidium marradongense (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Lasiophyllum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (Biologic)**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia aff. ambita (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Spring '25 (Onshore Environmental)**
- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (T)
  - Caladenia dorrienii (T)
  - Caladenia hopperiana (T)
  - Loricobbia pauciflora (T)
  - Drosera silvicola (P1)
  - Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Goodenia katabudjar (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

**LEGEND**

- Main Road
- Minor Road
- Track
- Major River
- Minor River
- Lake

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- MS1237 Development Envelope (BTC)
- MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Old Growth:**

- Old Growth Forest (DBCA) (NJF) (Jan 2024)

**Flora Records Jan 2025 (MCPL):**

**Priority 1 Species:**

- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)
- Hibbertia ambita

**Flora Records (Biologic Feb 2025):**

- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)

**Priority 3 Species:**

- Stylidium marradongense
- Styphelia filifolia

**Priority 4 Species:**

- Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum

**Flora Records Aug 2025 (MCPL):**

- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
- Hibb@WAH (P1)
- Hibbertia ?ambita - Sterile (Potential P1)
- Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

**Flora Records Feb 2025 (Onshore Env.):**

- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (undescribed)


**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations - Area B**

**FIGURE 3-7 B**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

Plan: FVMP\_AR\_v7\_Fig3-7\_TandP\_OldGrowth\_A3P\_20260310.mxd



- Flora Records Oct 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Stylidium marradongense (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Lasiophyllum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (Biologic)**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia aff. ambita (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Spring '25 (Onshore Environmental)**
- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (T)
  - Caladenia dorrieni (T)
  - Caladenia hopperiana (T)
  - Loricobbia pauciflora (T)
  - Drosera silvicola (P1)
  - Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Goodenia katabudjar (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

- LEGEND**
- Main Road
  - Minor Road
  - Track
  - Major River
  - Minor River
- Existing Infrastructure:**
- Primary Crusher
  - Secondary Crusher
- MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**
- MS1237 Development Envelope (BTC)
  - MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)
- Old Growth:**
- Old Growth Forest (DBCA) (NJF) (Jan 2024)
- Flora Records Jan 2025 (MCPL):**
- Priority 1 Species:**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)
  - Hibbertia ambita
- Flora Records (Biologic Feb 2025):**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)
- Flora Records Aug 2025 (MCPL):**
- Priority 3 Species:**
- Stylidium marradongense
  - Styphelia filifolia
- Priority 4 Species:**
- Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum
- Flora Records Aug 2025 (Onshore Env.):**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Hibb@WAH (P1)
  - Hibbertia ?ambita - Sterile (Potential P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)
- Flora Records Feb 2025 (Onshore Env.):**
- Grevillea pimeleoides (P4)
- Flora Records Aug 2025 (Onshore Env.):**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)


**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations - Area C**

**FIGURE 3-7 C**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

Plan: FVMP\_AR\_v7\_Fig3-7\_TandP\_OldGrowth\_A3P\_20260310.mxd



- Flora Records Oct 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Stylidium marradongense (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Lasiophyllum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (Biologic)**
- Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Sept 2025 (MCPL)**
- Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia aff. ambita (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
- Flora Records Spring '25 (Onshore Environmental)**
- Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (T)
  - Caladenia dorrienii (T)
  - Caladenia hopperiana (T)
  - Loricobbia pauciflora (T)
  - Drosera silvicola (P1)
  - Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1)
  - Hibbertia ambita (P1)
  - Goodenia katabudjar (P3)
  - Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum (P4)
  - Senecio leucoglossus (P4)

