

Bulli Seam Operations Annual Compliance Report – August 2022 (EPBC 2010/5350)

Date of submission: 12 August 2022

South32 Website Upload Date: 12 August 2022

Abbreviations:

DOtEE - Federal Department of the Environment and Energy (now DAWE)

DAWE - Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (formerly DOtEE)

DCCEEW - Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (formerly DAWE)

OEH – NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (now Biodiversity and Conservation Science Directorate)

CCL - Consolidated Coal Lease

EPBC - Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation

IMC - Illawarra Metallurgical Coal

In accordance with condition 14 of the EPBC Approval (2010/5350), within three months of every 12 month anniversary of the commencement of the action, the person taking the action must publish a report on their website addressing compliance with each of the conditions of this approval, including implementation of any management plans as specified in the conditions. Documentary evidence providing proof of the date of publication and non-compliance with any of the conditions of this approval must be provided to the department at the same time as the compliance report is published.

Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
1	Persoonia Hirsuta (Hairy Geebung)	Proposed offset area submitted to DOtEE in the Persoonia hirsuta Offset	Yes
	Approval holder must legally secure the approved offset	Management Plan. Application submitted on 26 Nov 2013 to amend CCL724	
	area for conservation for the duration of the EPBC	via a s238 Condition under the Mining Act 1992 to legally secure a <i>Persoonia</i>	
	approval.	hirsuta Offset Area at Appin North (formerly West Cliff Mine) as required by the	
		Bulli Seam Operations EPBC Approval (2010/5350). The Minister for	
		Resources and Energy amended CCL 724 on 23 March 2014.	



Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
2	Persoonia Hirsuta	Persoonia hirsuta Offset Management Plan was submitted to DOtEE prior to 31	Yes
	Develop a management plan for the Persoonia hirsuta	December 2012 and approved on 22 November 2013 (ref 2013/10882). The	
	offset area.	latest revision (Version 1.1) was approved in May 2022. The Plan is available	
		on South32 website using this link: Persoonia hirsuta Offset Management Plan.	
	Annual monitoring requirements and provide results of		
	the monitoring to the Dept within a timeframe.	Persoonia hirsuta Condition Reports were submitted as required in 2013, 2014,	
		2015 (submitted late), 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.	
	No clearing of Stage 4 emplacement area permitted until		
	the Offset MP has been approved by the Minister.	Clearing for Stage 4 coal-wash emplacement has not yet been undertaken.	
3	Persoonia Hirsuta	IMC received an extension to the deadline for finalising and reporting the	Yes
	Engage a suitably qualified expert to undertake targeted	research to 30 June 2021. The research report was submitted to DAWE on 29	
	research to inform conservation activities. Make	June 2021. The research report is available on the South32 website using this	
	research publicly available.	link: Persoonia hirsuta Research Report.	
		The research report is included within the approved Offset MP (see link above).	
4	Shale/Sandstone Transition Forest	In 2012, IMC provided an offset management plan as well as ecological survey	Yes
	Implement the approved SSTF Offset MP.	information to comply with these conditions. The plan was approved by DOtEE	
	Legally secure the offset for long term conservation.	in June 2013. In 2014, IMC requested an extension to the deadline to have the	
		offset secured in perpetuity. DOtEE granted an additional 18 months, making the	
		deadline March 2016.	
		In October 2015, IMC made an application to (then) NSW Office of Environment	
		& Heritage (OEH) to have the SSTF offset secured via a BioBanking Agreement	



Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
		under Part 7A Division 2 of the <i>Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995</i> . The	
		BioBanking Agreement was finalised and executed on 1 February 2017.	
5	Shale/Sandstone Transition Forest	Management plan submitted and approved on 7 June 2013. The revised Plan	Yes
	Provide a management plan for shale/sandstone	was updated and approved on 2 September 2014.	
	transition forest.	The Management Plan was updated in 2018 and re-submitted to the DOtEE to	
		reflect the new offset mechanism (BioBanking). Condition 5A was added to the	
		EPBC approval in May 2018:	
		Conditions attached to the approval	
		5A If the Shale Sandstone Transition Forest is legally secured as a registered NSW BioBanking site, the management plan developed under the NSW BioBanking Agreement for that site is an Offset Management Plan for the purposes of Condition 4. The annual reporting required under that scheme may be provided to the department in place of the reports containing monitoring results required at Condition 5c, on the proviso that all measures specified in Condition 5 are covered.	
		The 2017/18, 2018/19, 2019/20, 2020/21 SSTF monitoring was conducted	
		under the requirements of the Biobanking Agreement.	
		The 2021 annual report was also completed in accordance with the BioBanking	
		Agreement and will be provided to DAWE in August 2022.	
		In the Independent Environmental Audit (Dec 2019) that was conducted for the	
		Bulli Seam Operations (BSO) under Condition 9 of Schedule 6 of the BSO	
		Project Approval and Condition 18 of EPBC Approval 2010/5350, an	
		administrative non-compliance was noted, and a recommendation was made as	
		follows:	

Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
		It is recommended that confirmation be sought from the Department that the	
		required timing for submission of the monitoring report in Condition 5c be	
		changed to that required under the Biobanking Scheme.	
		South32 received the below response from DAWE in July 2020:	
		From: Peter Blackwell < Peter. Blackwell@awe.gov.au > Sent: Friday, 10 July 2020 2:34 PM To: Schultz, Chris < Chris. Schultz1@south32.net > Cc: Vaughn Cox < Vaughn.Cox@awe.gov.au > Subject: RE: Submission date for Biobanking Report - Shale/Sandstone Transition Forest Offset [SEC=OFFICIAL] Hi Chris I confirm that, consistent with the intent of condition 5A, added to the conditions attached to the approval on 4 May 2018, if the SSTF is legally secured as a registered NSW BioBanking site, the annual reporting required under NSW BioBanking for that site may be provided to the Department in place of the reports containing monitoring results required at condition 5c, and thus such reports should be provided to the Department in accordance with the timing required under NSW BioBanking for that site. Cheers Peter Blackwell Post Approvals Section Assessments (WA, SA, NT), Post Approvals and Policy Branch Environment Approvals Division Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment awe.gov.au	
		T: 03 6208 2927 E: peter blackwell@awe.gov.au	
		In June 2021, the wording in Condition 5 of the approval was amended to remove ambiguity.	
		The Shale Sandstone Transition Forest Offset Management Plan was updated	
		in July 2021. The current version of the SSTF OMP (APNMP0118 Version 1.0)	
		is available on the IMC website at: Shale Sandstone Transition Forest Offset	
	0.1111.11111111111111111111111111111111	Management Plan.	· ·
6	Coal Wash Emplacement Staging and Rehabilitation	The Coal Wash Emplacement Area Management Plan (available on the	Yes
	Plan	South32 website using this link) incorporates the requirements of both the	
	Develop a Coal Wash Emplacement Staging and		



Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
	Rehabilitation Plan for stage 4 coal wash emplacement	EPBC Act approval and NSW EP&A Act. The latest version of the Plan was	
	area.	approved by DAWE on 28 January 2021.	
	Submission of rehabilitation monitoring results.	Results of the monitoring are provided in the Annual Review which is published	
		on the South32 website. The 2021 report was submitted on time by email on	
		11 August 2022 and will be included in the FY22 Annual Review.	
7	Southern Brown Bandicoot and Broad Headed Snake	Draft Plans completed and submitted to DOtEE on 15 May 2013.	Yes
	Management Plan or Plans		
	Develop a Southern Brown Bandicoot and Broad	Plans were revised following comments from DOtEE and OEH. Final Plans re-	
	Headed.	submitted to DOtEE and OEH on 29 April 2014. Plans approved on 28 May	
	Snake conservation management plan or plans.	2014.	
		The Plans were revised and combined in 2020 in APNMP0111. The plan was	
		approved by DAWE on 28 January 2021. The current Plan is available on the	
		South32 website at Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot	
		Management Plan.	
8	Surface and Ground Water Quality Monitoring and	Original Plan submitted on the 30 September 2012 to DOtEE. Plan was	Yes
	Adaptive Management Plan	approved on 3 July 2014.	
	Develop a Surface and Ground Water Quality		
	Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan for species	The Plan was revised in 2020 and approved by DAWE on 28 January 2021.	
	listed in the EPBC Act.	The current plan is available on the South32 website at: Adaptive Management	
		Plan for Water Sensitive EPBC Species.	
9	Mine Closure Environmental Management Plan	Mine Closure Plan not yet submitted. Closure is not planned in the next three	N/A
	Develop a mine closure plan 3 years prior to closure for	years.	
	EPBC Act listed species.		



Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
10	Mine Closure Environmental Management Plan	Mine Closure Plan will include management of EPBC listed bats as applicable.	N/A
	Management for EPBC listed bats through the	Mine Closure Plan not yet submitted. Closure is not planned in the next three	
	decommissioning of mining equipment.	years.	
11	<u>Shapefiles</u>	Shapefiles provided on 26 November 2013.	Yes
	Provide offset area shapefiles to the DOtEE.		
12	Notification of Actual Date of Commencement	Letter sent to DOtEE (previously DSEWPaC) on 31 May 2012.	Yes
	Notification date of commencement to be supplied to		
	DSEWPaC.		
13	Publication Requirements	Undertaken as required. See South32 website: https://www.south32.net/our-	Yes
	Publish all management plans, reports, strategies or	business/australia/illawarra-metallurgical-coal/documents.	
	agreements with the Department		
14	Compliance Report	This compliance report meets this condition. The 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016,	Yes
	Publish a report on website addressing compliance with	2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 reports were submitted and are available on	
	each of the conditions of this approval.	the South32 website.	
15	Accurate Records Must be Maintained	Documents are maintained in the IMC controlled document system.	Yes
	Maintain accurate records substantiating all activities		
	associated with or relevant to the conditions of approval.		
16 (16A,	Minister's Approval of the Modification to a Management	The following management plans were submitted in FY21:	Yes
16B, 16C,	Plan, Report, Strategy or Agreement	- Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management	
16D, 16E)	Apply to the minister for approval to modify management	Plan	
	plans, reports, strategies or agreements.	- Adaptive Management Plan – Water Sensitive EPBC Act Listed	
		Species	
		- Coal Wash Emplacement Area Management Plan	



Condition	Condition Summary	Status	Compliant 2022
		Minor amendments to these documents were included in the revisions. The	
		management plans were approved by the Minister.	
		The Shale Sandstone Transition Forest Offset Management Plan was	
		reviewed however does not require approval by the Minister.	
		No EPBC Approval related management plans were reviewed in FY22.	
17	Minister's Modification to a Management Plan, Report,	No requests received from the Minister for modifications in this reporting period.	N/A
	Strategy or Agreement		
	Comply with the minister's request to modify		
	management plans, reports, strategies or agreements.		
18	Independent Auditor	Independent audits were carried out in accordance with the conditions in	Yes
	Commission and pay the full cost for independent	2013/14, 2017 and 2019. This most recent report is available on the South32	
	environmental auditor of the project.	website at: <u>IEA 2019.</u> There was no audit undertaken in this reporting period.	
		The next audit will take place prior to the end of December 2022. Endorsement	
		of the audit team has been received by DCCEEW.	
19	Unsatisfactory Commencement of Action	Work commenced on 15 May 2012 as per date of commencement letter sent	Yes
	If work has not commenced within 5 years of approval,	to the Department.	
	written approval needs to be obtained from the minister.		

BULLI SEAM OPERATIONS Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Management Plan Version 1.1

Outcome Comment **Proposed Action AUDIT REVIEW** Requirement / Obligation Section MP Ref. Management Clearing practices will incorporate appropriate 6.1 In Control Clearing practices involve a two-Strategies controls to minimise mortality and injury to Broadstaged process as required by the headed Snakes and Southern Brown Bandicoots MP. occupying the site. Prior to the first stage of clearing, the area to be Pre-clearing assessment undertaken Pre clearance surveys 6.1.1 In Control cleared will be marked using flagging and surveyed as required which contains by an ecologist or suitably trained site instructions for redistributing habitat environmental representative to locate, record and mark specific habitat features that are proposed for preservation and redistribution to the emplacement (e.g. rocks and boulders, stags and large hollows). Two stage Clearing 6.1.2 Where possible, (i.e. where access to trees by the In Control Clearing practices involve a twoexcavator is safe and practical), clearing of hollow staged process as required by the bearing trees will be performed in a two stage MP. process where surrounding vegetation is cleared separately, before the removal of habitat trees to Pre-clearing assessment undertaken allow fauna an opportunity to move. as required which contains instructions for redistributing habitat. 6.1.3.1 In April 2016, one individual Broad-Management of If a Broad-headed Snake is found during the two-In Control stage clearing process, the animal will be headed Snake was found in the Stage Captured Animals relocated to pre-determined suitable habitat within 3 area during a pre-clearing survey. the Appin North surface mining lease The individual was captured and area released to another location in accordance with this Plan. No other individuals have been located since.

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Management of Captured Animals	6.1.3.1	Pre-determined sites for relocation will take into account the species home ranges and be evenly spaced to avoid social conflict. Ideally, predetermined relocation sites should not be inhabited by another Broad-headed Snake at the time of relocation.	In Control	In April 2016, one individual Broadheaded Snake was found in the Stage 3 area during a pre-clearing survey. The individual was captured and released to another location in accordance with this Plan. No other individuals have been located since.	
Management of Captured Animals	6.1.3.1	Pre-determined relocation sites will necessarily consist of the following: • occur on Hawkesbury Sandstone within the current known range of the species and provide rocky outcrops with a westerly or north-westerly aspect, and horizontal crevices (Webb and Shine 1998c); and/or • have large adjacent areas of woodland that support large stags or trees bearing numerous hollows (Webb and Shine 1997b). The adjacent woodland will ideally be larger than the area supporting rocky outcrops (Webb and Shine 1997a) and contain preferred species of 'habitat trees' (trees most often selected by Broad-headed Snakes) such as Eucalyptus gummifera, E. punctata, E. agglomerata and E. pipperita (Webb and Shine 1997b).	In Control	The snake found in April 2016 was relocated to pre-determined habitat in accordance with Figure 3 of the MP.	
Management of Captured Animals	6.1.3.1	Any other fauna located within the CWEA during the pre-clearing survey will also be relocated. In particular, any Velvet Geckos (and other lizards) encountered will be relocated to the same predetermined sites for Broad-headed Snakes to provide prey for the relocated snakes.	In Control	Not triggered	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Management of Captured Animals	6.1.3.1	Where possible, snakes will be translocated from the initial capture point to the nearest site considered suitable for the long-term habitation by the species, but not more than 1 km from that point (where possible) to reduce the possibility for unfavourable genetic mixing. Snakes will be released at sites as soon as practicable after capture.	In Control	The snake found in April 2016 was relocated to pre-determined habitat in accordance with Figure 3 of the MP.	
Management of Captured Animals	6.1.3.1	BCD will be notified within one month of any Broadheaded Snakes identified during preclearing surveys and relocated.	In Control	Not triggered	
Management of Captured Animals	6.1.3.2	Sites for relocation will take into account the species home ranges and be evenly spaced to avoid social conflict. Where possible, captured bandicoots will be translocated from the initial capture point to the nearest site considered suitable for the long-term habitation by the species, but not more than 1 km from that point (where possible) to reduce the possibility for unfavourable genetic mixing.	In Control	Not triggered	
Management of Captured SBBs	6.1.3.2	Bandicoots will be released at sites as soon as practicable after capture.	In Control	Not triggered	
Habitat Translocation Broad-headed Snake	- 6.1.4	Suitable winter habitat occurring within the Stages 3 and 4 of the Emplacement Area will be identified during the pre-clearing survey.	In Control	Pre-clearing assessment undertaken as required which contains instructions for redistributing habitat.	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Habitat Translocation - 6.1.4	Rehabilitation of the CWEA behind the line of	In Control	Artificial pavers were installed in the	
Broad-headed Snake	clearing for the Broad-headed Snake, in terms of		emplacement area in FY22.	
	winter habitat, will include the following:			
	 Translocated rocky outcrops and boulders will 			
	ideally be positioned with a westerly or north-			
	westerly aspect and crevices should remain			
	horizontal (Webb and Shine 1998c; Goldingay and			
	Newell 2017).			
	 The Velvet Gecko should also be translocated 			
	(Webb and Shine 2000). Suitable habitat for this			
	prey species is the same as for the Broad-headed			
	Snake's winter habitat and includes loose rock on			
	rock substrate (Shine et al. 1998, Webb and			
	Shine 1998c, Croak et al. 2013).			
	 The above shelter sites will ideally be evenly 			
	spaced and not clumped together to encourage a			
	greater number of Broad-headed Snakes to the			
	area (Webb and Shine 1997a). If shelter sites are			
	too close together, they are likely to remain			
	uninhabited			
	due to home range overlap. Shelter sites will ideally			
	be placed at least 300 m apart and close/adjacent			
	to suitable summer habitat (translocated hollow-			
	bearing trees or limbs within rehabilitating sections			

BULLI SEAM OPERATIONS Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan

Management Plan Version 1.1

APNMP0111

		• Artificial rocks/concrete pavers will be added to the CWEA behind the line of clearing to increase habitat opportunities for prey items and the Broadheaded Snake if insufficient natural rock cannot be sourced from the CWEA for this purpose. Webb and Shine (2000) recommend the use of large pavers (30 – 45 cm wide and 5 – 10 cm thick), as well as a range of smaller pavers (e.g. 19 cm wide) and thicker pavers (e.g. > 30 cm thick) placed with			
		a variety of crevice sizes (up to 10 mm). The artificial rocks will be placed in both shaded and exposed areas to provide a range of suitable microclimates for the snake and its prey depending on the time of year (Croak et al. 2013, Croak et al. 2008, Croak, et al. 2010). • Hollow logs and hollow-bearing stags will also be translocated to provide additional retreat-sites for the Broad-headed Snake and its prey (Webb and Shine 1997b).			
Habitat Protection during construction	6.1.5	Sediment control measures will be adopted during clearing, as outlined in the CWEAMP.	In Control	Incorporated into emplacement design requirements	
Habitat Protection during construction	6.1.5	The CWEA will be clearly demarcated and regularly surveyed to prevent unnecessary clearing or access by construction vehicles and plant to surrounding potential habitat.	In Control	Emplacement boundaries are defined on digital plans and bounded by haul roads and diversion drains.	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Habitat Protection during construction	6.1.5	Construction materials and spoil must not be stored, dumped or stockpiled within surrounding habitat.	In Control	Stockpiling of freshly stripped topsoil is avoided through progressive rehabilitation. There are some stockpiles onsite containing topsoil material from the original stage 3 emplacement development construction; however this is strategically set aside for future capping material as the emplacement progresses down the valley. These stockpiles are stable and non-polluting and situated within the approved disturbance footprints.	
Habitat Protection during construction	6.1.5	Induction of the CWEA Supervisory personnel will include information about the Southern Brown Bandicoot and its habitat within Stage 4 of the CWEA, along with protection measures that will be in place and enforced during the construction period.	In Control	Construction in Stage 4 has not yet commenced.	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Habitat Protection during construction	6.1.5	General information on threatened species (including key site contacts for threatened species) will be provided to all CWEA personnel.	In Control	In late 2020 the Emplacement operational personnel were refreshed on the requirements for threatened species during emplacement construction.	Refresher planned in FY23.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Vegetation clearing to be within approved boundaries	In Control	Boundaries set out in Emplacement MP.	
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Future development requiring land clearing to consider Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot individuals.	In Control	Any additional clearing (outside the emplacement area) onsite will consider internal and external approval requirements.	
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Conduct pre-clearance surveys in the Stage 3 and 4 CWEAs and subsequent two-stage clearing, to give animals the opportunity to move away.	In Control	Two-stage clearing processes are being followed as required. No SBB individuals have been found to date.	
		Individuals found will be relocated to predetermined suitable habitat within the Appin North surface mining lease area.		The BHS found in April 2016 was relocated to pre-determined habitat in accordance with Figure 3 of the MP.	
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Document by preparation of pre-clearing survey reports for every emplacement phase cleared including use of GIS coordinates for survey results.	In Control	Pre-clearance survey reports completed as required and issued to the emplacement contractors undertaking the clearing.	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Summary of Impact Minimisation strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Document by preparation of pre-clearing survey reports for every emplacement phase cleared including use of GIS coordinates for survey results.	In Control	Pre-clearance survey reports completed as required and issued to the emplacement contractors undertaking the clearing. Last report completed January 2021.
Summary of Impact Minimisation strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Document numbers of individuals trapped and released. Observation of animal condition. Record release location.	In Control	S32 engaged a snake expert from Niche Environment & Heritage in 2016 to capture and relocate the individual. A brief report was prepared documenting the process.
Summary of Impact Minimisation strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Placement of hollow logs and rock outcrop elements of habitat for the Broad-headed Snake in rehabilitated areas.	In Control	Rehabilitation includes placement of rocks and hollows as required. Preclearance inspections also identify flat rock to be retained and translocated to the rehab areas. Artificial pavers were installed in the emplacement area in FY22. No translocation of Velvet Geckos has been undertaken or required.
Summary of Impact Minimisation strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Installation of artificial habitat (e.g. concrete paving slabs) if necessary as per Webb and Shine (2000).	In Control	Rehabilitation includes placement of rocks and hollows as required. Preclearance inspections also identify flat rock to be retained and translocated to the rehab areas. Artificial pavers were installed in the emplacement area in FY22. No translocation of Velvet Geckos has been undertaken or required. Monitoring of habitat use is planned to be undertaken.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Placement of topsoil, hollow logs and other structural elements of habitat for the Southern Brown Bandicoot in rehabilitated areas.	In Control	Undertaken as part of the progressive rehabilitation program - See Annual Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring Report.

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Annual Emplacement Rehabilitation Inspection program undertaken	In Control	As above
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Reports from the annual rehabilitation monitoring program to be attached to the Appin Mine Annual Review.	In Control	Report is included each year as an appendix to the Annual Review.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Dust impacts from emplacement operations will be mitigated by the coal wash material being wet from coal washing processes and being compacted once emplaced.	In Control	In addition to coal wash moisture content, a watercart is in use for the active emplacement areas as additional dust control.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Active emplacement areas will be capped and vegetated as soon as practicable.	In Control	Rehabilitation is progressive as required.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Annual environmental reporting of air quality results and performance of mitigation measures in the Appin Mine Annual Review.	In Control	Dust results are provided in the Annual Review each year as required.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Participation in regional vertebrate pest programs with National Parks & Wildlife Service and Sydney Catchment Authority.	In Control	Not aware of any such program existing. No population of SBBs has been confirmed or defined.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Note: The regional research program established under the EPBC Act project approval (condition 7b) will focus on population monitoring. A regional pest problem will be designed once a population of Southern Brown Bandicoots has been confirmed and defined.	In Control	No population of SBBs has been confirmed or defined.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Reporting of project to DAWE and other stakeholders.	In Control	DAWE is provided with a copy of the Annual Review each year.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Adjustments made to systems and methods as required	In Control	Not Triggered

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Monitoring including pre-clearing surveys, capture and transfer of animals, implementation of two-stage clearing, success of translocation efforts, progress in rehabilitation of emplacement sites, success of captive breeding programs if applicable.	In Control	Pre-clearance surveys undertaken as required, no SBB have been captured and no BHS since 2016. Success of rehabilitation reported in the Annual Review.
Summary of Impact Minimisation Strategies	6.2/ Table 3	Annual compliance report to DAWE.	In Control	Annual compliance report submitted as required.
Provision of Regional Funding	7.1	Illawarra Coal (IC) has funded \$250,000 towards the regional management of the Southern Brown Bandicoot and Broad-headed Snake programs as outlined in this Plan and as detailed in the Offset Strategy (Appendix 5). The project took place over three years commencing July 2014 and finishing June 2017 with payments issued as follows: • Year 1 \$85,000 July 2014. • Year 2 \$85,000 July 2015. • Year 3 \$80,000 July 2016.	In Control	Program completed as required
Actions to be funded	7.2	OEH developed a Project Proposal to be funded by IC, which addressed points (c) to (f) of the EPBC Approval Condition 7. The Project Proposal, OEH Letter of endorsement and BHPBilliton letter of endorsement are provided in Appendix 5, Appendix 6 and Appendix 7 respectively.	In Control	The (then) NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) developed a Project Proposal to be funded by IC, which addresses points (c) to (f) of the EPBC Act Approval Condition 7.

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Impacts to other EPBC Act Listed Species	7.3	Condition 7(d) of the EPBC Approval for works conducted by OEH as follows: (d) a demonstration that management actions to be undertaken will not adversely impact EPBC Act listed species; The OEH Proposal addressed the above requirement (see section titled Consideration of Impacts of the Project).	In Control	The OEH Proposal addressed the above requirement.
Funding Arrangements	7.4	OEH provided a Project Proposal for the Broad headed snake and Southern Brown bandicoot Recovery Actions (see Appendix 5). IC provided the funding through a Non-order Invoice (NOI). OEH issued three separate invoices, prior to the start of each financial year i.e. Year 1, Year 2 and Year 3.	In Control	IMC provided the funding through a Non-order Invoice (NOI). OEH issued three separate invoices, prior to the start of each financial year i.e. year 1, year 2 and year 3.
Documentary Evidence of Funding	7.5	IC provided documentary evidence to the DoTE&E in September 2016 to satisfy this condition. The relevant results were included in the FY17 BSO Annual Review.	In Control	IMC provided documentary evidence to the DoTE&E in September 2016 to satisfy this condition. https://www.south32.net/docs/default-source/illawarra-coal-bulli-seam-operations/annual-review/bulli-seam-operations-project-annual-review-fy2017.pdf?sfvrsn=2ace739a_4
Reporting	8.1.1	Annual reporting is undertaken as per Condition 14 of the EPBC Approval. The Compliance Report is required to be submitted to DAWE by 15 August of each year via EPBCMonitoring@environment.gov.au and is attached as an appendix in the Annual Review.	In Control	The Compliance Report has been submitted as required and attached as an Appendix in the Annual Review.

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Reporting	8.1.2	IMC will report on the performance of the SBMP in the Annual Review. The Annual Review is prepared in accordance with Condition 4 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and is submitted to relevant agencies in September each year. Annual Reviews are made available to the general public via the South32 website.	In Control	The Annual Reviews have been completed as required and published to the South32 website. Actions taken to support the SBMP will be detailed in the Annual Review.	
Review of SBMP	8.2	In accordance with Condition 5 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, the SBMP will be reviewed, and if necessary revised, within three months, of: • the submission of an annual review; • the submission of an incident report; • the submission of an Independent Environmental Audit report; or • any modification to the conditions of the Project Approval (unless the conditions require otherwise). Outcomes from each review will be documented in the Management Plan Review Log. The SBMP will only be revised where a material change to site operations or environmental management has occurred, or in accordance with the review period on the SBMP. Administrative or descriptive changes do not constitute a material change. Where a review triggers a revision of the SBMP, the SBMP will be revised and submitted to the Secretary and/or Minister for approval.	In Control	The SBB and BHS Management Plans were reviewed in FY21 and combined. The revised document was approved by DPIE on 18/12/2020 and by DAWE on 28/01/2021. The Management Plan Review log is being maintained.	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

Publication	12.3	Condition 13 of the EPBC Approval requires the proponent to:publish all management plans, reports, strategies or agreements required by these conditions of approval on their website. Each management plan, report strategy or agreement must be published on the website within 30 days of being approved. Approved versions of the SBMP will be displayed on the South32 regulatory page at: https://www.south32.net/ourbusiness/australia/illawarra-metallurgical-coal/documents.	In Control	The approved SBMP is available on the South32 website.	
Independent Environmental Audit	12.4.1	In accordance with Condition 9 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and Condition 18 of the EPBC Approval, an Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) shall be commissioned every three years, that will include a review of the SBMP. The report is required to be submitted to the Secretary within six weeks of completion of the audit, in accordance with Condition 10 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and Condition 18 of the EPBC Approval. IEAs have been conducted in 2013, 2016/17 and 2019, with the next IEA to be conducted in 2022. Recommendations from the IEA will be incorporated into the SBMP where appropriate.	In Control	The last IEA was conducted in 2019. The next IEA will be completed in 2022.	

Broad-headed Snake and Southern Brown Bandicoot Management Plan APNMP0111

As part of the ISO 14001 certification, IMC maintains an environmental auditing and governance program across all of its operational sites. The program, which includes the use of competent internal and accredited external auditors, is an integral part of maintaining certification under the ISO 14001 standard. External surveillance audits are undertaken on an annual basis, with recertification audits undertaken every three years. Internal Governance Review was undertaken in October 2021.	O 14001
--	---------

BULLI SEAM OPERATIONS
Persoonia hirsuta Offset Management Plan
APNMP0122
Management Plan Version 1.1

			Outcome	Comment & Evidence	Proposed Action
AUDIT REVIEW					
Section	MP Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			
Protection Mechanism	3.2.2	The Persoonia hirsuta Offset Area is protected by incorporating a condition into Consolidated Coal Lease No. 724 (CCL724)	In Control	Refer to lease conditions	
Protection Mechanism	3.2.2	The leaseholder must comply with the Persoonia hirsuta Offset Management Plan approved (and modified if applicable) in accordance with the requirements of the Bulli Seam Operations Expansion, Bulli, NSW (EPBC 2010/5350) Approval dated 15 May 2012, made under sections 130(1) and 133 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act Approval).	In Control	Last IEA was completed in 2019. Next IEA scheduled for 2022.	
Protection Mechanism	3.2.2	The leaseholder must provide the Department of Trade and Investment NSW - Mineral Resources Unit with a copy of the Compliance Report required by condition 14 of the EPBC Act Approval at the same time that the report is published in accordance with the requirements of Condition 14.	In Control	The FY21 Compliance Report was submitted at the same time as it was submitted to DAWE.	

Protection	1.4.1	The leaseholder must also provide	In Control	The triennial audit reports are	
Mechanism		Department of Trade and Investment		provided to the Resources	
		NSW - Mineral Resources Unit with a		Regulator as required. The last IEA	
		copy of the Audit Report required by		was undertaken in 2019. The next	
		Condition 18 of the EPBC Act Approval		IEA is scheduled for 2022.	
		as soon as practicable following			
		confirmation that the Audit Report			
		addresses the audit criteria to the			
		satisfaction of the Minister responsible for			
		the administration of the EPBC Act (or			
		their delegate).			

Protection	1.4.1	In the event that the Persoonia Offset	In Control	Not triggered.	
Mechanism		cannot achieve the objectives of			
		Conditions 1 and 2 of the EPBC			
		Approval, ICHPL will provide an offsite			
		offset or alternative offset if:			
		- Annual surveys over the period 2037 –			
		2039 (both inclusive) demonstrate that			
		the P. hirsuta core population has not			
		been maintained or enhanced to the			
		satisfaction of the Department. An offsite			
		offset to be agreed by the department			
		must be provided. The offsite offset must			
		be secured by a legal mechanism			
		acceptable to the Department six months			
		prior to the expiry date of the EPBC			
		approval (by 18 December 2041). In the			
		event it can be demonstrated that a			
		suitable offsite offset could not be found,			
		ICHPL will provide an alternative			
		compensatory measure commensurate			
		with the requirements of Condition 1 of			
		the EPBC Approval to the satisfaction of			
		the Department, or			
		- CCL724 is not renewed or is revoked at			
		any time prior to the expiry date of the			
		EPBC approval (15 May 2042). An			
		alternative offset to be agreed by the			
		Department must be secured by a legal			
		mechanism acceptable to the			
		Department within two years of the			
		relinquishment or revocation of CCL724.			
		In the event it can be demonstrated that a		1	

		suitable alternative offset could not be found, ICHPL will provide an alternative compensatory measure commensurate with the requirements of Condition 1 of the EPBC Approval to the satisfaction of the Department.			
Persoonia Monitoring	5.2.1	All extant plants will be inspected annually to record the following attributes: * height and width to measure growth rates; * age class and condition to assess reproductive activity, age to maturity overall health of the population etc; * visual observations for any seedlings; and * comments on any imminent threat or risk to the plants health (e.g. apparent disease, excessive dust deposition) to assess the effectiveness of management actions contained within the OMP.	In Control	See Annual Persoonia health monitoring report that is submitted each year to DAWE.	

Persoonia Monitoring	5.2.1	Height will be measured using a tape measure, measuring from the ground surface to the highest point on the plant, without physically moving any part of the plant. Condition will be defined using a combination of factors, including the percent cover of leaves, colour of leaves and the presence or absence of fruit or flowers, rating condition from 0 to 6, or from very poor condition to excellent condition. All plants have been recorded with a Garmin GPS and flagged with fluorescent, biodegradable flagging tape and given a unique ID.	In Control	Monitoring methods as per the above.	
Survey Timing	5.2.1	The survey will be conducted from late spring into early summer which is the peak flowering period for the species.	In Control	Monitoring is undertaken during the peak flowering season. This does change slightly depending on season but generally falls late Spring into early summer.	
Reporting	5.2.3	In accordance with Condition 2 (h) of the EPBC Approval, the results of the monitoring will be provided to the Department within 30 days of every 12 month anniversary of the implementation date of the OMP.	In Control	2021 report was submitted on 23 December 2021 as required.	

Research	6	ICHPL has engaged the University of Wollongong (UoW) and Royal Botanic Gardens Trust to conduct research on P. hirsuta. The aim of the research is to gain a better understanding of the ecology and genetics to satisfy Condition 3 of the EPBC Act Approval. A summary of the research undertaken to-date as well as the research planned is provided in Table 3.		Research is now underway at the Mt Annan Royal Botanic Gardens as per strategy. The research report was submitted to DAWE on 29 June 2021 in accordance with Condition 3e of EPBC Approval 2010/5350.	
Research	6	As new information becomes available regarding the local population of P. hirsuta, this will be incorporated into the OMP revisions as required.	In Control	The research report was submitted to DAWE on 29 June 2021 in accordance with Condition 3e of EPBC Approval 2010/5350. The Offset Management Plan will be reviewed to incorporate the outcomes of the research.	
Research	6	In accordance with Condition 3 of the EPBC Approval, ICHPL prepared a research report that was submitted to DAWE by 30 June 2021. The research report is available on the IMC website in accordance with Condition 3 (f) of the EPBC Act Approval.	In Control	The research report was submitted to DAWE on 29 June 2021 in accordance with Condition 3e of EPBC Approval 2010/5350. The research report has been published on the IMC website.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	1a. Secure Offset by the required timeframe i.e. 15 May 2014.	In Control	Offset secured as per timing requirements.	

Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	1b. Offset must include a minimum area of suitable habitat to support at least 150 P. hirsuta plants.	In Control	As per the Offset Management Plan.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	1c. Maintain or increase the number of individual plants in the Offset Area relative to the 2012 baseline population (~44 plants).	In Control	Three translocations have been undertaken to Appin North (Autumn 2019, Autumn 2021 and Autumn 2022).	Continue to monitor translocated Persoonia plants.
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	2a. Develop a <i>P. hirsuta</i> research strategy	In Control	Research strategy is included in the MP.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	2b. Targeted research commenced by July 2013	In Control	Targeted research has been underway since 2013.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	2c. Research findings published by 30 June 2021 as per the EPBC Approval.	In Control	The research report was submitted to DAWE on 29 June 2021 in accordance with Condition 3e of EPBC Approval 2010/5350.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	2d. Undertake Phase 3 translocation in FY22.	In Control	The Phase 3 translocation was undertaken in May 2022.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	2e. Continue to monitor outcomes of translocation trials.	In Control	Annual monitoring of the trials will continue to be undertaken.	

Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	2f. Investigate opportunities with suitable organisations and research institutions for undertaking targeted research to progress recommendations as outlined in the Research Report.	In Control	Initial discussions have been held with the Mt Annan Botanic Gardens. These discussions will continue to FY23. Progress will be reported in the Annual Review.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	3a. No loss of <i>P. hirsuta</i> in the Offset Area due to land clearing or operational activities	In Control	Plants in an exposed position are clearly demarcated. There has been no loss due to land clearing or operational activities. Permit to Disturb process is in place (IMCF0209).	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	3b. No loss of <i>P. hirsuta</i> in other areas of site (outside the approved emplacement and development footprints) due to land clearing or operational activities.	In Control	Plants in an exposed position are clearly demarcated. There has been no loss due to land clearing or operational activities.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	3c. Restrict access to Offset Area.	In Control	Signage in place. Access to Appin North is restricted. Permit to Disturb process in place. The area is not fenced to allow unimpeded access for wildlife and pollination vectors across the site.	

Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	3d. Avoidance of surface runoff from emplacement areas entering the Persoonia hirsuta Offset Area	In Control	Routine inspections of the Offset have not identified any issues regarding surface runoff from emplacement areas. Stage 4 emplacement construction has not yet commenced. Stage 3 is buffered by a haul road separating the Offset from the active disturbance areas. Drainage from disturbance areas is directed to dedicated catchment ponds. Drainage will be incorporated into the design of Stage 4 emplacement. Not yet required.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	3e. Minimise weed infestation within the Offset Area	In Control	Minor weed control is undertaken by experienced personnel for perennial grasses on the powerline easement.	Weed control is ongoing.
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	3f. Minimise dust impacts to <i>P hirsuta</i> from operational activities.	In Control	Dust from emplacement areas is mitigated by the wet coal washing process and the compaction of emplaced coal wash. Areas are rehabilitated as soon as practicable. Watercarts are in use on the active emplacement area. Routine inspections of the Offset have not identified any issues regarding dust impacts.	

Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	Adequate regeneration of emplacement as per the approved CWEA Management Plan.	In Control	As per annual Emplacement Rehabilitation Report.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	Soil translocation protocols and revegetation protocols are implemented as per the CWEA Management Plan e.g. Topsoil from the donor site will be stripped from the surface in layers. The most valuable layer is the top 50 mm of soil which contains the majority of soil stored seed and propagules, plant nutrients and beneficial soil microbes.	In Control	As per CWEAMP.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	P. hirsuta individuals within the approved emplacement and development footprints may be translocated to the rehabilitating emplacement.	N/A	Not required at this stage.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	Successfully propagated plants (or seed from propagated plants) are introduced from the nursery at Mt Annan Botanic Gardens to the rehabilitating emplacement (or other suitable areas outside the emplacement and disturbance footprints).	In Control	Translocation of Persoonia Plants into the Offset Area (Stage 3) was undertaken in Autumn 2022.	
Performance Objectives and Management Actions	7.1	Annual rehabilitation survey will be conducted and a report attached to the Appin Mine Annual Review.	In Control	Annual report is attached as an appendix each year to the Annual Review. The most recent rehabilitation report was submitted to DAWE via email 11/08/2022.	

7.2	Promoting outcrossing conditions.	In Control	Sourcing of vegetative material	
	I		from a diverse set of locations for	
			the P. hirsuta translocations has	
	• • •		achieved this action.	
	, ,			
	1			
	occurring at Appin North. This strategy			
	ensures the translocated population will			
	contain a level of genetic diversity that			
	reflects the natural species' distribution.			
	Genetic studies conducted by Mount			
	Annan Botanic Gardens have confirmed			
	there is a significant amount of genetic			
	diversity in the Sydney region which has			
	been enhanced in the Appin North			
	translocations.			
	 The following sourced material 			
	(location, year, propagative material) was			
	utilised in the translocations stages 1 and			
	2 and will also be utilised in the stage 3			
	translocation:			
	- Appin North, 2017, vegetative cuttings			
	and seeds (stages 1 & 2)			
	,			
	_			
	` ,			
	, - ,			
	cuttings (stage 2)			
	7.2	 This has been achieved through sourcing propagative material over three years (2017-2019) from several wild populations throughout the Sydney region to supply the P. hirsuta translocation trials occurring at Appin North. This strategy ensures the translocated population will contain a level of genetic diversity that reflects the natural species' distribution. Genetic studies conducted by Mount Annan Botanic Gardens have confirmed there is a significant amount of genetic diversity in the Sydney region which has been enhanced in the Appin North translocations. The following sourced material (location, year, propagative material) was utilised in the translocations stages 1 and 2 and will also be utilised in the stage 3 translocation: Appin North, 2017, vegetative cuttings and seeds (stages 1 & 2) 	 This has been achieved through sourcing propagative material over three years (2017-2019) from several wild populations throughout the Sydney region to supply the P. hirsuta translocation trials occurring at Appin North. This strategy ensures the translocated population will contain a level of genetic diversity that reflects the natural species' distribution. Genetic studies conducted by Mount Annan Botanic Gardens have confirmed there is a significant amount of genetic diversity in the Sydney region which has been enhanced in the Appin North translocations. The following sourced material (location, year, propagative material) was utilised in the translocations stages 1 and 2 and will also be utilised in the stage 3 translocation: Appin North, 2017, vegetative cuttings and seeds (stages 1 & 2) Glenorie, 2017-19, seeds (stages 1 & 2) Yanderra, 2018, vegetative cuttings (stages 1 & 2) Parr SCA, 2019, seeds (stage 2) Yango NP, 2017-2019. Seeds and 	This has been achieved through sourcing propagative material over three years (2017-2019) from several wild populations throughout the Sydney region to supply the P. hirsuta translocation trials occurring at Appin North. This strategy ensures the translocated population will contain a level of genetic diversity that reflects the natural species' distribution. Genetic studies conducted by Mount Annan Botanic Gardens have confirmed there is a significant amount of genetic diversity in the Sydney region which has been enhanced in the Appin North translocations. The following sourced material (location, year, propagative material) was utilised in the translocations stages 1 and 2 and will also be utilised in the stage 3 translocation: Appin North, 2017, vegetative cuttings and seeds (stages 1 & 2) Glenorie, 2017-19, seeds (stages 1 & 2) Yanderra, 2018, vegetative cuttings (stages 1 & 2) Parr SCA, 2019, seeds (stage 2) Yango NP, 2017-2019. Seeds and

Management	7.2	2. Encouraging the presence of natural	Improvement	Native bee habitats have not yet	Construct native bee
Actions and		pollinators.	opportunity	been constructed. This is planned	habitats at Appin North to
Commitments		 This will be achieved by constructing 		to be progressed in FY23.	promote native bee
from Research		artificial Bee Habitats on site, promoting			populations in the area.
		the presence of native bee species. This		The translocation of soil from areas	
		will be primarily focused around the		stripped in advance of the CWEA	
		introduction of bees that have been		onto the active rehabilitation area	
		known to successfully pollinate P. hirsuta,		will continue to be implemented.	
		namely Megachile and Leioproctus		Seeding of the translocated soil to	
		species.		initiate the growth of various native	
		 The presence of natural pollinators is 		flowering plants will also be	
		also being encouraged in the Appin North		continued.	
		Rehabilitation areas through promoting			
		the growth of native flowering plants that			
		attract natural pollinators. This is			
		achieved through using fresh soil with a			
		naturally occurring seed bank of flowering			
		native plant species as capping material			
		for the rehabilitation areas as well as			
		directly seeding native flowering plants			
		onto the freshly capped areas. This will			
		encourage native Australian bees to			
		naturally inhabit areas within the Appin			
		North site. Some native flowering species			
		present on the Emplacement			
		Rehabilitation Areas that are known to			
		attract Native Australian Bees include:			
		o Angophora spp.			
		o Callistemon spp.			
		o Eucalyptus spp.			
		o Grevillea spp.			
		o Leptospermum spp.			

Management Actions and Commitments from Research	7.2	 3. Discouraging damage of plants from herbivory. Translocated plants will be protected with plant guards to assist in preventing potential herbivory, particularly in early translocation stages where introduced plants are adapting to an in-situ environment. IMC will place mesh plant guards around the remaining P. hirsuta individuals in the Stage 1 translocation area as well as placing plant guards on all future translocations to protect them from herbivory. 		Stage 1 translocation trial adopted mesh guards for a portion of the individuals to allow for observation of herbivory. Stages 2 and 3 translocations included the use of mesh guards on all plants.	
Management Actions and Commitments from Research	7.2	 4. Managing bushfire risk. Research has identified the importance of extended fire intervals in Persoonia populations. The Appin Mine Bushfire Management Plan will be updated to show the location of adult plants at Appin North. The plan can be referred to in the event of a bushfire to avoid backburning in these areas. 	In Control	The Appin Mine Bushfire Management Plan has been updated to include the location of these plants.	

Management Actions and Commitments from Research	7.2	 5. Maintaining Persoonia stocks through propagation. • Translocations of Persoonia plants have been effective in maintaining populations. • South32 will support the Mt Annan Botanic Gardens to maintain a stock of Persoonia plants in their nursery for future translocations and collection of seed (to be reviewed annually). 		Two Persoonia hirsuta translocations have occurred within the offset area within Appin North to maintain populations. One Persoonia hirsuta translocation has also occurred within the rehabilitation area. Mount Annan have been approached to maintain Persoonia hirsuta stocks to supplement populations as required.	
Review of the OMP	7.3	In accordance with Condition 5 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, the OMP will be reviewed, and if necessary revised, within three months, of: • the submission of an Annual Review; • the submission of an incident report; • the submission of an Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) report; or • any modification to the conditions of the Project Approval (unless the conditions require otherwise).	In Control	The research report was submitted to DAWE on 29 June 2021 in accordance with Condition 3e of EPBC Approval 2010/5350. The Offset Management Plan will be reviewed within 6 months of 15 May 2021.	
Review of the OMP	7.3	Outcomes from each review will be documented in the Management Plan Review Log. The OMP will only be revised where a material change to site operations or environmental management has occurred, or in accordance with the review period on the OMP. Administrative or descriptive changes do not constitute a material change.	In Control	No material changes required since the last review of the OMP in FY22.	

Review of the OMP	7.3	Where a review triggers a revision of the OMP, the OMP will be revised and submitted to the Secretary for approval.	N/A	No material changes required since the last review of the OMP in FY22.	
Review of the OMP	7.3	The OMP will be reviewed in accordance with Condition 2(i) of the EPBC Approval. The findings from the research programs required by Condition 3 will be incorporated into the approved Persoonia hirsuta OMP and the revised plan will be re-submitted to the Minister for approval within 6 months of the research being finalised, i.e. within 6 months of 30 June 2021.		This was completed in FY22. The OMP was approved on 18 May 2022.	

			Outcome	Comment & Evidence	Proposed Action
AUDIT REVIEW					
Section	MP Re	Requirement / Obligation			
Monitoring and Adaptive Management Framework	3	Potential impacts from mining induced subsidence is monitored and managed via an Extraction Plan which is to be approved by the Secretary of DPIE prior to longwall mining commencing in any area.	In Control	Extraction plans in place for Area 9. SMP for Area 7.	
Ecological Outcomes and Performance Measures	5	The "Trigger-Action-Response Plans (TARPs)" relate to identifying, assessing and responding to the range of conditions related to potential subsidence impacts on the Rivers which form the potential habitat for Macquarie Perch which is the primary species of management concern in this Plan. Detailed performance indicators are outlined in the Extraction Plan TARPs for each mining area.	In Control	Refer to each Extraction Plan/SMP.	

Version 6

Ecological	5	If any impact is recorded, consideration would be	In Control	Recorded impacts are reported to	Execute the Georges
Outcomes and		given to implementing appropriate management,		relevant agencies in line with the	River Rehabilitation Plan,
Performance		remediation and/or mitigation measures in		Trigger Action Response Plan	once all necessary
Measures		consultation with Biodiversity and Conservation		(TARP). This includes initiating	approvals in place and
		Division, DAWE and other relevant stakeholders		discussion around remediation	the trial at WC21 has
		(refer Section 9). If the performance measures are		measures. The Georges River	been completed.
		exceeded, IMC will notify relevant stakeholders		Rehabilitation Plan has been	·
		and implement the Contingency Plan (Section 10).		developed, incorporating detailed	
				feedback from agencies, prior to	
				being approved by DPIE and the	
				Resources Regulator. Additional	
				approvals will be sought to	
				undertake the remediation, as per	
				the plan. The findings from	
				remediation at WC21 will be	
				applied to the Georges River	
				remediation. Completion of this	
				trial has been delayed due to	
				catchment closures as a result of	
				excessive rainfall.	
Water	6.1	Macquarie Perch could be impacted by	In Control	No Macquarie Perch have been	Continue monitoring fish
Requirements for		subsidence through reduced habitat availability		identified within mining areas.	habitat in the mining
Fish		through pool diminution and possible discontinuity		Longwall mining does not occur	areas.
		in smaller tributaries. These impacts are largely		below named streams where	
		mitigated through the Mine Plan or longwall layout		Macquarie Perch are found.	
		that does not longwall mine below rivers and aims			
		to avoid impacts to critical ecological assets such		eDNA analysis of sediment	
		as the Macquarie Perch.		samples was undertaken in FY22.	
				No DNA for Macquarie Perch was	
				identified.	

Water Requirements for Fish	6.1	Any impacts to potential habitat for Macquarie Perch would be rehabilitated as part of the BSO Project.	N/A	There have been no impacts to known Macquarie Perch habitat.	Continue monitoring fish habitat in the mining areas.
Water Requirements for Fish	6.1	Through the implementation of programs to reduce pollutants and compliance with license requirements, impacts from mine water discharges such as the BCD discharge are mitigated.	In Control	EPL 2504 is in place at Appin North.	
Water Requirements for Fish	6.1	A water treatment plant (WTP) is planned for Appin North to provide an improvement in water quality released from site. It is planned for the WTP to release 1.5 ML/day averaged over the month.	In Control After Action Close-out	A temporary water treatment plant (WTP) is currently operational, producing high quality water for discharge to Brennans Creek. A long-term WTP is under construction. Discharge from BCD will be modified once consistent flow from the WTP is achieved.	long-term WTP.
Water Requirements for Fish	6.1	Monitoring of mine water discharge and upstream and downstream water quality is an EPL requirement and is part of the ongoing management of mine water releases e.g. Brennans Creek.	In Control	As per EPL requirements.	
Water Requirements for Fish	6.1	Hydrological and water quality monitoring of streams within the Project mining areas is conducted to determine any surface water and surface/ground water impacts. This monitoring will fall under the Extraction Plan process.	In Control	Localised impacts to fish habitat has occurred as predicted in the EIS. No listed species of fish have been impacted.	Continue monitoring fish habitat in the mining areas.

Water Requirements for Amphibians	6.2	No EPBC listed threatened amphibian species have been recorded in the BSO project area therefore it is highly unlikely that project discharges will affect any populations. Subsidence related impacts may affect small permanent, semi-permanent pools which they require to complete their life cycle. These impacts are largely mitigated through the mine planning that aims to avoid critical ecological areas.	In Control	No EPBC listed threatened amphibian species have been recorded in the BSO project area.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Monitoring Overview	8.1	There are no records for Macquarie Perch within the Project Area. Potential habitat occurs in the project area but the species is highly unlikely to be present due to numerous fish barriers in the subject watercourses. A precautionary approach has been taken and routine aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) is being undertaken in the relevant watercourses.	In Control	No Macquarie Perch have been identified within mining areas. Longwall mining does not occur below named streams where Macquarie Perch are found.	Continue monitoring fish habitat in the mining areas.
Monitoring Overview	8.1	There are no records for either the Giant Burrowing Frog or Littlejohns Tree Frog within the Project Area despite targeted surveys for these species. Marginal potential habitat exists within the Project Area but the species are unlikely to be present due to lack of preferred habitat. Accordingly, no targeted monitoring is proposed for these species unless unpredicted impacts occur or these species are detected.	In Control	No EPBC listed threatened amphibian species have been recorded in the BSO Project Area.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Monitoring Overview	8.1	Potential habitat for the Woronora Beard-heath (Leucopogon exolasius) occurs within the Georges River but there are no records for this species within the Project Area despite survey completed for this species. Accordingly, no targeted monitoring is proposed for these species unless this species is detected in the project area.	In Control	Potential habitat for the Woronora Bearded Heath (<i>Leucopogon exolasius</i>) occurs within the Georges River but there are no records for this species within the Project Area despite survey completed for this species.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	Aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) via the Appin Area 7 Longwalls 701 – 710 Extraction Plans (Biodiversity Management Plan). Refer Section 8.2, Figure 10 and Appendix 3.	In Control	Monitoring plan in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	Aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) via the West Cliff Area 5 Longwall 34 - 36 Extraction Plans (Biodiversity Management Plan). Refer Section 8.2, Figure 11, Appendix 4 and Appendix 5.	In Control	Monitoring plan in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	Aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) via the Appin Area 9 Longwall 901-904 Extraction Plans (Biodiversity Management Plan). Refer Section 8.2, Figure 10 and Appendix 6.	In Control	Monitoring plan in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	EPL 2504 Water quality monitoring (EPA Licence) for West Cliff, Appin East and Appin West Pit Top sites. Refer Section 8.2, Section 8.5 and Appendix 7.	In Control	As per EPL requirements	Continue monitoring in line with plans.

Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	General water quality monitoring of subsidence impacts under the Extraction Plans referred to above.	In Control	Water quality monitoring is being undertaken in the BSO project area in line with the SMP, EP or EMP for each area or specific feature e.g. Georges River.	Continue monitoring in line with plans.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	EPL Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program (including program to improve water quality and minimum flow requirements) - Appendix 8.	In Control	Aquatic Health Monitoring Program in place.	Continue monitoring in line with plans.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	Surface water (hydrological) monitoring via Extraction Plans referred to above. Refer Section 8.	In Control	Surface water monitoring plan in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Macquarie Perch	8.1	Monitoring of subsidence impacts via Extraction Plans referred to above.	In Control	Subsidence monitoring plan in place.	As above
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Giant Burrowing Frog	8.1	Targeted monitoring may be initiated if relevant subsidence management TARPs reach level 3, triggering corrective management actions for terrestrial biodiversity. Refer to the relevant Extraction Plan.	In Control	TARPs are in place and reported, corrective actions as required.	TARPS have been reported and actioned as required.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Giant Burrowing Frog	8.1	Any individuals of this species discovered in the Project Area will be addressed by targeted monitoring that will be included in subsequent revisions of this Plan.	In Control	No individuals identified.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Littlejohns Tree Frog	8.1	Targeted monitoring may be initiated if relevant subsidence management TARPs reach level 3, triggering corrective management actions for terrestrial biodiversity. Refer to the relevant Extraction Plan.	In Control	No individuals identified.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Littlejohns Tree Frog	8.1	Any individuals of this species discovered in the Project Area will be addressed by targeted monitoring that will be included in subsequent revisions of this Plan.	In Control	No individuals identified.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Table 4 Monitoring Summary for Leucopogon exolasius	8.1	Any individuals of this species discovered in the Project Area will be addressed in subsequent revisions of this Plan.	In Control	No individuals identified.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Version 6

Aquatic Monitoring 8.2.2	Currently aquatic monitoring is conducted across	In Control	Georges River Aquatic Health	Continue monitoring
Programs	four programs relating to the current		Monitoring Program is in place.	impacts in the mining
	longwall mining areas (Appin Area 7, Area 9 and			areas.
	West Cliff Area 5) and monitoring under			
	the Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring			
	Program required by EPL 2504. These			
	programs are itemized below with references to			
	further specific information attached to this			
	document.			
	 Aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) via 			
	the Appin Area 7 Longwalls 701 – 710 Extraction			
	Plans (Biodiversity Management Plan). Refer			
	Appendix 3.			
	Aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) via			
	the West Cliff Area 5 Longwall 37 - 38 Extraction			
	Plan (Biodiversity Management Plan). Refer			
	Appendix 5.			
	Aquatic monitoring (including fish sampling) via			
	the Appin Area 9 Longwall 901 - 904 Extraction			
	Plans (Biodiversity Management Plan). Refer			
	Appendix 6.			
	Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring			
	Program. The Aquatic Health Monitoring			
	Program incorporates (refer to Appendix 8):			
	• quantitative sampling of macroinvertebrates;			
	ecological assessment processes using DNA			
	extracted from sediment;			
	• in-stream water quality; and			
	laboratory water testing.			

Aquatic Monitoring Methods	8.2.3	The following habitat features are recorded: * in-stream features such as sequence of pools, runs and riffles; * stream substratum; * presence, type and extent of aquatic vegetation; * presence of barriers to fish passage into and beyond the study area; and * a photographic record of the habitat.	In Control	Refer Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program methods.	
Aquatic Monitoring Methods	8.2.3	Water quality will be measured at each site using a water quality probe. Variables to be measured include; pH, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, temperature, turbidity and conductivity. Where applicable, the results will be compared to ANZECC (2000) water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic ecosystems.	In Control	Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program is in place.	Continue monitoring in line with plans.
Aquatic Monitoring Methods	8.2.3	Fish will be sampled using a back-pack electro fisher and baited traps. At each site, six baited traps are to be deployed in a variety of habitats such as amongst aquatic plants and snags, in deep holes and over bare substratum. The back-pack electro fisher is to be operated around the edge of pools and in riffles. At each site, four, two minute shots are to be performed. Fish are to be collected in a scoop net, identified and measured. Native species are to be released unharmed whilst exotics are not to be returned to the water.	In Control	Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program has no requirement to monitor fish. This is only relevant to extraction plan monitoring.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Aquatic Monitoring Methods	8.2.3	At each site macroinvertebrates will be sampled using the AusRivAS protocol developed under the National River Health Program. Where available, riffle and edge habitats will be sampled using a dip net along a 10m stretch of habitat. Samples will be sorted in the field, preserved in alcohol and transported to a laboratory for identification. Taxa will be identified to levels required for calculating SIGNAL2 values according to the AusRivAS protocol.	In Control	Monitoring plan in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Aquatic Monitoring Methods	8.2.3	Reports will be produced at the conclusion of each aquatic monitoring survey that provide sufficient information to describe the habitats and biota that may be affected by subsidence or Appin Mine water releases.	In Control	Refer to last AHMP report on South32 website: https://www.south32.net/docs/def ault-source/illawarra-coal-bulli- seam- operations/licenses/csiro_grahp_r eport1_final_28042022.pdf?sfvrsn =50f5c92_6	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Management Responses Monitoring Methods	8.2.4	If level 3 TARPs are triggered within potential Macquarie Perch habitat, Corrective Management Actions (CMAs) such as additional monitoring, habitat rehabilitation or other adaptive management measures will be considered.	In Control	No Macquarie Perch identified.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas. Annual reports to be uploaded to the S32 website.
Management Responses Monitoring Methods	8.2.4	Monitoring results will be reviewed by the IMC Subsidence Management Committee and determine whether performance indicators have been exceeded; and whether CMAs are required.	In Control	Monthly meetings are conducted.	Continue with meetings and documentation.

Management Responses Monitoring Methods	8.2.4	If the findings of monitoring are deemed to warrant an immediate response, the Manager Approvals will initiate the requirements of the TARP.	In Control	Actions are implemented as required and reported in the Monthly Subsidence Meeting Minutes.	Continue with meetings and documentation.
Terrestrial Biodiversity Monitoring Methods	8.3.2	Terrestrial monitoring occurs over longwall mining areas (i.e. Appin Area 7, Appin Area 9 and West Cliff Area 5) and focuses on detecting significant changes to vegetation communities and fauna habitat present within the mining area and aims to ensure complete coverage across the Study Area. Specific targeted monitoring sites will be determined if justified (e.g. if threatened species populations, EECs or habitats are known and have more than a negligible potential to be impacted).	In Control	Monitoring plan in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas. Annual reports to be uploaded to the S32 web page. Negligible impact to EECs, habitats or populations to date.
Terrestrial Biodiversity Monitoring Methods	8.3.2	Inspections of vegetation communities within the mining areas is undertaken as a part of routine landscape and water monitoring programs. Targeted inspection by a qualified ecologist will follow should vegetation health changes be observed.	In Control	No vegetation health changes detected to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

BULLI SEAM OPERATIONS

Adaptive Management Plan for Water Sensitive EPBC Act Listed Species IMCMP0253

Version 6

Terrestrial	8.3.2	Monitoring will focus on detecting significant	In Control	No vegetation health changes	Continue monitoring
Biodiversity		changes to vegetation communities and fauna		detected to date.	impacts in the mining
Monitoring		habitat present within the Study Area and will aim			areas.
Methods		to ensure complete coverage across the Study			
		Area.			
		Inspections of vegetation condition will assess the			
		following:			
		* Does the vegetation appear healthy?			
		* Are there any detectable visual impacts (e.g.			
		canopy thinning, thinning of shrub layer, loss of			
		ground cover, dead branches present)?			
		* Are there any significant detectable visual			
		impacts (e.g. canopy loss with areas of dieback			
		present, loss of whole shrubs, loss of ground			
		cover)?			
		Areas of impact or any subsidence effects will be			
		mapped and documented using digital			
		photography.			
		Where a significant visual impact is detected a			
		qualified ecologist will be engaged to document			
		the following:			
		* the total area of impact. This will be mapped			
		using a GPS and aerial photo interpretation;			
		* the Foliage Percentage Cover (FPC); and			
		* Modified Braun-Blanquet cover abundance			
		scores for each species.			

Terrestrial Biodiversity Monitoring Methods	8.3.2	This information will be used to objectively assess extent and degree of impact. Assessment of similar vegetation communities or fauna habitat within the broader locality will be undertaken to determine if the detected changes are within normal variation or represent a possible impact of mining. Additional studies (e.g. gas release measurements) will be commissioned in response to an observed mining impact to understand the mechanism involved and consider any CMAs that may be required.	In Control	No vegetation health changes detected to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Terrestrial Biodiversity Monitoring Methods	8.3.2	Impacts are to be monitored as a part of ongoing observations to determine any change in extent or degree.	In Control	No vegetation health changes detected to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Terrestrial Biodiversity Monitoring Methods	8.3.2	The typical frequency of terrestrial biodiversity monitoring is: * two baseline monitoring campaigns 1 year prior to mining; * monthly visual inspections (as part of Landscape Features Monitoring), increased to weekly inspections during critical periods during mining; * six monthly monitoring for two years (as part of Landscape Features Monitoring) post mining; * general observation of active mining areas during all other monitoring.	In Control	No vegetation health changes detected to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Terrestrial Biodiversity Monitoring Methods	8.3.2	IMC will implement remediation measures where impacts to vegetation communities or fauna habitat are deemed to be caused by subsidence effects.	In Control	Georges River Rehabilitation Plan has been approved by DPIE and the Resources Regulator. Additional approvals will be sought to undertake the remediation, as per the plan.	Execute the Georges River Rehabilitation Plan, once all necessary approvals in place and outcomes from trial at WC21 have been received.
Monitoring methods for Leucopogon exolasius	8.4.2	Standard monitoring will be conducted as per Section 8.3.2. Any future targeted monitoring for this species may include (but not be limited to): * Fixed photo points. * Fixed vegetation quadrats. Data collected from each quadrat may include species richness, community structure and composition, vegetation condition, mortality and recruitment, the presence of soil profile development (leaf litter, presence/absence of invertebrates). * Random meander transects in targeted monitoring areas in order to identify recruitment.	In Control	Leucopogon exolasius not identified in monitoring program.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Water Monitoring Overview and Context for EPBC Listed Species	8.5.1	Water releases from surface operations are monitored and managed via the relevant management plans as shown in Diagram 1.	In Control	Refer to Appin Mine Water MP, Coal Wash Emplacement Area MP and Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program on the IMC website.	
Water Monitoring Overview and Context for EPBC Listed Species	8.5.1	Impacts associated with longwall mining areas are addressed through specific Extraction Plans (and their associated Water Management Plans).	In Control	Extraction plans/SMPs for Area 7 and 9 are on South32 website. https://www.south32.net/ourbusiness/australia/illawarrametallurgical-coal/documents	

Water Monitoring for Potential Impacts from Mining Induced Subsidence	8.5.2	Extractions Plans with detailed monitoring programs are submitted on a progressive basis as mining commences in each mining domain.	In Control	Approved monitoring plans in place.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Water Monitoring for Potential Impacts from surface Operations	8.5.3	Potential impacts from Appin Mine surface operations are monitored and managed via the Water Management Plan and EPL 2504 (Appendix 7).	In Control	Refer to Appin Mine Water MP, Coal Wash Emplacement Area MP and Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program on the IMC website.	
Monitoring Parameters and Performance Indicators	8.5.4	EPL 2504 regulates, among other things, the discharge of water from the surface operations into receiving waters. Quantified limits are currently stated in EPL 2504 for a range of parameters. These limits are effectively the surface water quality performance indicators for the AMP as they are aimed at maintaining suitable water quality to support downstream aquatic habitat for species such as Macquarie Perch.	In Control	EPL 2504 is in place.	
Monitoring Parameters and Performance Indicators	8.5.4	Monitoring is conducted monthly.	In Control	Monthly samples are collected as required by EPL 2504	
Summary of Performance Measures	9.1	The implementation of remedial or adaptive management measures would be assessed through the results of the Extraction Plan monitoring programs, EPL (surface water discharge) monitoring and additional detailed assessments as required.	In Control	Georges River Remediation Plan and Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program are in place.	

Summary of Performance Measures	9.1	In the event the Performance Measures detailed in Table 7 of the AMP are considered to have been exceeded, or are likely to be exceeded, IMC will implement a Contingency Plan (refer Section 10) to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences. Such an exceedance would normally represent a Level 3 TARP for surface water quality, flow or aquatic habitat being triggered.	In Control	No Macquarie Perch identified to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Adaptive Management Options - Mine Planning	9.2.1	If impacts exceed performance measures, adaptive management techniques will be considered, such as seeking variations to adjustment the length of planned longwalls. This has been implemented in the past for Longwall 34 in West Cliff Area 5 where Level 2 impacts were identified from Longwall 33.	In Control	No performance measures exceeded. Georges River Rehabilitation Plan has been approved by DPIE and the Resources Regulator.	Execute the Georges River Rehabilitation Plan, once all necessary approvals in place and outcomes from trial at WC21 have been received.
Active Flow Management	9.2.2	During no or low rainfall periods the flow in the Georges River is largely determined by the volume of water discharged via licence discharge point 10 from BCD and from Appin East. If the Level 2 trigger for minor cracking leading to a reduction in pool water level is observed, then additional flow can be released from BCD and/or Appin East to ensure pool water levels are maintained.	In Control	Supplementary flows are and have been provided via BCD and from the temporary WTP at Appin North. The EPA and Georges River Stakeholder Group is regularly advised and where required, consulted on the discharge from BCD.	Complete construction of long-term WTP.

Water Quality and Discharge Management	9.2.3	Where low water quality is identified to be resulting from mining induced subsidence or surface discharges this exceeds relevant TARPs, consideration of appropriate CMAs will be undertaken with relevant stakeholders. Any CMA will be highly dependent on the parameter being exceeded and technical feasibility of interventions.	In Control	No performance measures exceeded. Georges River Rehabilitation Plan has been approved by DPIE and the Resources Regulator.	Execute the Georges River Rehabilitation Plan, once all necessary approvals in place and outcomes from trial at WC21 have been received.
Natural Remediation	9.2.4	While sealing of surface fractures will occur naturally in some instances and over time, it is recognised that this may not provide sufficient mitigation in some situations and that active sealing of the streams may be required in some locations.	In Control	Active sealing of streams, with the exception of Georges River, not yet triggered	
Hand Mortaring	9.2.5.1	Should large fractures occur in the base of the pools they may be sealed over with hand placed cement grout and natural oxides.	In Control	Georges River Rehabilitation Plan has been approved by DPIE and the Resources Regulator.	Execute the Georges River Rehabilitation Plan, once all necessary approvals in place and outcomes from trial at WC21 have been received.
Injection Grouting	9.2.5.2	These rehabilitation operations have the potential to cause adverse environmental impacts through the materials used and the disturbance associated with access and will be carefully planned to avoid contamination of watercourses. Bunds will be used to contain any spillage at mixing points. The materials used in these processes are non-toxic, environmentally inert and do not significantly impact upon the natural habitats of aquatic species.	In Control	Georges River Rehabilitation Plan approved by DPIE and the Resources Regulator incorporates these requirements.	

Surface Treatment	9.2.5.6	Where cracking develops in significant areas and natural sealing is not progressing, the cracks may require forking over and compacting to prevent subsequent erosion. Larger cracks may require more work to repair them, for example, mulch or other protection to prevent the development of erosion channels. Surface protection will remain in place until revegetation covers the disturbed area. In some cases, e.g. if the cracks are wider they may require gravel or sand filling up to surface level and revegetation using local native plants. Such rehabilitation measures have the potential to cause impact through the materials used and the disturbance associated with access. Considerable care and relevant approvals will be obtained to ensure the protection of the environment as such works are implemented.	In Control	No significant cracks have been observed that require remediation to prevent erosion. Fracturing in Georges River is covered by above sections 7.2.5 and 7.2.6	
Gas Releases	9.2.6	Where vegetation is impacted by gas releases, the areas affected will be revegetated once monitoring determines the gas releases have ceased or reduced to an extent that vegetation is no longer affected.	In Control	detected to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Gas Releases	9.2.6	Where low dissolved oxygen is identified to be resulting from mining induced gas release and this exceeds relevant TARPS, consideration of appropriate CMAS will be undertaken with relevant stakeholders.		No CMAs have been required as a result of low DO from gas release zones. Consideration includes agencies and specialist consultants.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Version 6

	140.4	li a	101	N M 1 D 1 1 1 100 11	0 ()
Contingency and Response Plans	10.1	In the event the Performance Measures pertaining to Macquarie Perch or other EPBC listed species detailed in Section 9 of the AMP are considered to have been exceeded, or are likely to be exceeded, IMC will implement a Contingency Plan to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences. This would involve: * capture photographic record if appropriate; * notify relevant stakeholder, agencies and specialists soon as practicable; * conduct site visits with stakeholders as required; * contract specialists to investigate and report on changes identified; * provide incident report to relevant agencies; * review monitoring and implement additional monitoring if required; * inform relevant agencies and stakeholders of results of investigation; * develop site CMA in consultation with key stakeholders if required and seek approvals; * implement CMA as agreed with stakeholders following approvals; * conduct initial follow up monitoring and reporting following CMA completion; * review relevant management plan(s); and * report in regular reporting and Annual Review.	In Control	No Macquarie Perch identified to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Contingency and Response Plans	10.1	IMC will consult with appropriate specialists and relevant agencies in order to devise an appropriate response in respect to any identified exceedance.	In Control	No exceedance to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Contingency and Response Plans	10.1	The development and implementation of contingency measures will be designed to address the specific circumstances of the exceedance and assessment of environmental consequences.	In Control	No exceedance to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Contingency and Response Plans	10.1	If the contingency measures implemented by IMC fail to remediate or mitigate the impact or the Secretary of DPIE determines that it is not reasonable or feasible to remediate the impact, IMC will provide a suitable offset to compensate for the impact to the satisfaction of the Secretary of DPIE (or DAWE as appropriate), in accordance with Condition 2 of Schedule 3 of the Project Approval.	In Control	No exceedance to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Non-compliance, Corrective Action and Preventative Action	10.2	Events, non-compliances, corrective actions and preventative actions are managed in accordance with the Reporting and Investigation Standard and Environmental Compliance/Conformance Assessment and Reporting Procedure. These procedures, which relate to all IMC operations, detail the processes to be utilised with respect to event and non-conformance/non-compliance classification and reporting, and identification of corrective and preventative actions.	In Control	No incidents to date.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.