<p><b>LEGEND</b></p> <p>— Main Road — Minor Road — Track — Minor River</p> <p><b>Existing Infrastructure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Crusher</li> <li>Secondary Crusher</li> </ul> <p><b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MS1237 Development Envelope (BTC)</li> <li>MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)</li> </ul> <p><b>Old Growth:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Old Growth Forest (DBCA) (NJF) (Jan 2024)</li> </ul>		<p><b>Flora Records Jan 2025 (MCPL):</b></p> <p><b>Priority 1 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)</li> <li>Hibbertia ambita</li> </ul> <p><b>Flora Records (Biologic Feb 2025):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130)</li> </ul>		<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (MCPL):</b></p> <p><b>Priority 3 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stylidium marradongense</li> <li>Styphelia filifolia</li> </ul> <p><b>Priority 4 Species:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lasiopetalum cardiophyllum</li> </ul>		<p><b>Flora Records Feb 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Caladenia hopperiana (T)</li> </ul>		<p><b>Flora Records Aug 2025 (Onshore Env.):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Goodenia katabudjar (P3)</li> </ul>	
---	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	---	--


**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Threatened Species, Priority Species and Old Growth Forest Locations - Area D**

**FIGURE 3-7 D**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

Plan: FVMP\_AR\_v7\_Fig3-7\_TandP\_OldGrowth\_ASP\_20260310.mxd



**LEGEND**

- Town
- State Highway
- Minor Road
- Major River
- Lake
- Main Road
- Track
- Minor River

**Existing Infrastructure:**

- Primary Crusher
- Secondary Crusher

**MS1237 Approved Envelopes:**

- Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)
- MS1237 Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Preclearance Areas:**

- Areas with all Pre-clearance Conditions Met

SOUTH32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

Areas with all  
Pre-clearance  
Conditions Met

FIGURE  
3-8

Author: dlmm1 Created: January 2026

Plan: WAPL\_FVMP\_V7\_Fig04\_08\_PreclearanceCompleted\_A3P.mxd

### 3.4 Threatened and Priority Vegetation

Survey for Threatened and Priority vegetation is undertaken during flora pre-clearance surveys as outlined in section 3.3. To avoid repetition in the document, the summary of the surveys has not been repeated in this section.

No further threatened or priority vegetation areas were identified as part of the pre-clearance surveys outlined in section 3.3.

## 4 Buffer Zones

### 4.1 Threatened Flora and Vegetation

Pre-clearance surveys have identified additional *Caladenia hopperiana* individuals within the PAA (Onshore 2025a & Onshore 2026). In addition, further individuals of *Caladenia hopperiana* and *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. *Mooradung* were located outside the PAA (Onshore 2025a & Onshore 2026). In accordance with the Flora and Vegetation Management Plan, all identified locations for these two species were protected through the allocation of a Protected Area, representing a 50 m buffer from the known locations, to minimise the risk of direct and indirect impacts to the species.

During the Spring 2025 surveys, a new population of *C. dorrienii* was identified (Onshore 2026). The newly identified individuals have been protected in accordance with the Flora and Vegetation Management Plan, through the allocation of a Protected Area representing a 50 m buffer from the known locations to minimise the risk of direct and indirect impacts to the species.

New Protected Areas assigned as a result of 2025 pre-clearance surveys are shown on Figure 4-1.

#### QUINDANNING TIMBER RESERVE (QTR) – WITHIN THE PAA

Appropriate buffers were determined considering the following:

##### **Forest disease**

Forest hygiene surveys have been conducted for the areas surrounding the location of the newly identified *C. hopperiana* and *C. dorrienii* individuals as outlined in Section 2.1. Much of the proposed clearing area in QTR area was surveyed for forest disease in 2023 and was interpreted as uninfested. There were small pockets of wandoo that were classified as uninterpretable due to a lack of indicator species however, these were surrounded by uninfested forest.

Given the assessed dieback status within and surrounding the area containing the *C. hopperiana* and *C. dorrienii* individuals, the location was classified as low risk for potential dieback infestation and a 50 m buffer, in conjunction with Worsley Alumina management measures, was considered adequate to minimise the risk of dieback to the identified individuals.

##### **Dust, weeds, fragmentation**

##### *Caladenia hopperiana*

The location of the newly identified individuals is within the existing defined Ecological Linkage for QTR where disturbance is only permitted for infrastructure, roads or access and not mining as described by MS1237 figure 5. The location is also adjacent to the existing Protected Area and “additional protected area” as described by MS1237 figure 6 including early rehabilitation areas; therefore,

impacts related to weeds, dust and fragmentation are consistent with the assessment already undertaken for the previously known individuals. Under this assessment process an external qualified consultant advised that a 50 m buffer be applied to the individuals identified in QTR to minimise the risk of indirect impacts (Mattiske, 2021). Given the potential impacts to these individuals are consistent with the individuals included under the original assessment a 50 m buffer is considered to be appropriate to minimise impacts to the individuals.

#### *Caladenia dorrienii*

Protected Areas have been proposed for all identified individuals within the PAA with the majority of the plants being located at a distance greater than 100 m from the proposed clearing boundary. Only a small number of plants are located between 50 - 100 m of the proposed clearing activities. The Protected Areas have also been designed to reduce fragmentation and associated edge effects. Given the similarities in form and status to *C. hopperiana* it is considered the same buffer (i.e. minimum 50 m) is adequate to protect the plants from indirect impacts associated with dust, weeds and fragmentation. No clearing or direct impacts to any individuals of *C. dorrienii* is proposed and the buffers placed around the populations is considered sufficient to avoid any indirect impacts. Refer to Figure 5-2 below for spatial information for *C. dorrienii*.

#### **Ground and Surface water**

Studies and local monitoring demonstrate that the Revised Proposal is unlikely to impact water availability within the QTR Protected Areas because the overall soil-water holding capacities of sites are not significantly impacted by bauxite mining, despite the removal of several meters of soil profile. Also, due to the deep weathered profile, the high infiltration capacity of the profile means that mined orebody areas can drain internally, which limits the risk of erosion and turbidity while vegetation cover is absent or low. The addition of shallow groundwater monitoring bores to the groundwater monitoring program allows for early detection of any increase in groundwater levels or decline in groundwater quality locally and as a result of the Worsley Alumina operation. These bores are and will continue to monitor local changes to groundwater in accordance with the Water Management Plan required by condition B16-2. The monitoring and management of the new individuals is no different to the assessment provided previously for the known population, the buffer of 50 m for the new individuals is therefore determined to be appropriate.

#### BUFFERS FOR AREAS OUTSIDE THE PAA

##### 2024 Spring Survey

Individuals for both *C. hopperiana* (within the QTR) and *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung (north of Gold Mine Road) are located outside of the PAA. Worsley Alumina has defined Protected Areas representing a buffer of 50 m on these known locations to ensure that ancillary activities (such as feral animal baiting) will not directly impact the species, noting that the approval provided by MS1237 does not include ground disturbing activities outside the PAA. As these additional individuals are within known populations for both species, the assessment conducted to provide MS1237 has already considered the potential impacts and found the management measures to be sufficient to limit the risk of adverse impact. The buffer of 50 m is considered appropriate to limit the risk, noting Worsley Alumina had not provided a buffer previously for these locations as they are outside the PAA.

No further buffers were applied within or adjacent to the pre-clearance areas as a result of the 2024 Spring surveys.

##### 2025 Spring Surveys

Several of the *C. hopperiana* and *C. dorrienii* individuals identified during the spring 2025 survey fall outside of the PAA (as shown on Figure 4-1). Worsley Alumina has defined a Protected Area representing a buffer of at least 50 m on these known locations to ensure that ancillary activities (such as feral animal baiting) will not directly impact the species, noting that the approval provided by MS1237 does not include ground disturbing activities outside the PAA.

Worsley Alumina notes the company has no influence over the activities undertaken in the QTR or the area North of Gold Mine road by the public and the buffers will therefore only apply to Worsley Alumina controlled activities.