Performance Improvement	9	As part of the Statement of Commitments prepared for the BSO Project EA, IMC committed to implement "research, offset and compensatory measures for Project impacts on water quality and ecological aspects" with the aim of continual performance review and improvement. The annual review process will also formalise opportunities for improvement based on the monitoring data.	In Control	As per Persoonia Offset and research, Georges River Aquatic Health Monitoring Program and installation of a long-term WTP at Appin North.	
Compliance Report	12.1.1	Annual reporting is undertaken as per Condition 14 of the EPBC Approval which requires the proponent to: Within three months of every 12 month anniversary of the commencement of the action, the person taking the action must publish a report on their website addressing compliance with each of the conditions of this approval, including implementation of any management plans as specified in the conditions. Documentary evidence providing proof of the date of publication and non-compliance with any of the conditions of this approval must be provided to the department at the same time as the compliance report is published. The Compliance Report is required to be submitted to DAWE by 15 August of each year via EPBCMonitoring@environment.gov.au and is attached as an appendix in the Annual Review.	In Control	This report	

Annual Review	12.1.2	IMC will report on the performance of the AMP in the Annual Review. The Annual Review is prepared in accordance with Condition 4 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and is submitted to relevant agencies in September each year. Annual Reviews are made available to the general public via the South32 website.	In Control	Annual Reviews are published on the South32 website as required.	
EPL Reporting	12.1.3	The specific requirements for the publication of EPL monitoring results are set out in section 66(6) of the POEO Act. In summary, this provision requires that licensees who undertake monitoring as a result of a licence condition must publish or make available monitoring data that relates to pollution within 14 days of obtaining the data and/or receiving a specific request for a copy of the data	In Control	Results are reporting online via the 14 day monitoring report https://www.south32.net/our- business/australia/illawarra- metallurgical-coal/documents.	
EPL Reporting	12.1.4	In addition to the above, an Annual Return is submitted to the NSW EPA as required by the EPL. The EPL also details requirements for the Aquatic Health Monitoring Program.	In Control	The 202/22 Annual Return was submitted as required.	
End of Panel Reports	12.1.5	End of Panel (EoP) reports are prepared in accordance with the relevant Extraction Plan or Subsidence Management Plan. They are prepared following the completion of longwall extraction of each panel. The report outlines the measured and observed impacts relevant to the extraction of the longwall panel and summarises a comparison of observed impacts to predictions and performance criteria.	In Control	The most recent EoP reports completed are for Longwall 708 (April 2022) and Longwall 903 (August 2021).	

Version 6

Incident Reporting	12.1.5	In accordance with Condition 7 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, IMC is to notify the Secretary of DPIE and relevant agencies of any incident that has caused, or threatens to cause, material harm to the environment. Within seven days of the date of the incident, IMC is to provide the Secretary and relevant agencies with a detailed report on the incident.	In Control	Not triggered on the operational mine sites or mining area.	Continue monitoring impacts in the mining areas.
Review	12.2	In accordance with Condition 5 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, the AMP will be reviewed, and if necessary revised, within three months, of: • the submission of an annual review; • the submission of an incident report; • the submission of an Independent Environmental Audit report; or • any modification to the conditions of the Project Approval (unless the conditions require otherwise). Outcomes from each review will be documented in the Management Plan Review Log. The AMP will only be revised where a material change to site operations or environmental management has occurred, or in accordance with the review period on the AMP. Administrative or descriptive changes do not constitute a material change. Where a review triggers a revision of the AMP, the AMP will be revised and submitted to the Secretary and/or Minister for approval.	In Control	AMP was reviewed in 2020. The AMP was approved by the Minister on 28 January 2021.	

Publication	12.3	Condition 13 of the EPBC Approval requires the proponent to:publish all management plans, reports, strategies or agreements required by these conditions of approval on their website. Each management plan, report strategy or agreement must be published on the website within 30 days of being approved. Approved versions of the AMP will be displayed on the South32 regulatory page at: https://www.south32.net/our-business/australia/illawarra-metallurgical-coal/documents		The approved AMP is available on the South32 website.	
Independent Environmental Audit	12.4.1	In accordance with Condition 9 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and Condition 18 of the EPBC Approval, an Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) shall be commissioned every three years, that will include a review of the AMP. The report is required to be submitted to the Secretary within six weeks of completion of the audit, in accordance with Condition 10 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and Condition 18 of the EPBC Approval. IEAs have been conducted in 2013, 2016/17 and 2019, with the next IEA to be conducted in 2022. Recommendations from the IEA will be incorporated into the AMP where appropriate.	In Control	The last IEA was conducted in 2019. The next IEA is scheduled to be conducted in 2022.	

ISO 14001	12.4.2	As part of the ISO 14001 certification, IMC	In Control	The last Governance Review was	
		maintains an environmental auditing and		undertaken in July 2020.	
		governance program across all of its operational			
		sites. The program, which includes the use of			
		competent internal and accredited external			
		auditors, is an integral part of maintaining			
		certification under the ISO 14001 standard.			
		External surveillance audits are undertaken on an			
		annual basis, with recertification audits undertaken			
		every three years.			
		Internal Governance Reviews of the AMP are			
		nominally undertaken on a three yearly basis			

			Outcome	Comment & Evidence	Proposed Action
AUDIT REVIEW					
Section	MP Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			
Scope	1.2	Emplacement construction and operations will be conducted in accordance with the detailed design plans prepared for each emplacement phase. Due to the long life of the emplacement, detailed final design details are prepared progressively and are therefore not outlined in this plan for Stage 4. Emplacement of coal wash in Stage 3 is currently underway. The Stage 4 CWEA is scheduled to commence in approximately ten (10) years.	In Control	Detailed design plans are not yet available. Stage 4 construction is at least ten years away.	
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	The maximum design parameters for Stage 3 are: * No more than 60.5 ha of native vegetation to be cleared	In Control	The area cleared to date for Stage 3 is ~40Ha (36Ha in desktop review). With an additional ~1 ha to be added once 2021/2022 clearing is completed.	
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	The maximum design parameters for Stage 4 of the emplacement design are: * volume of 26Mt; * height of 331 m AHD; * footprint that retains the existing Brennans Creek Dam storage capacity and stockpile areas (refer to Plan 1); and * maximum of 60ha of native vegetation clearance.	In Control	Detailed design plans are not yet available. Stage 4 construction is at least ten years away.	

Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	Measures to limit the clearing of native vegetation to no more than 60 ha will include: • survey and demarcation of the Stage 4 boundary prior to clearing works by a qualified surveyor; • Stage 4 boundary will be clearly outlined on site plans and plans will be provided to clearance contractors; • pre-clearing survey will be undertaken by Specialist Environment who will be trained appropriately in survey methodology (training provided by external consultancy) or a specialist consultant. The area to be cleared will be clearly demarcated with flagging tape. Boundary markings will be placed in a way to ensure that each marker is within line of site.	In Control	Detailed design plans are not yet available. Stage 4 construction is at least ten years away.	
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	The Stage 3 valley will be filled in a north westerly direction and Stage 4 from the eastern (or upstream/upslope) boundary and progress in corridors from east to west down the valley, as required by EPBC Approval Condition 6 (d).	In Control	As verified on Arc GIS. Stage 3 is progressing in NW direction.	
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	Coal wash will be deposited in benches across the valley (in the case of Stage 4 which will be north-south) and progressively down the valley from east to west.	In Control	Stage 4 not yet commenced. Stage 3 is being deposited in benches across the valley.	
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	As each section of fill reaches the designed height, it is top soiled and revegetated. The final landform created by the CWEA will be sympathetic with the regional morphology and will be largely masked from public view by the visual screening of existing eucalypt forest.	In Control	Morphology is as per approved design plans. The completed emplacement is topsoiled and revegetated progressively.	

Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	CWEA construction and operations will be conducted in accordance with the final detailed engineering drawings prepared for each CWEA. The Stage 3 and 4 final landform concept designs are illustrated on Plan 2 and Plan 3.	In Control	Routine surveys and a desktop review on ArcGIS suggests the Stage 3 construction is consistent with the design plans.	
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	The engineering drawings for the Stage 4 CWEA will be prepared prior to implementation of the Stage 4 CWEA and these plans will show staging of the emplacement and will comply with Condition 17 (a) and (b) of the Project Approval and Condition 6(b) of the EPBC Approval.	In Control After Action Close-out	To be incorporated into the Stage 4 design plans when available	Design plans to comply with Condition 17 (a) and (b) and Condition 6 of the EPBC approval.
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	Plan 4 shows a preliminary concept staging plan that provides for the progressive staging of the Stage 4 CWEA to keep the minimum 100 m wide habitat corridor to link the <i>Persoonia hirsuta</i> core population with habitat north of the Stage 4 CWEA, as required by Condition 6(b) of the EPBC Act Approval.	In Control After Action Close-out	To be incorporated into the Stage 4 design plans when available	Design plans to comply with this condition.
Emplacement Design and Staging	4.1	The Stage 4 Design Plans will be implemented and remain in place for at least ten years, unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Minister of DAWE, at which point a revised plan taking into account the monitoring referred to above must be submitted to and approved by the Minister.	In Control	Condition not triggered. Stage 4 design plans are not yet initiated	

Haul Road Design	4.2.3.3	Construction of coal wash haul roads associated with the emplacement are to be carried out in accordance with the CWEAMP. Minimum Road Width: Minimum road pavement widths for coal wash haul roads associated with the emplacement area are to be no less than 15 m along curved and straight sections. Maximum Grade: The grade of haul roads should generally not be greater than a 1:9 grade or 11%. If the grade of the haul road is greater than 11%, a risk assessment is to be conducted as detailed in Table 3.	In control	Minimum road widths are no less than 15m along curved and straight sections. Risk assessments and procedures available as required on haul roads graded above 11%. In field inspections are undertaken as required.	
Haul Road Design	Table 3	A risk assessment is to be conducted to identify all the requirements that are to be put in place before operating on 11% to 20% grades.	In control	Risks assessments conducted as required on haul roads graded between 11% and 20%. In field inspections are undertaken as required. All vehicles are rated beyond the grade of all haul roads and access ramps.	
Haul Road Design	Table 3	Risk assessment is to be conducted and approval obtained from the Manager Surface and Infrastructure where haul road is planned to operate for more than 12 months) for grades greater than 20%.	In control	In field verifications are undertaken and any grade above 20% is reported to the Operations Manager. No haul roads have been constructed at a grade above 20%.	

Horizontal Curve Dimensions	4.2.3.3	Horizontal curves will be designed as required to suit site constraints taking into account safety and operational requirements. Where possible, the following guidelines will be applied to haul road design: • sharp horizontal curves will be avoided at or near hill crests, at the bottom of hills, and after long sustained downgrades; • if passing will be required, sections of haul road will be designed with long tangents and constant grades intersections will be avoided at the crest of vertical and/or sharp horizontal curves; and • tight curves will be avoided.	In control	Daily emplacement inspections undertaken to verify requirement. Any potential breach of guidelines is reported to the Operations Manager and rectified.	
Vertical Curve Dimensions	4.2.3.3	Coal wash haul roads associated with the emplacement are to be designed and constructed to a minimum vertical curve radius of 1500 m and a minimum vertical curve length of 150 m.	In Control After Action Close-out	•	In-field verification required.
Construction of Brennans Creek Diversion Channel	4.2.4	Progressive rehabilitation of the Brennans Creek Diversion Channel will be undertaken in accordance with the approved Brennans Creek Bypass Channel Rehabilitation Plan.	In Control	Diversion channel (within channel) has been rehabilitated.	Refresh operational personnel on the requirements of the rehabilitation plan
Erosion and Sediment Control Measures for Clean Water Cut off Drains	4.2.5.2	The drains are positioned to capture clean water runoff from valley sides and divert it past the emplacement dirty water catch pond system and into BCD.	In Control		
Erosion and Sediment Control Measures for Clean Water Cut off Drains	4.2.5.2	The drains are to be sized as required for the catchment area. Excavated material will be placed beside the drains to form access tracks in the valley for construction of catch ponds and development of the emplacement.	In Control		

Erosion and Sediment Control Measures for Clean Water Cut off Drains	4.2.5.2	The channels will be modified as necessary during the life of the CWEA to adapt to the changing runoff conditions created by the advancing emplacement.	In Control		
Construction of Emplacement Subsoil Drainage Network	4.2.6	Subsurface drains will be installed on the prepared active CWEA under engineering supervision before coal wash emplacement commences. Construction of the subsurface drains shall be installed in accordance with detailed engineering drawings. Subsurface drains will be progressively linked to subsoil drainage from previous sections of the CWEA.	In Control	In field verification is undertaken as required.	
Construction of Emplacement Catch Ponds	4.2.7.1	The CWEA to be supported by two sequential ponds sited down the Brennans Creek Valley. As each phase approaches completion, and filling of the first pond is imminent, a new pond will need to be constructed and so on.	In Control	Stage 3 emplacement is approaching Emplacement Pond 2. Emplacement Ponds 2 and 3 are still in place.	

Construction of	4.2.7.1	Clean water cut-off drains will be established prior to	In Control	Clean water drains are in place.	
Emplacement		construction of ponds and flows in Brennans Creek		Planning for new emplacement	
Catch Ponds		will be diverted around the construction area via a		ponds is in progress.	
		temporary dam and pump. This will prevent			
		sediment contamination of clean water from			
		surrounding clean			
		water catchment and treated water from upstream			
		emplacement ponds. Emplacement pond dam walls			
		will be constructed using site won material			
		excavated from an appropriate area onsite (most			
		likely excavated material from the base of the dam			
		storage area or areas being prepared for active			
		emplacement) or coal wash. Where possible, dam			
		wall fill material will be transported directly to			
		construction areas however it may be necessary at			
		times for this material to be temporarily stockpiled			
		until required.			
		'			
Erosion and	4.2.7.3	Each phase of the CWEA is supported by two	In Control	The first (upstream) pond:	
Sediment Control		sequential ponds sited down Brennans Creek		Emplacement Pond 2 - is utilized for	
Measures for		Valley. The first (upstream) pond allows passive		passive settling. The second	
Emplacement		settling of particles, while the second pond will have		(downstream) pond: Emplacement	
Catch Ponds		the capability to be chemically dosed to remove fine		Pond 3 - is chemically dosed for	
		particulates from the water column.		assisted settling.	

Erosion and Sediment Control Measures for Emplacement Catch Ponds	4.2.7.3	Each pond must be operational prior to commencement of coal wash emplacement in the catchment area for that pond. As each phase approaches completion and filling of the first pond is imminent, a new pond is to be constructed downstream, prior to the emplacement encroaching on the upstream pond. Emplacement pond dam walls will be constructed using coal wash or site won material excavated (sandstone, coal wash or other appropriate material) from prepared active	In Control	Stage 3 emplacement is approaching Emplacement Pond 2. No new ponds were created in FY22.	
Preparation of Active Emplacement Areas	4.2.8.1	Preparation of active emplacement areas will take place progressively as the emplacement advances down Brennans Creek Valley.	In Control	As per CWEAMP rehabilitation program.	
Preparation of Active Emplacement Areas	4.2.8.1	The area of land cleared and dedicated as the active emplacement area will be restricted to an operational size of 18 ha (where practical, with a maximum area of 21 ha) in order for the emplacement ponds to effectively treat surface flows.	In Control	As per last desktop review, active emplacement area is within limits. Emplacement Area at 17.93 ha at time of desktop review (9/08/2022). South Eastern face of emplacement currently being covered by topsoil with an additional ~1 ha to be added once 2021/2022 clearing is completed.	

Preparation of Active Emplacement Areas	4.2.8.1	In general, stripped topsoil will be placed on finished emplacement areas and stripped sandstone/bedrock will be used onsite for emplacement pond dam wall construction. This may require temporary stockpiling of stripped topsoil and sandstone material and appropriate mitigative measures will be undertaken to minimise the effects of erosion and sediment runoff. Stage 4 of the CWEA has a design footprint of 59.4 ha as shown in Plan 3.		As per CWEAMP rehabilitation program. VENM was imported to Appin North in FY22 for use as capping material for the CWEA.	
Vegetation and Topsoil Removal	4.2.8.3	All vegetation including shrubs, trees and roots shall be cleared from the active emplacement area using the two-stage clearing process before coal wash emplacement commences. Loose vegetation from site clearing, such as tree branches, shall be used as mulch or brush matting over areas of the CWEA being rehabilitated. Soil will be stripped from areas cleared for coal wash emplacement and where practicable, the seed rich surface layer of topsoil shall be separated from lower level soils. Stripped soil will be applied to a depth of typically 0.5 m (where appropriate) over completed areas of the emplacement as soon as practical. When seed rich topsoil stripped from cleared areas is available it will be spread as the surface layer on emplacement areas being rehabilitated. Seed rich topsoil is to be reused as quickly as possible to maintain viability of seeds.	In Control	As per CWEAMP rehabilitation program.	