#### 4.2 Priority Flora and Vegetation

##### *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex*

All but one current record of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex* within the WMDE are included in Protected Areas. Where possible these have been assigned a 30 m buffer to minimise potential impacts from edge effects (noting that where remnant vegetation had been cleared within 30 m of individuals as part of historical operations this was not possible). In accordance with the FVMP, Protected Areas will be surveyed for vegetation condition on a 5 yearly basis. Sites containing *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex* will be included in this survey program. One historically recorded location lies inside current proposed clearing areas, pre-clearance surveys will be completed in January 2026 (known flowering period) to verify presence and number of individuals of *Calytrix simplex* subsp. *simplex* prior to disturbance.

##### *Hibbertia ambita*

Surveys to date have identified that *Hibbertia ambita* is common and well distributed throughout Saddleback Timber Reserve and remnant vegetation within Marradong Timber Reserve, including within Protected Areas (see Figure X). It was also noted that this species was well represented in rehabilitation areas in Saddleback Timber Reserve suggesting that it readily reestablishes under current rehabilitation processes. Given the above, avoidance buffers have not been allocated to individuals of this species.

##### *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington

Within the WMDE, *Gastrolobium* sp. Prostrate Boddington occurs primarily within Marradong Timber Reserve and along the Hotham River. Protected Areas have been established to protect large portions of known populations. Disturbance within in areas known to support the species is required to allow for construction of critical infrastructure from Marradong to Hotham North. No buffer has been assigned to this species given the known direct impacts required for this critical infrastructure immediately adjacent to other individuals. Indirect impacts will be minimised as far as reasonably practicable through other management measures as outlined in the FVMP.


##### *Halgania corymbosa*

It is suspected that the current records of *Halgania corymbosa* in the PAA may have been misidentified specimens. Further investigation into this possibility will occur pending availability of herbarium specimens. Further searches for the species in the area will be significantly hampered by a recent bushfire (Dec 2025 – Jan 2026) which impacted >4000 ha including the area of these previous records. Only one other historic record exists within the WMDE. This was located within STR but likely represents an historically cleared individual as the location is currently under rehabilitation.

##### Williams and Michibin Vegetation Complexes

Large portions of Williams are included in Protected Areas created for streams. The only disturbance within this vegetation complex is for bridge crossings. No buffer is assigned to this vegetation complex given the very limited interaction between the mining operation and this complex. Stream buffers are assigned in accordance with the FVMP.

The Michibin vegetation complex surrounds the core mining operations within Marradong and Saddleback with some overlap with historic and future operations. This vegetation complex has been significantly impacted by agricultural activities with very little vegetation of good or excellent condition remaining. Some areas, including heaths and stream buffers, within this vegetation complex have been included in Protected Areas however, almost all vegetated areas located outside the State forest network have been grazed or otherwise impacted by agricultural activities to some extent (e.g. lack an understorey, have a high weed load etc). Given the extent of previous fragmentation and associated edge effects (i.e. weeds, dust, grazing, largely uninterpretable for dieback status) and the limited disturbance planned within and adjacent to this vegetation complex, protection buffers have not been assigned. Instead, areas of particularly high value within the vegetation complex have been protected with the application of a 30 m buffer.





<b>LEGEND</b> Town State Highway Main Road Minor Road Major River Minor River		<b>Existing Infrastructure:</b> Primary Crusher Secondary Crusher		<b>MS1237 Approved Envelopes:</b> Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC) Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)		<b>Flora Records:</b> Caladenia dorrieni (T) (Cd) Caladenia caesarea subsp. Mooradung (undescribed) (CcM) Caladenia hopperiana (T) (Ch)		<b>Worsley Protected Areas:</b> Worsley Protected Area <b>Additional Buffers:</b> Additional Protection Buffers (50m Buffer)	
---	--	---	--	---	--	--	--	---	--

SOUTH32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Additional Buffers as a Result of Survey Findings**

**FIGURE 4-1**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026  
 Plan: WAPL\_FVMP\_V7\_Fig05\_01\_AdditionalBuffersSurveyFindings\_A3P\_20260309.mxd



**LEGEND**

- Main Road
- Track
- MS1237 Approved Envelopes:
  - Bauxite Transport Corridor (BTC)
  - Worsley Mining Development Envelope (WMDE)

**Flora Records:**

- Caladenia dorrienii (T)
- Caladenia hopperiana (T)

**Additional Buffers:**

- Additional Protected Buffers (50m)

**Worsley Protected Areas:**

- Protected Area [WAPL]

**SOUTH32** Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd

**Locations and Buffers for Caladenia dorrienii and Caladenia hopperiana**

**FIGURE 4-2**

Author: dlmm1 Created: March 2026

Plan: FAMP\_AR\_v1\_Fig4-2\_LocationsAndBuffers\_CalDor\_CalHopp\_A3\_20260319

## 5 Management Actions

There are no changes to the existing management actions as described in the Flora and Vegetation Management Plan (V5) section 6.6 and section 8.

## 6 Annual Monitoring of Threatened Orchids

Annual monitoring of Threatened orchids namely *C. hopperiana* and *C. caesarea* occurred during 2024 (Onshore Environmental 2025a) and 2025 (Onshore Environmental 2026). These surveys are described in detail in section 3.3. During 2025 surveys an additional Threatened orchid species, *Caladenia dorrienii*, was identified within the Quindanning Timber Reserve. Information for this species has also been included in the survey outcomes. Annual surveys for *C. dorrienii* will be incorporated into the ongoing targeted orchid survey program. A summary of the results of the 2024 and 2025 spring surveys is provided in Table 6-1.

**Table 6-1 Outcomes of Threatened Orchid Surveys**

Species	Population Name	Individuals Recorded (# plants)			
		2024		2025	
		Confirmed (Flowering)	Leaves & Buds	Confirmed (Flowering)	Leaves & Buds
<i>C. dorrienii</i>	QTR	N/A	N/A	207	182
	Population 1*	3	3	0	0
	Population 2	286	614	139	386
	Population 3*	233	357	189	91
	Population 4*	276	442	82	354
	Population 5	7	11	3	6
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>805</b>	<b>1427</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>837</b>
<i>C. hopperiana</i>	Population 1	140	204	69	61
	Population 2	8	4	20	37
	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>98</b>
<i>C. caesarea</i> subsp. Mooradung (undescribed)					
* Control sites					

### 6.1 *Caladenia hopperiana*

The survey results from Onshore (2026) for *Caladenia hopperiana* are provided below.

A total of 413 flowering plants were recorded from five populations between the 29th of September and the 16th of October 2025. This represented 51% of the total number recorded in spring 2024 (Table 6-1). An additional 837 individuals were recorded as leaves or buds near to recorded plants and were considered very likely to be *Caladenia hopperiana*. This represented 59% of the total number recorded in spring 2024 (Table 6-1).

The smallest population comprising three flowering plants and six buds/leaves was recorded from the Mooradung Nature Reserve. The remaining four populations occurred on minor drainage lines and

associated drainage flats within the Quindanning Timber Reserve. Despite intensive ground truthing of modelled target locations no additional populations were recorded in spring 2025.

The numbers of flowering plants and the number of individuals inferred from buds and leaves occurring adjacent to confirmed flowering plants decreased across all five populations between spring 2024 and spring 2025. Flowering also occurred approximately 2-3 weeks later in 2025 compared to 2024, although there was no visual difference in the health of *Caladenia hopperiana* plants across the two years.

Low surface soil moisture was observed in spring 2025, supported by rainfall data from the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM 2025). With the exception of March 2025, below average monthly rainfall was recorded between September 2024 and June 2025. The May and June 2025 monthly rainfall totals of 28.0 mm and 47.2 mm were well below the long term averages of 66.7 mm and 72.4 mm respectively. Low rainfall during the critical late autumn and early winter period was likely an important factor that reduced plant establishment and flowering of *Caladenia hopperiana* in spring 2025.