Vegetation and	4.2.8.3	When the emplacement is progressing to its final	In Control	A Biodiversity Risk Assessment was	Incorporate topsoil
Topsoil Removal		stages, particular attention must be paid to	After Action	completed in April 2020 which	stockpiling into the
		stockpiling the necessary volumes of soil to ensure	Close-out	looked at "topsoil deficit" and	design planning for
		adequate soil cover is achieved during rehabilitation		identified actions to reduce the risk	Stage 4 (include a
		of the final landform. Where required, suitable		of a topsoil shortfall.	topsoil inventory for
		material may be sourced from off-site locations to			Stage 4).
		supplement on-site material where deficiencies are		VENM was imported to Appin North	
		identified.		in FY22 for use as capping material	Continue to
				for the CWEA.	investigate other
					options for sourcing
					alternative material
					and progress
					required approvals.
Emplacement of	4.2.9.1	Active emplacement areas will be revegetated as	In Control	Rehabilitation is undertaken	
Coal Wash in		soon as possible after the final emplacement design		progressively.	
Active		level has been reached.			
Emplacement					

BULLI SEAM OPERATIONS Coal Wash Emplacement Area Management Plan WCPMP0019

M	anagement	Р	lan '	V	ersi	on	5
---	-----------	---	-------	---	------	----	---

Coal Wash Transportation	4.2.9.3	The following procedures must be adhered to with regard to transportation of coal wash associated with the emplacement operations: • coal wash shall be transported in trucks on the mine site; • coal wash trucks shall be restricted to designated haul roads on the mine site; • coal wash haul roads shall be designed in accordance with the haul road design guidelines in this management plan (see Section 4.2.3.3); • coal wash haul roads must drain to contaminated water catchments and have standard berms installed; • coal wash haul roads must be maintained to minimise airborne dust; • only dump trucks shall be permitted on the emplacement area (semi-trailers shall only be permitted on areas of the emplacement that have been specially prepared for their access); • dump trucks will be speed restricted to an appropriate speed to meet the site requirements; and • all haul trucks must adhere to site speed limits to maintain operational safety and minimise dust impacts.	In Control	The procedures governing the transportation of coal wash associated with the emplacement operations are consistent with the Management Plan. In field verification is undertaken as required.	
Coal Wash Transportation	4.2.9.3	Coal wash transport will comply with the safety and operational conditions of the West Cliff Surface Transport Management Plan (Document Number: WCPMP0012), Stockpile and Slope Stability Management Plan (Document Number: WCPMP0001), and the Road Maintenance Manual (Document Number: WCPM0004).	In Control		

01-141-	14004	A discrimentary in the second	I O t I	Time in a second section	
Coal Wash Tipping	4.2.9.4	A tipping area is provided on each active coal wash bench for haul trucks to tip their loads onto the	In Control	Tipping areas are set out on individual benches for approved	
l ipping		bench. There are currently eight different materials		materials as outlined in the	
		which are required to be placed in a controlled		Management Plan. In field	
		manner into the emplacement.		verification is undertaken as	
		The tipping areas must be set up to handle all eight		required.	
		materials, each of which have different			
		characteristics:			
		DCPP coal wash;			
		WCCPP coal wash;			
		belt press fines from the WCCPP;			
		oversize stone (Big Rock) from the WCCPP;			
		thickener sludge from the WCCPP;			
		drilling muds, waters and drill cuttings from IMC			
		exploration and methane drainage programs;			
		• inert waste (including concrete and soil) and virgin			
		excavated natural material (VENM); and			
		sump/dam clean out materials.			
Coal Wash	4.2.9.4	The Material Acceptance Form must be completed	In Control	Material Acceptance Forms are	
Tipping		and approved prior to the transport of any material		completed as required.	
		not generated by the WCCPP or DCPP to the			
		emplacement for use or disposal.			
Coal Wash	4.2.9.4	Each area is prepared in such a way that allows	In Control	Each area is prepared in such a way	
Tipping		safe operation of mobile equipment while accessing		that allows safe operation of mobile	
		the area for tipping. This includes:		equipment while accessing the area	
		adequate areas and lighting for night time		for tipping as outlined in the	
		operations;		Management Plan. In field	
		berms in place;		verification is undertaken as	
		• signage marking tip areas;		required.	
		allowance for drainage;			
		surfaces suitable for dump trucks and other			
		approved surface mobile equipment; and			
		• surfaces suitable for tankers around sludge ponds.			

Coal Wash Tipping	4.2.9.4	The Contract Supervisor for the CWEA operations is responsible for ensuring required inspections are undertaken. The adequacy of these inspection records will be checked by IMC personnel on a periodic basis (nominally annually).	In Control	Required inspections are undertaken and reviewed by IMC.	
Coal Wash Drying	4.2.9.5	If the moisture content of coal wash delivered to the emplacement area is too high for satisfactory compaction it will be left to dry naturally until suitable moisture content for compaction is reached.	In Control	Coal wash that is delivered to the emplacement area that has a high moisture content is left to dry naturally as outlined in the Management Plan. In field verification is undertaken as required.	
Coal Wash Drying	4.2.9.5	Coal wash slimes/fines will be tipped into shallow temporary drying basins (i.e. sludge ponds) constructed with coarse coal wash. Temporary drying basins will be carefully located on the emplacement area well away from the embankment face and perimeter drains. No surface drainage will be permitted to enter a temporary drying basin.	In Control	Coal wash slimes/fines are handled as outlined in the Management Plan. In field verification is undertaken as required.	
Compaction	4.2.9.6	Coal wash will be spread from tipped heaps and where necessary compacted with vibratory rollers. Fine coal wash will be combined with coarse coal wash in the spreading and compaction operation. Material from temporary drying basins will be placed and compacted into the emplacement in a similar manner to fine coal wash.	In Control	Tipping methods allow for the adequate mixing of coarse and fine coal wash materials. Confirmed by positive results from compaction testing. Fine coal wash management approved by Engineer of Record under GISTM.	

Compaction	4.2.9.6	The Emplacement Supervisor manages the deposition of coal wash and is required to balance available areas for deposition, volumes and material types and compaction results.	In Control	Emplacement Supervisor manages and balances coal wash deposition as outlined in the Management Plan. In field verification undergone as required.
Compaction	4.2.9.6	The developing emplacement benches shall be graded back into the valley to prevent surface water flowing over the front batter of the bench.	In Control	Benches constructed as outlined in the Management Plan. In field verification undertaken as required.
Compaction	4.2.9.6	Compaction testing is nominally carried out ten times per year with each testing campaign comprised of at least five representative samples. The compaction testing tests for Standard Maximum Dry Density (SMDD) and the results are compared with a compaction criterion of 95% Standard Compaction. The tests are carried out by a Geotechnical consultant at test locations selected by the Contract Supervisor for the emplacement operations.	In Control	Compaction testing completed as per plan.
Compaction	4.2.9.6	A record of the test results and locations of where they have been taken shall be maintained in the document management system.	In Control	Records of compaction tests are maintained by the emplacement contractor. Desktop verification undertaken as required.

Bench Heights	4.2.9.7	Coal wash emplacement will progress in a series of filled horizontal benches until each active emplacement area reaches its finished height. Coal wash benches will extend down the valley in a repetitive sequence of tipping, spreading, and compacting. Coal wash material that is too wet to be emplaced immediately will be placed in temporary drying ponds, which will be located within the emplacement footprint.	In Control	Emplacement operations undertaken as outlined in the Management Plan. In field verification undertaken as required.	
Bench Heights	4.2.9.7	Coal wash emplacement in the valley shall commence at the lower end of the prepared active emplacement area and progress in a series of filled horizontal benches until the emplacement reaches the finished height. Coal wash shall be deposited on the benches and compacted in layers as shown in Figure 1 to achieve better than 95% dry density ratio.	In Control	Emplacement operations undertaken as outlined in the Management Plan.	
Bench Heights	4.2.9.7	The developing benches will be graded back into the valley to prevent surface water flowing over the front batter of the bench and operations will generally aim to maintain coal wash benches with a 30 m lift as outlined in Figure 1.	In Control	Emplacement operations undertaken as outlined in the Management Plan.	

Bench Heights	4.2.9.7	The vertical height of a bench is measured at its highest point or crest and at the bench toe. A bench is established in four distinct stages and must be built with the materials' natural angle of repose forming the maximum angle or slope. Any under-cut which increases this angle must be avoided and rectified before tipping can proceed on top of the bench. The procedure for constructing the benches is as follows: • each layer of coal wash is pushed off with the dozer; • depending on material type and compaction already achieved, a vibratory roller is used to further compact the coal wash; edges of the bench are further rolled providing increased compaction; • surface gradient of the bench top is provided to facilitate quick water run off for rain events; and • surface contour drains are provided at intervals and a new bench is started. The contour surface drains must have a gradient that allows surface water to be discharged quickly.		Emplacement benches established as outlined in the Management Plan. In field verification undertaken as required.	
Bench Heights	4.2.9.7	Best practice at the CWEA has limited bench heights to 30 m. This height can only be exceeded following a formal risk assessment which involves suitably qualified personnel other than the contractor or persons normally supervising the work.	In Control	Bench heights of 30 m are not exceeded in the CWEA.	

Bench Heights	4.2.9.7	The surface shape of the CWEA will be finished to blend with the surrounding landform (as per the approved final landform) and provide for noneroding table drains to carry surface water runoff to the emplacement perimeter drains. Batter slopes on the finished emplacement will be constructed to noneroding grades where practical in accordance with the approved finished profile design contours. This profile has been designed to a maximum grade of 1(V):3(H) to prevent erosion and sediment runoff. Suitable erosion control methods will be adapted as necessary.	In Control	The finished landform is as per approved design plans in the emplacement management plan.
Redirect Coal Wash to Beneficial Uses	4.2.12	IMC has committed to pursuing alternative uses for coal wash as part of the Project Approval and the Dendrobium Mine Development Consent. This commitment is demonstrated from the continuing work in this area, including researching new technologies which would enable beneficial coal wash uses.	In Control	Beneficial uses of coal wash continue to be investigated. Due to COVID and reduced opportunities, the volume of coal wash from the DCPP able to be directed to beneficial use was reduced.
Cultural Heritage Management	5.1	Detailed design plans which include options for reducing, avoiding and/or managing impacts on Aboriginal heritage sites in and adjacent to the southwestern fringe of the proposed Stage 4 footprint (including sites 52-2-2228/3617, 52-2-1373, 52-2-3533/3613 and 52-2-3506);	In Control	Stage 4 not yet commenced
Cultural Heritage Management	5.1	Management strategies to ensure no impacts to Aboriginal heritage site 52-2-3505 other than negligible impacts, including consideration of potential staged development of the emplacement and/or buffer areas.	In Control	Emplacement is at least five years away from this location. The site is also buffered by the Brennans Creek Diversion Channel.
Management and Mitigation	5.7	There are 13 cultural heritage sites within the CWEA that will require some form of management. Refer to Appendix 3.	In Control	Cultural heritage is managed as per the approved CWEAMP.

Management and Mitigation	5.7	For sites located within the boundaries of the proposed Stage 4 CWEA, the proposed management approach is to conduct detailed recording and, where appropriate, archaeological salvage of a sample of occupation deposit. This strategy is consistent with that successfully employed for the Stage 3 CWEA.	In Control	Cultural heritage is managed as per the approved CWEAMP.	
Management and Mitigation	5.7	For sites avoided by the emplacement footprint, but located in close proximity, proposed management includes conducting detailed recording of the site prior to works in the vicinity, and demarcation of the site to minimize the potential for accidental impacts from mobile machinery working in the area.	In Control	Cultural heritage is managed as per the approved CWEAMP. Consultation with Aboriginal Groups planned in FY23.	
Management and Mitigation	5.7	Detail and scheduling of these management strategies should be developed in consultation with the Aboriginal community through the AHP process.	In Control After Action Close-out	the approved CWEAMP.	Undertake consultation with local Aboriginal groups in FY23.
Vegetation and Fauna Management	6.1.1	The unit of vegetation to be cleared will be surveyed by appropriately qualified personnel (suitably trained Environmental Representative or specialist consultant) and marked out using flagging tape.	In Control	Relevant site personnel have been trained	
Vegetation and Fauna Management	6.1.1	Surveys of each unit will involve traversing the study area to locate, record and mark specific habitat features that are proposed for preservation and redistribution to the emplacement (e.g. rocks and boulders, stags and large hollows).	In Control	Pre-clearance inspections are undertaken as required.	

Vegetation and Fauna Management	6.1.1	Prior to any vegetation clearance occurring on site, specific details including the type and number of each habitat feature will be clearly recorded and identified on a pre-clearing checklist. Clearance will only occur following demarcation and survey by appropriately qualified personnel.	In Control	Pre-clearance inspections are undertaken as required.	
Vegetation and Fauna Management	6.1.1	The survey will identify appropriate candidate boulders and outcrop rock that could be translocated for habitat creation in revegetated areas. Boulders shall be placed on top of replaced soils (on top of the CWEA) to recreate habitat for species dependent on rocky outcrops, such as the Broad-headed Snake.	In Control	Pre-clearance inspections are undertaken as required and suitable boulders identified.	
Vegetation and Fauna Management	6.1.1	During the pre-clearance survey, habitat features within each unit will be inspected in order to identify the need for any relocation of resident fauna species. Relocation of fauna will also involve the identification of capture and release methods and release areas for the relocation of fauna species prior to clearing.	In Control	Pre-clearance inspections are undertaken as required. No relocations were required in FY22.	
Permit to Disturb	6.1.2	Prior to any vegetation clearance occurring on site, a Permit to Disturb (ICHF0209) is to be issued. Specific details including the type and number of each habitat feature will be clearly recorded and identified on Permits to Disturb prior to issue. Permits to Disturb will only be issued following demarcation and survey by the Environmental Representative.	In Control	Permits to Disturb are completed as required.	
Permit to Disturb	6.1.2	A post-clearing inspection will be undertaken by the site Environmental Representative to verify the clearing was done in accordance with the Permit to Disturb.	In Control	Permits to Disturb are completed as required.	

Permit to Disturb	6.1.2	If unapproved clearing goes beyond the emplacement boundary: • the incident will be reported in accordance with the Environmental Compliance/Conformance Assessment and Reporting Procedure (IMCP0186); and • the disturbed area will be rehabilitated as soon as practicable.	N/A	Not triggered	
Clearing Process - Timing	6.2.1	Where possible, the timing of vegetation clearance of important habitat features will be between January and May to avoid the primary breeding and nesting periods of most hollow-dwelling species.	In Control	The last emplacement clearing permit was issued in January 2021. However, due to other site projects taking priority use of site excavators, the 1st stage clearing work had not commenced until July 2021. Additionally, high rainfall occurrences in early 2022 resulted in further delays to clearing this area, ~2 ha of the total 2.5 ha area approved for clearing has been completed.	
Two-Stage Clearing	6.2.2	Where possible, (i.e. where access to trees by the excavator is safe and practical), clearing of hollow bearing trees will be performed in a two-stage process where surrounding vegetation is cleared separately, before the removal of habitat trees to allow fauna an opportunity to move.	In Control	Two stage clearing undertaken as required and as per requirements of the pre-clearing assessment report that is issued to the contractor before clearing can take place.	

Injured Animals	6.2.2.3	The general practice of dealing with injured or captured fauna will be for the site operators to notify the site Environmental Representative who will arrange for fauna rescue or veterinary treatment. If the site Environmental Representative is not present when an injured or juvenile animal is found, the following steps will be implemented: • cover animal with a towel or blanket to minimise stress and place in an appropriate hessian or cloth bag; • move animal to designated holding area; and • contact the local animal welfare group or veterinarian immediately	N/A	Not triggered	
Stockpiling	6.2.3	Vegetation shall be removed from the area in stages and stockpiled adjacent to the clearing.	In Control	Stockpiling is avoided where possible. Material is preferentially translocated directly to the areas being rehabilitated.	
Stockpiling	6.2.3	Rocks and logs are to be redistributed to the recipient sites (as per the Permit to Disturb). Large boulders and stags which require partial soil cover to be secured in place will be moved to the recipient sites prior to soil translocation.	In Control	Rocks and logs are collected. Large boulders and stags are relocated as required where identified.	
Stockpiling	6.2.3	Where practical, soil stockpiling will be avoided, and stripped soil layers will be immediately redistributed to the donor sites. Soils will not be stockpiled for long periods of time. Soil horizons will not be removed during or immediately following rain to minimise the composting process during stockpiling.	In Control	Stockpiling is avoided where possible. Material is preferentially translocated directly to the areas being rehabilitated.	

Stripping of soil horizons	6.2.4	Topsoil from the donor site will be stripped from the surface in layers. The most valuable layer is the top 50 mm of soil which contains the majority of soil stored seed and propagules, plant nutrients and beneficial soil microbes. The top 50 mm of soil will be stripped and mixed with the cleared vegetation and stockpiled adjacent to or on the selected and pre-prepared recipient site ready for spreading.	In Control	Topsoil stripping and placement is undertaken as detailed. The success of this methodology is noted in the CWEA monitoring report.
Stripping of soil horizons	6.2.4	Stripping and stockpiling of subsoil horizons will be undertaken depending on depth of bedrock. Where possible the depth of subsoil removal should exceed 500 mm. Subsoil layers will then be translocated to the recipient sites.	In Control	Subsoil stripping and placement is undertaken as detailed.
Progressive Rehabilitation	6.3.1	Rehabilitation of the emplacement surface will take place progressively as each section of embankment fill reaches the finished level. Completed sections of the emplacement will be trimmed to even grades, and spread with approximately 0.5 m of soil (including subsoil and topsoil).	In Control	Progressive rehabilitation is undertaken.
Progressive Rehabilitation	6.3.1	Habitat reinstatement techniques such as transplanting dead stags, addition of habitat logs and woody debris, nest box use and installation reconstruction of rock outcrops will be undertaken as described.	In Control	Progressive rehabilitation is undertaken.