Minor drainage lines and adjacent drainage flats supporting the two largest populations of *Caladenia hopperiana* within Quindanning Reserve were noticeably drier and supported less standing and flowing water in spring 2025. Further analysis is required to determine whether recent mining activity adjacent to these two populations within the Quindanning Reserve impacted on the local soil moisture status, i.e., perched groundwater feeding the minor drainage lines to the north-west and south-east. While this is unlikely given the consistent decline recorded across all five populations, this should be confirmed by future monitoring during a year receiving average autumn and winter rainfall.

## 6.2 *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung

The survey results from Onshore (2026) for *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung are provided below.

A total of 89 flowering plants *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung were recorded from two populations in spring 2025. This represented 60% of the total number recorded in spring 2024 (Table 6-1). An additional 98 individuals were recorded as leaves or buds near to recorded plants and were considered very likely to be *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung. This represented 47% of the total number recorded in spring 2024 (Table 6-1).

The smallest population comprising 20 flowering plants (plus 37 plants inferred from buds/leaves) was recorded from minor drainage lines occurring on granite in the central southern and north-east sectors of the Mooradung Nature Reserve. The larger population comprising 69 flowering plants (plus 61 plants inferred from buds/leaves) occurred on a broad minor drainage line within native vegetation either side of Gold Mine Road, i.e. east of Newmont's Boddington Gold Mine. Despite intensive ground truthing of modelled target locations no additional populations were recorded in spring 2025.

The numbers of flowering plants and the number of individuals inferred from buds and leaves occurring adjacent to confirmed flowering plants decreased across the two populations between spring 2024 and spring 2025. Flowering also occurred approximately three weeks later in 2025 compared to 2024, although there was no visual difference in plant health across the two years. As with *Caladenia hopperiana*, less rainfall during late autumn and early winter 2025 contributed to lower surface soil moisture and was likely to be a major factor reducing plant establishment and flowering of *Caladenia caesarea* subsp. Mooradung.



**7 Priority Flora Trigger and Threshold Criteria**

The Flora and Vegetation Management Plan provides all information in accordance with the ‘Instructions on how to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV Environmental Management Plans’ published by the Western Australian (WA) Environment Protection Authority (EPA) (EPA, 2024) and the ‘Environmental Management Plan Guidelines’ published by the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (DCCEEW, 2024). This includes the inclusion of Trigger and Threshold limits (see Table 8-3). The Trigger and Threshold values are based on a known population at a point in time and therefore are subject to changes as further surveys are conducted and more plants are found. Table 5-1 provides the known populations based on surveys conducted in 2025, and associated changes to the Trigger and Threshold Values. This information has also been updated in the main body of the FVMP (Table 8-3).

**Table 7-1 Updated Trigger and Threshold Values for the Environmental Values for MS1237 Condition B12-1(3)**

Environmental Value	MS1237 Limit	Known Population	Trigger (%)	Trigger Value	Threshold (%)	Threshold Value
<i>Calytrix simplex</i> subsp. <i>simplex</i>	2%	2058	1.5%	30	2%	41
<i>Gastrolobium</i> sp. Prostrate Boddington	8%	101,587	6%	6,095	8%	8,126
<i>Hibbertia ambita</i>	10%	44,532	7.5%	3,339	10%	4,453
<i>Halgania corymbosa</i>	2%	79	1.5%	1	2%	1

## 8 References

- Biologic (2025a). *Technical Memo – Gastrolobium sp. Prostrate Boddington (M. Hislop 2130) (P1) Targeted Survey*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, May 2025.
- Biologic (2025b). *Technical Memo – South32 Saddleback Pre-clearance Flora & Vegetation Survey*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, November 2025.
- DPAW (2015) *FEM047 Phytophthora Dieback Interpreter's Manual for Lands Managed by the Department*. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth.
- DPAW (2017). *Procedures for the assessment, identification and demarcation of old-growth forest*. Published by Department of Parks and Wildlife March 2017
- Glevan (2023). *South 32 – 2023 Harvesting Program*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
- Glevan (2024a). *Tunnel Road Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
- Glevan (2024b). *Harvesting Areas 2024 Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
- Glevan (2024c) *Overland Belt Conveyor Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd.
- Glevan (2025a). *South 32 – 2024 Hygiene Areas Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. February 2025
- Glevan (2025b). *2024 Additional Hygiene Areas Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. February 2025
- Glevan (2025c). *Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report for the Nullaga Link Road*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. February 2025
- Glevan (2025d). *South 32 - Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report for Dieback Surveys FY25*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. June 2025
- Glevan (2025e). *Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report for the Morts Road Study Area*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. January 2025
- Glevan (2026) *2025 Spring Surveys Phytophthora Dieback Occurrence Report 1*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd. February 2026
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2021) *Assessment of Flora and Vegetation on Worsley Mine Expansion Primary Assessment Area*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd, September 2021.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2024) *Assessment of Flora and Vegetation Values on Hotham Farm*. Prepared for Newmont Boddington Gold Mine, WA, April 2024.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2025a) *Memo: Summary of Findings from Targeted Threatened and Priority Flora searches within the expansion areas for South32 Worsley Alumina (2024 and Feb 25)*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina August 2025.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2025b). *Paddock Memo - Summary of findings from targeted threatened and priority flora searches within the expansion areas for South32 Worsley Alumina*. Prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina, February 2025.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2025c) *Memo: Summary of Findings from Targeted Threatened and Priority Flora searches within the expansion areas for South32 Worsley Alumina (Jul-Aug 25)*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina August 2025.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2025d). *Memorandum – Summary of Findings from Targeted Threatened and Priority Flora Searches within the expansion areas for South32 Worsley Alumina (Sep 2025)*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina 5 November 2025.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2025e). *Memorandum – Summary of Findings from Targeted Threatened and Priority Flora Searches within the expansion areas for South32 Worsley Alumina (Oct 2025)*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina 30 November 2025.
- Onshore Environmental (2025a). *Targeted Flora Survey, Spring 2024*. Unpublished report prepared for South32 Worsley

# ANNUAL FLORA & VEG MANAGEMENT PLAN (2025)



Alumina, February 2025.

Onshore Environmental (2025b). *Memo Flora Pre-clearance Surveys FY26*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina August 2025.

Onshore Environmental (2025c). *Memo Spring 2025 Flora Pre-clearance Survey – Quindanning Reserve*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina November 2025.

Onshore Environmental (2026). *Targeted Flora Survey, Spring 2025 – South32 Worsley Alumina*. Unpublished memo report prepared for South32 Worsley Alumina January 2026.

## 9 Definition and Abbreviations

Term	Description
BTC	Bauxite Transport Corridor
CBME	Contingency Bauxite Mining Envelope
CEO	Chief Executive Officer of the Department of the Public Service of the State Responsible for the administration of section 48 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, or the CEO's delegate
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions
DHSO	Disease and Hygiene Standards Officer
DPAW	Department of Parks and Wildlife
DWER	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
GIS	Geographic Information System
Ha	Hectares
IDF	Indicative Disturbance Footprint
ISDs	Indicator Species Deaths
m	Metre
MS1237	Ministerial Statement 1237
MTR	Marradong Timber Reserve
PAA	Primary Assessment Area
QTR	Quindanning Timber Reserve
STR	Saddleback Timber Reserve
WAH	West Australian Herbarium
WMDE	Worsley Mine Development Envelope
Worsley Alumina	South32 Worsley Alumina Pty Ltd