Landform Design	6.3.2	The surface of the emplacement will be reshaped in order to mimic micro-topographic features. Where possible, more natural concave slope profiles and slope angles will be used to limit the loss of sediment off the slope. The finished surface profile of the CWEA must be in accordance with the approved design contours (refer to Plan 2 and Plan 3).	In Control	Micro-topographic features are built in line with recommendations outlined in the Management Plan. Verified in field on a quarterly basis.	
Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.3.1	To facilitate successful long term plant growth it will be necessary to avoid capillary rise of potential saline seepage from the coal wash. In order to avoid the potential for saline seepage (which can prevent seed germination and retard plant growth), the emplacement will be fully encapsulated by soil horizons to a depth of typically 0.5m where appropriate.	In Control	There is no evidence of capillary rise in the CWEA.	
Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.3.1	Subsoil horizons will first be spread over the allocated recipient sites on the Emplacement surface. Finally, the remaining 50 mm (topsoil) will be spread over on top.	In Control	Soil horizons are spread as required.	
Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.3.2	All remaining stockpiles of rocks, logs and vegetation will then to be redistributed over the recipient site. Avoiding excessive soil compaction is crucial to maximising plant establishment and all traffic should be excluded from the translocated soil horizons once all materials have been spread on the surface. Habitat logs and coarse woody debris from the cleared vegetation will provide microhabitat for fauna and protection for emerging seedlings.	In Control	Stockpiles of rocks, logs and vegetation are spread as detailed.	

Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.3.3	Large hollow bearing trees are numerous within areas proposed for clearing. Selected large hollow bearing trees within each clearance compartment will be transplanted to areas within the rehabilitating emplacement to become standing dead trees (stags). Provision of these dead stags will provide fauna habitat which may otherwise take decades to form. The quantity of dead stags transplanted to the emplacement will aim to mimic the numbers originally present within the cleared compartments.	In Control	Large stags are being identified during the pre-clearance inspections and placed within the rehabilitation areas.
Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.3.4	To provide suitable habitats for certain fauna species (especially reptiles), relocation of sandstone rock outcrops to the emplacement will be undertaken. The location of rock outcrops will account for the thermoregulatory requirements of reptile fauna by concentrating placement of boulders and exfoliating rocks on westerly aspects of the CWEA.	In Control	Rock outcrops are being constructed as required. Soil capping and habitats are currently being built over the western side of the stage 3 CWEA. Pavers were installed in the rehabilitation areas to replicate habitat for the Broad-headed Snake and the Velvet Gecko in FY22.
Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.4	Seed mixes should resemble the local vegetation types (Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland (ESSW) and Sandstone Gully Peppermint Forest (SGPF)) to supplement rehabilitation of the emplacement and associated areas. Seed is harvested by contractors from areas of land within the regional locality, and will be spread over bare areas of the CWEA. Where required (i.e. in areas that remain without any, or poor, natural regeneration for a period longer than six months), supplementary planting of local provenance tubestock will be considered to ensure vegetation is progressively reinstated.		Seed is sourced from a contractor. It is not always possible to guarantee local seed due to availability in the local areas. Due to health and safety risks associated with seed collection on an active mine site, no seed is formally collected on the mine site and it hasn't been required due to seed being available elsewhere in the region. Supplementary planting has not been required to date.

Translocation of Habitat and Soil	6.3.4	A list of suitable plant species for collection, propagation and installation has been derived from the Species Impact Study species list and is included in Table 5.	In Control	Seed list has been provided to the seeding contractor. Monitoring results suggests revegetation is consistent with the listing provided.	
Weed and Pest Management	6.3.5.1	Weeds and vertebrate pests will be managed as detailed in Table 6.	In Control	Regular slashing has continued as required. Weed spraying occurred throughout the rehabilitation areas during FY22. No pest management has been required in FY22.	
Bushfire Management	6.3.5.2	Bushfire management at the site will be reviewed once the current <i>Persoonia hirsuta</i> research project findings are completed (which includes ecological burning). The updated bushfire management for the site will also consider the fire ecology of all threatened species at the site. Bushfire trials are being considered on the CWEA, in particular Stage 1 and Stage 2.		A literature review of bushfire and rehabilitation was undertaken in FY21. Coal wash samples were collected in FY22. This process, and the receipt of sample results, was delayed due to wet weather and COVID-19. The implementation of a trial burn in rehabilitated areas will be investigated following completion of the bushfire research and implemented in FY23/24 if conditions are suitable. The <i>Persoonia</i> Research report was submitted to DAWE in June 2021. The Appin Mine Bushfire Management Plan was finalised in FY22.	

Rehab Phases, indicators and Completion Criteria	6.3.6	The Appin Mine Mining Operations Plan (that also meets the requirements of Condition 33 of Schedule 4 of the Project Approval for the Appin Mine Rehabilitation Management Plan) summarises the rehabilitation processes for all surface facilities and sites associated with Appin Mine. Table 7 outlines the rehabilitation phases, indicators, objectives and completion criteria for the CWEA.	In Control	Progressive rehabilitation is undertaken to achieve the Completion Criteria. The CWEA Annual Monitoring Report details progress towards meeting these criteria. The MOP was replaced with a Rehabilitation Management Plan on 2 July 2022.	
Persoonia hirsuta management strategies	6.4	The Stage 4 conceptual staging plan will facilitate pollination vectors for <i>Persoonia hirsuta</i> across remnant bushland for Corridors 1 through 3 as shown in Plan 4.	N/A	Not yet triggered	Design plans to comply with this Condition
Water	7.1	Runoff from the active emplacement areas (or areas where the vegetation has not yet been spread) is directed to the emplacement water management system (i.e. Ponds P4, EP2, and EP3) for treatment prior to being gravity fed to BCD.	In Control	Inspections are undertaken to check effective operation of the water management system.	
Water	7.1	As the emplacement construction progresses, a subsurface drainage system is installed in the base of the cleared area. Emplacement under-drainage flows are generally clean. The emplacement under-drainage is pumped to the clean water diversion channel for release into BCD. If required (i.e. if the water is turbid), the underdrainage can be directed into the CWEA dirty water system. Overflow from the CWEA under-drainage system feeds directly to the CWEA water treatment system.	In Control	Underdrainage water quality is monitored monthly via grab samples.	

Dust Control	7.2	Dust impacts from emplacement operations will be	In Control	Watercart is in use on the haul	
Dust Control	1'	mitigated by the coal wash material containing	iii Control	roads and stockpiles.	
		moisture from coal washing processes and being		Todus and stockpiles.	
		<u> </u>		Cool wooh in compacted and	
		compacted once emplaced. Active emplacement		Coal wash is compacted and	
		areas will be vegetated as soon as is practical after		covered as soon as practicable.	
		emplacement and revegetated emplacement is		5	
		typically stable. The following measures are in place		Dust deposition gauges were	
		to reduce dust emissions associated with		decommissioned in FY21 following	
		emplacement operations:		consultation with the EPA and DPIE.	
		 regular inspections are undertaken to identify the 			
		presence of dry windy conditions and appropriate		No specific dust impacts were	
		dust suppression is implemented as necessary		identified in FY22.	
		early warning weather alerts are received that			
		predict adverse weather conditions and pre-emptive			
		dust controls are implemented where required. A			
		water cart is maintained on site and is used when			
		the surface of the emplacement is dry and airborne			
		dust can be created; and			
		vehicle speed limits are followed to reduce the risk			
		of dust emissions from unsealed roads due to			
		vehicle movements.			
		Air quality around the CWEA will be monitored by:			
		collection and measurement of dust samples from			
		strategically placed dust deposition gauges;			
		use of real-time air quality monitors; and			
		, ,			
		dust emission surveys and spot checks using hand- bald release to refer to the control of the control			
		held photometers (as required).			

Noise Control	7.3	Noise generated on the CWEA is from coal wash haul trucks and earthmoving equipment. The noise impact from these operations is deemed to be minimal as noise is naturally mitigated by the emplacement being located in a valley and at a distance of 1.5 km to 2.5 km from the nearest residential development in Appin. This is confirmed by the quarterly noise monitoring program and the lack of complaints about noise from the site.	In Control	No noise complaints received. No issues raised during quarterly noise monitoring.
Noise Control	7.3	Noise complaints will continue to be recorded and if a notable increase is identified, IMC will undertake further investigations.	In Control	As per Noise MP.
Visual Impact	7.4	The following measures will be undertaken to minimise impacts on visual amenity due to emplacement operations: • the finished level of the CWEA will be in accordance with approval conditions; • the land area dedicated to active emplacement operations will be kept to a minimum (typically 18 ha, maximum 21 ha); • the finished surface of the emplacement will be of a shape which complements and blends, as much as possible, with the surrounding natural landform, as per the approved final landform plans; and • completed sections of the CWEA will be revegetated as soon as possible.	In Control	The CWEA is constructed as per design. Progressive rehabilitation is undertaken.
Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring	8.1.1.5	Biometric assessments are required annually, starting at 1 year after translocation.	In Control	See last CWEA Monitoring Annual Report.
Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring	8.1.1.5	Surveys at control sites only required once every three years and the benchmarks as presented in this report remain so for the ensuing three year period.	In Control	Control sites last monitored in Spring 2020.

Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring	8.1.1.5	Photo point monitoring is required annually and done in conjunction with the biometric assessment.	In Control	Photo points last monitored in 2020. See last CWEA Monitoring Annual Report.
Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring	8.1.1.5	Meanders for threatened plants are undertaken every three years.	In Control	Threatened plant meander undertaken in Spring 2020.
Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring	8.1.1.5	Fauna monitoring using camera traps is required annually, starting 5 years after translocation or as deemed appropriate depending on the maturity of the revegetation.	In Control	Fauna last monitored in Spring 202. See last CWEA Monitoring Annual Report. Next round of Fauna monitoring to be undertaken Spring 2022.
Emplacement Monitoring	8.2	Permanent survey control benchmarks are established on stable ground outside the perimeter of the CWEA from which the monitoring stations can be surveyed. Survey heights are taken regularly to determine the appropriate design heights.	In Control	Emplacement contractor achieves finished levels as follows; 1. At regular intervals depending upon the coal wash volumes (up to 6 times per year), a Surveyor provides positive proof of the current levels against the IMC approved design. 2. Check of coal wash levels at 500 mm below the finished plan undertaken (allowing for soil placement). 3. Clarification of the emplacement heights and displacement is obtained using InSAR satellite monitoring.

Emplacement Monitoring	8.3	Compaction testing is nominally carried out ten times per year. Each testing campaign must take at least five representative samples. Compaction testing will test for SMDD and the results will be compared with a compaction criterion of 95% Standard Compaction. If after testing the compaction results are less than 95% then the fail area must be reworked and re-tested. The fail area shall be isolated from normal emplacement operation until results of re-testing indicate 95% or better compaction.	In Control	Compaction testing completed as per plan.	
Emplacement Monitoring	8.4	Runoff from active emplacement areas or areas where vegetation is not established is directed to the CWEA water management system (i.e. Ponds P4A, EP2 and EP3) for treatment prior to being diverted to BCD. Emplacement under-drainage flows are generally clean but have the potential to be dirty during the first-flush period of a rainfall event, especially after a prolonged dry period. Any first flush flows that are dirty are directed to the CWEA water treatment system (i.e. Ponds P4A, EP2, and EP3). During clean subsurface flows, or once the dirty first flush flows have cleared, emplacement under-drainage is pumped to the clean water diversion channel for release into BCD. The water management system is explained in more detail in the Appin Mine Water Management Plan. Monthly water samples are taken to monitor the quality of the CWEA subsurface drainage.	In Control	Monthly samples collected as required - refer to 14-day Report (Point 16) on South32 website.	

Emplacement Monitoring	8.5	Erosion and sediment control structures will be regularly inspected to check they are operating satisfactorily and to perform any maintenance work and repairs that may be required. Regular maintenance will include: • sediment removal from drains and sediment basins; • installation, proper operation and routine maintenance of any flocculant dosing equipment; • replacement and or repair of sediment control structures as required; and • repair of areas that become unstable following periods of high flow.	In Control	Monitored as part of quarterly inspection regime by Specialist Environment. Last inspection completed in June 2022.	
Complaints and Non-compliance Management	9.1	Community complaints and enquiries may also be received in person by any employee of IMC, with details to be immediately shared with the Community Team for investigation. All CWEA complaints received in relation to Appin Mine will be managed in accordance with the Handling Community Complaints, Enquiries and Disputes Procedure. Upon receipt of a community complaint, preliminary investigations will commence as soon as practicable to determine the likely cause of the complaint. An initial response will be provided to the complainant within 24 hours of the complaint being made, with a follow up response being provided as soon as practicable once a more detailed investigation is complete.	In Control	No complaints regarding CWEA activities received in FY22.	

Non-Compliance, Corrective Action and Preventative Action	9.2	Events, non-compliances, corrective actions and preventative actions are managed in accordance with the Reporting and Investigation Standard and Environmental Compliance/Conformance Assessment and Reporting Procedure. These procedures, which relate to all IMC operations, detail the processes to be utilised with respect to event and hazard reporting, investigation and corrective action identification.	In Control	No events or non-compliances relating to CWEA activities were identified in FY22.
Notification of Pollution Incidents to Government Authorities and the Public	9.3	In accordance with Condition 7 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and Condition R2 of EPL 2504, IMC is to notify DPIE, EPA and other relevant agencies of any incident that has caused (or threatens to cause) material harm to the environment.	In Control	No pollution incidents relating to CWEA activities occurred in FY22.
Reporting and Review	10.1.1	IMC will report on the performance of the CWEAMP in the Annual Review. The Annual Review is prepared in accordance with the requirement of Condition 4 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval and is submitted to relevant agencies in September each year. Annual Reviews are made available to the general public via the South32 website.	In Control	Annual Review is submitted as required. Copies of previous Annual Reviews are available on the South32 website.
Reporting and Review	10.1.2	The Emplacement Rehabilitation Monitoring Report is included as an appendix in the Annual Review.	In Control	Report was submitted to DAWE 11/08/2022.
Reporting and Review	10.1.3	A summary of the CWEA monitoring results (where applicable), including details of exceedances and non-compliances (as determined in accordance with Section 9.2 of the CWEAMP), will be provided on the South32 website in the 14-day report.	In Control	Report is available on the South32 website.

Exceedance/non- compliance notifications	10.2	In the event that an exceedance or non-compliance of the relevant air quality, noise or water quality criteria is confirmed, a notification will be made.	In Control	No exceedances or non- compliances associated with the CWEA were identified in FY22.
Review of CWEAMP	10.3	In accordance with Condition 5 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, the CWEAMP will be reviewed, and if necessary revised, within three months, of: • the submission of an Annual Review; • the submission of an incident report; • the submission of an Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) report; or • any modification to the conditions of the Project Approval (unless the conditions require otherwise).	In Control	Last reviewed and approved 12/12/2020 by State government, 28/01/2021 by Federal government. Plan is reviewed post submission of the Annual Review and is currently being revised.
Independent Environmental Audit	10.4.1	In accordance with Condition 9 of Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, and Condition 18 of the EPBC Approval, an IEA shall be commissioned every three years, that will include a review of the CWEAMP. The report is required to be submitted to the Secretary within six weeks of completion of the audit, in accordance with Condition 10 of Schedule 6. The IEA Report is also required to be submitted to the Minister of DAWE in accordance with Condition 18 of the EPBC Approval within six weeks of completion of the IEA.	In Control	The last IEA was undertaken in 2019. The next IEA is scheduled in 2022.
ISO 14001	10.4.2	External surveillance audits are undertaken on an annual basis, with recertification audits undertaken every three years. Internal Governance Reviews of the CWEAMP are nominally undertaken on an annual basis.	In Control	The last re-certification audit was undertaken in June 2022. The last Governance Review was undertaken in May 2022.

			Outcome	Comment & Evidence	Proposed Action
AUDIT REVIEW					
Section	MP Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			
Bulli Seam Operations Project Environmental Assessment	1.5	South32 has committed to clearing no more than 9 ha of SSTF over the life of the project.	In Control	This target has not been exceeded.	
Monitoring, Record Keeping & Reporting	3	Monitoring, record keeping and reporting will be conducted as per the BioBanking Agreement, Annexure D. This will include an Annual BioBank Report to include the information required under Annexure D, Condition 2.5.	In Control	Reports submitted as required	
Monitoring, Record Keeping & Reporting	3	A copy of the BioBank report will be included in the Annual Review as an appendix and be submitted to the Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (DAWE) to satisfy the EPBC Approval conditions.	In Control	BioBank report is included as an Appendix in the Annual Review.	
Management Plan Review	4	In accordance with Condition 5A of EPBC Approval 2010/5350, Biobanking Agreement 215 is considered to be an Offset Management Plan for the purposes of Condition 4 and therefore approval from the Minister of the SSTF Offset Management Plan is not required for this, or future, revisions of the management plan.	In Control	Updated SSTF Management Plan provided to DAWE for information in July 2021.	

BioBanking	Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			
Agreement		and the second of the second			
ID number: 215					
Use of the biobank	3.1	Except as otherwise permitted by this	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
site		agreement, the landowner must not			
General		carry out any act or omit to carry out			
responsibilities		any act, or cause or permit any act to			
		be carried out or any act not to be			
		carried out which act or omission may			
		harm biodiversity values on the biobank			
		site, including but not limited to any			
		native animals, native plants,			
		threatened species, populations and			
		ecological communities, and their			
		habitats. NOTE: The clearing of native			
		vegetation that is otherwise permissible			
		in accordance with the NV Act (whether			
		it is permissible under a PVP, routine			
		agricultural management activity (as			
		defined under the NV Act), or is			
		otherwise permitted under Part 3 of that			
		Act) can only be carried out on the			
		biobank site to which this agreement			
		applies if it is also permissible under this agreement. Item 5.1 of the			
		management actions contained in			
		Section 1 of Annexure C sets out the			
		limited circumstances in which native			
		vegetation can be cleared on the			
		biobank site. Annexure C also contains			
		limited exceptions in relation to when a			
		landowner is not required to comply			
		with the management actions contained			
		lin Annexure C.			

Use of the biobank site Cultural heritage	3.2	agreement is to be construed as authorising (including, but not limited to, by way of a consent, permit, approval or authorisation of any kind for the		As per Management Actions comments below.	
		purposes of Part 6 of the NPW Act) any person to damage or to cause or permit damage to an Aboriginal object or Aboriginal place in, on or under the biobank site.			
Use of the biobank site Obtaining of consents, permits and authorisations	3.3	The landowner is responsible for obtaining all necessary licences, consents, authorisations, permits or approvals in order to lawfully comply with and carry out its obligations under this agreement or to undertake or enable any other identified matter under clause 3.5 and/or clause 3.6	In Control		
Use of the biobank site Development	3.4.1	The landowner must not carry out, or cause or permit to be carried out, any development (as defined under clause 1 above) on the biobank site, unless the development: 3.4.1 - is permitted or required under Annexure C, or 3.4.2 - is identified in the table entitled 'Permissible development on the biobank site' contained in clause 3.5 or identified in the table entitled 'Permissible human activities on the biobank site' contained in clause 3.6		As per Management Actions comments below.	

Use of the biobank	3.5	The landowner shall be permitted to	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
	3.3	·	III Control	As per management Actions comments below.	
site		carry out, or cause or permit to be			
Permissible		carried out, the development specified			
development		in the following table in the			
		management zone specified in the			
		table:			
		* All Management zones - Any			
		development within the meaning of			
		section 127 (1) of the Act reasonably			
		considered necessary to remove or			
		reduce an imminent risk of serious			
		personal injury or damage to property.			
		personal injury of damage to property.			
Use of the biobank	3.5	The landowner shall be permitted to	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
site	0.0	carry out, or cause or permit to be	iii Goila Gi	The per management reasons commente selem.	
Permissible		carried out, the development specified			
development		in the following table in the			
development		_			
		management zone specified in the			
		table:			
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
		* All Management Zones - Any			
		development permitted or required as			
		part of a management action under			
		Annexure C, including but not limited to			
		maintaining existing access tracks on			
		the biobank site, building shed/s to			
		store weed control chemicals or other			
		pesticides on the biobank site, building			
		fences to manage stock on the biobank			
		site and building structures to restore			
		natural water flow regimes.			
		matural water now regimes.			

Use of the biobank site Permissible development	3.5	The landowner shall be permitted to carry out, or cause or permit to be carried out, the development specified in the following table in the management zone specified in the table: * All Management Zones - Construction of fencing to prevent stock incursion.	In Control	Signage and fencing as per the BBA are in good working order. There has been minor damage to the top strand of the boundary fence with the neighbour to the south from falling branches during high wind. A contractor has been sourced to make repairs in Aug/Sept 2022. However, there has been no known incursion of stock onto the site since the previous reporting period. The 2020 annual audit by BCT occurred on 3 August 2020. The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The Next Audit is scheduled for after August 2022.	
Use of biobank site Permissible human activities	3.6	Notwithstanding clause 3.1, the landowner may carry out or cause or permit to be carried out any human activities specified in the following table, in the management zone specified in the table: * All Management Zones - Any human activity reasonably considered necessary to remove or reduce an imminent risk of serious personal injury or damage to property.	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	

Use of biobank site	3.6	Notwithstanding clause 3.1, the	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
Permissible human		landowner may carry out or cause or			
activities		permit to be carried out any human			
		activities specified in the following table,			
		in the management zone specified in			
		the table:			
		* All Management Zones - Any activity			
		or any development permitted or			
		required as part of a management			
		action under Annexure C, including but			
		not limited to mustering stock or feral			
		herbivores including with mechanised			
		vehicles, spraying or mechanically			
		removing weeds, planting tube stock or			
		sowing seeds of native vegetation,			
		using drip torches, thinning native			
		vegetation, disturbing soil temporarily to			
		control erosion, encouraging			
		regeneration, controlling nutrients or			
		restoring natural flow regimes, laying			
		baits, trapping or otherwise controlling			
		vertebrate pests and feral herbivores			
		and overabundant native herbivores.			

Use of biobank site Permissible human activities	3.6	Notwithstanding clause 3.1, the landowner may carry out or cause or permit to be carried out any human activities specified in the following table, in the management zone specified in the table: * All Management Zones - Passive recreation, with the exception of overnight stays and/or camp fires, is permissible on the land to the extent that the condition of vegetation on site is not degraded. Passive recreation can include but is not limited to activities such as walking and bird watching.	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
Use of biobank site Permissible human activities	3.6	Notwithstanding clause 3.1, the landowner may carry out or cause or permit to be carried out any human activities specified in the following table, in the management zone specified in the table: * All Management Zones - Any activity required to undertake permissible development	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
Management actions and management plans	4.1	The landowner must carry out or procure the carrying out of the management actions in accordance with the timing, manner and requirements of Annexure C.	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	

	4.2	The landowner must:	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
and management plans		I) implement or procure the implementation of; and			
		ii) comply or procure the compliance with			
		the management plans in accordance with the timing, manner and requirements of Annexure C			
		NOTE: The management actions listed in Annexure C include requirements to take certain action and requirements to refrain from taking certain action.			
Management actions and management plans	4.3	Unless otherwise indicated by Annexure C, the landowner must ensure that;	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	
		I) the management actions to be carried out in accordance with clause 4.1; and			
		ii) the management plans to be implemented and complied with in accordance with clause 4.2			
Monitoring, record keeping and reporting	7.1	The landowner must comply with the monitoring and record keeping requirements as set out in Annexure D.	In Control	As per Management Actions comments below.	

Monitoring, record keeping and reporting	7.2	The landowner must submit an annual report complying with the requirements set out in Annexure D to the Chief Executive within the timeframe specified in Annexure D.	In Control	Reports submitted as required.	
Monitoring, record keeping and reporting	7.3	The landowner must notify the Chief Executive in writing as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any failure to comply with this agreement or any other incident at the biobank site (or surrounds) which results or may result in a sudden or significant decline of biodiversity values at the biobank site. In particular, the landowner must notify the Chief Executive of: 7.3.1 - the nature, location and time of the incident 7.3.2 - the impact of the incident on biodiversity values 7.3.3 - the measures that have been taken or will be taken in response to the incident 7.3.4 - any provision of this agreement which may have been breached	In Control	Trespass and unauthorised removal of trees in August 2019. Incident report was provided to the Biodiversity Conservation Trust as required by this condition. BCT satisfied with the report and actions taken by South32.	

Use of the land by servants, agents, leases or licensees	8	The landowner must incorporate all relevant requirements of this agreement in any lease or licence issued for the biobank site, and must at all times ensure that any servant, contractor, consultant, agent, lessee or licensee occupying the biobank site area shall be aware of, and not undertake any act inconsistent with, the landowner's obligations under this agreement.		Landcare have been provided a copy of the agreement as required.	
Change of land ownership of subdivision of land	9.1	The landowner must notify the Chief executive in writing of any change of: 9.1.1 - ownership of the biobank site, or any part thereof, within seven (7) days after the change of ownership of the biobank site; or 9.1.2 - lessee of the biobank site, or any part thereof, within twenty-eight (28) days after the change of lessee or licensee of the biobank site. The notice must include the name and address and other relevant contact details of the new landowner, lessee or licensee.	N/A	Not triggered	

Change of land ownership of subdivision of land	9.2	The landowner must provide a copy of this agreement, including a copy of each management plan and a copy of all records required to be kept under the record keeping requirements, to the transferee before completion of the assignment, transfer, disposal or sale of any interest in the biobank site.	N/A	Not triggered	
Change of land ownership of subdivision of land	9.3	The landowner must notify the Chief Executive in writing no less than 14 days before the biobank site is subdivided.	N/A	Not triggered	
Change of land ownership of subdivision of land	9.4	The landowner cannot assign, transfer, dispose of or sell its rights, title or interest in part of the land containing any area of the biobank site unless the landowner and the Minister have first agreed to vary the agreement to apportion the obligations and rights under the agreement in respect of that part of the biobank site that will be assigned, transferred, disposed of or sold.	N/A	Not triggered	

Right to enter biobank	10.1	The landowner must permit access to	In Control	BCT have been given access as required for the purpose	
site for research and		the biobank site at any time to the		of the annual audit.	
monitoring		Minister, the Chief Executive, an			
3		authorised officer or an officer of OEH			
		for the purpose of carrying out research			
		or monitoring in relation to the			
		biodiversity values on the biobank site			
		for which biodiversity credits have been			
		created under this agreement, but only			
		where the person has given reasonable			
		notice to the landowner and the			
		landowner's agent, lessee or licensee,			
		of the intention to enter the biobank site			
		for that purpose and the nature of the			
		research or monitoring that will be			
		conducted. In exercising its right of			
		access under this clause, the Minister,			
		the Chief Executive, an authorised			
		officer or an officer of OEH must			
		ensure that such access does not:			
		10.1.1 - result in physical or radio			
		interference which obstructs, interrupts			
		or impedes the use or operation of any			
		telecommunications network and			
		telecommunications service of a lessee			
		or licensee of a part of the land; or			
		10.1.2 - interfere with the electricity			
		supply separate from the landowner's			
		electricity supply to any part of the land			
		occupied by a lessee or licensee.			

Right to enter biobank site for research and monitoring	10.2	The Minister, Chief Executive, an authorised officer or an officer of OEH may make a written request to the landowner to consent to any other person specified in the written request to enter the biobank site for the purpose of carrying out the research or monitoring referred to in clause 10.2, whether or not that person will accompany the Minister, Chief Executive, an authorised officer or an officer of OEH. The landowner will not unreasonably withhold consent.		Not triggered	
Ownership of the land and registration of this agreement	13.4	If the landowner elects to identify the exact boundaries of the biobank site on the Deposited Plan for the land, the landowner must bear any additional costs of registration.	N/A	Not triggered	
Variation and termination	14.1	Subject to clause 14.2, this agreement can only be varied or terminated in accordance with the Act.	N/A	Not triggered	
Dispute resolution	16.1	Where there is a dispute, difference or claim (dispute), the party raising the dispute must notify the other party in writing of the nature of the dispute, including the factual and legal basis of the dispute.	N/A	Not triggered	

Dispute resolution	16.2	Within 14 days of the written notice, the Chief Executive and the landowner, or nominated senior representatives of the parties, must confer to attempt to resolve the dispute, and if the dispute cannot be resolved within twenty-one (21) days of the written notice, the Chief Executive and the landowner will refer the matter to mediation.		Not triggered	
Dispute resolution	16.3	The parties will agree on the terms of appointment of the mediator and the terms of the mediation in writing within twenty-eight (28) days, failing which the mediation will be at an end and either party may commence court proceedings in respect of the dispute, difference or claim.	N/A	Not triggered	
Dispute resolution	16.4	If the matter has not been resolved within 28 days of the appointment of the mediator, the mediation process will be at an end and either part may commence court proceedings in respect of the dispute, difference or claim.	N/A	Not triggered	

Notices	21.1.	Any notice, consent, information, application or request that must or may be given or made to a party is only given or made if it is in writing and delivered or posted to that party as its address ser out (in the agreement), or faxed to that party at its fax number set out (in the agreement).	N/A	Not triggered	
Annexure A: Maps of biobank sites	Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			
Maps of Biobank site	Мар А	Map A - Biobank site boundary map dated 01/03/2016.	In Control		
Maps of Biobank site	Мар В	Map B - Vegetation zones, management zones and photo points map dated 16/05/2016.	In Control		
Maps of Biobank site	Мар С	Map C - <i>Grevillea parviflora</i> subsp. <i>Parviflora</i> locations dated 09/05/2016.	In Control		
Maps of Biobank site	Map D	Map D - Epacris purpurascens var. Purpurascens locations dated 10/05/2016.	In Control		
Maps of Biobank site	Мар Е	Map E - Koala habitat polygon dated 13/05/2016	In Control		
Annexure C: Management actions and management plans	Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			

andard	Section	Stock must not be permitted to graze in	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
nagement Actions	1	any area, remove stock immediately		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
azing		- Ongoing from commencement date		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022.	
				Quarterly site visits for this reporting period, include: 20 Oct	
				2021, 16 Feb 2022, 17 Jun 2022, 13 Jul 2022, 04 Aug	
				2022.	
				No stock observed in all management zones on each site	
				visit. There has been minor damage to the top strand of	
				·	
				,	
				sourced to make repairs in Aug/Sept 2022.	
				Grazing by stock animals has ceased on the property to	
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
				·	
				·	
				2022. No stock observed in all management zones on each site visit. There has been minor damage to the top strand of the boundary fence with the neighbour to the south from falling branches during high wind. A contractor has been	

Standard	Section	Comply with Weed MP - Section 3	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	- Ongoing from commencement date		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Weed Control				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022.	
				Weed control at MZ1, MZ2, MZ3 and Transmission Line	
				(TL) easement and edges of MZ6 and MZ7 adjoining	
				easement on each site visit using herbicide spot spraying,	
				with a quick spray™ unit (in the TL) and hand-pulling of	
				weed species listed in BioBanking Agreement (BBA) 215.	
				Pls note: There is currently limited access to MZ3 due to	
				the ongoing above average rainfall. Without vehicle access	
				there is limited capacity to spray this area with a quick	
				spray unit and all weed control must be done by walking to	
				the site with 15-20kg knapsacks.	
				Maintenance sweeps for key weed threats through MZ6	
				and MZ7. No access permitted to MZ4 and MZ5 due to the	
				high cliffs and gorges, however no weeds observed in	
				adjoining management zones during maintenance sweeps.	
				Herbicides have been used on the BioBanking site during	
				site visits to undertake management actions (i.e. weed	
				control) in each respective management zone as listed in	
				the BBA. A list of herbicides used at each visit is available	
				(if required).	
				Slashing in the TL was planned for July 2022 however this	
				has been deferred until August/September 2022 subject to	
				more favourable weather conditions	

Standard	Section	Review Weed Management Plan every	N/A	BioBanking Agreement 215 only made on 1/2/17.	
Management Actions	1	4 -6 years.		The review on the Management plan will occur before the	
Weed Control		Notify Chief Executive in writing within		end of the 6th year since commencement - February 2023.	
		14 days of commencement of review.			
		Findings of the review must be			
		submitted to Chief Executive within 3			
		months of commencing the review.			
		Chief executive to determine if update			
		is required.			
		Landowner must submit updated plan			
		within 3 months of this request.			
		Update must cover matters as per 2.2.			
		of Section 1.			
		- Ongoing from first payment date			
Standard	Section	Comply with Fire MP	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	- Ongoing from first payment date		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Fire				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022.	
				No ecological burns are planned in any zone until at least	
				2026 and then the site will be reconsidered for future	
				ecological burns in a mosaic pattern across the site.	
				,	

Standard	Section	Review Fire Management Plan every 4 -	N/A	BioBanking Agreement 215 only made on 1/2/17.	
Management Actions	1	6 years.		The review on the Management plan will occur before the	
Fire		Notify Chief Executive in writing within		end of the 6th year since commencement - February 2023.	
		14 days of commencement of review.			
		Findings of the review must be			
		submitted to Chief Executive within 3			
		months of commencing the review.			
		Chief executive to determine if update			
		is required.			
		Landowner must submit updated plan			
		within 3 months of this request.			
		Update must cover matters as per 3.2.			
		of Section 1.			
		- Ongoing from first payment date			
Standard	Section	Do not light fires on the Biobank site	In Control	No ecological burns are planned in any zone until at least	
Management Actions	1	other than for purposes of ecological		2026 and then the site will be reconsidered for future	
Fire					
1 11 6		burning of if permitted as a permissible		ecological burns in a mosaic pattern across the site.	
				ecological burns in a mosaic pattern across the site. Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire	
1 116		burning of if permitted as a permissible			
THE		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire	
THE		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last	
		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last	
		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last burn was in 2004).	
		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last burn was in 2004). Acacia spp. in MZ 2 and MZ 7 continue to exhibit	
		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last burn was in 2004). Acacia spp. in MZ 2 and MZ 7 continue to exhibit senescence. Fuel loads approx. 25 tonnes per hectare on average.	
		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last burn was in 2004). Acacia spp. in MZ 2 and MZ 7 continue to exhibit senescence. Fuel loads approx. 25 tonnes per hectare on average. No evidence of recent fire activity during all six site visits	
		burning of if permitted as a permissible activity as per Item 4, Clause 3.6.		Monitoring observations report no evidence of recent fire activity during site visit (Management report suggests last burn was in 2004). Acacia spp. in MZ 2 and MZ 7 continue to exhibit senescence. Fuel loads approx. 25 tonnes per hectare on average.	

Standard	Section	No activities that will adversely effect	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	biodiversity must be carried out except		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Human Disturbance		those permitted under Clause 3.6		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
		- Ongoing from commencement date		August 2022.	
				·	
				In August 2019, a breach report was prepared and	
				submitted to the BCT in regard to trespass and damage to	
				the boundary fence and the illegal felling of CPW species	
				including Ironbark species.	
				moraumig mornbant oposios.	
				Comments as per the South32 Appin BioBanking	
				Agreement Annual Report 2022:	
				, ig. 5	
				Signage and fencing as per the BBA are in good working	
				order.	
				There has been minor damage to the top strand of the	
				boundary fence with the neighbour to the south from falling	
				branches during high wind. A contractor has been sourced	
				to make repairs in Aug/Sept 2022.	
				to make repairs in Aug/Oopt 2022.	
				No additional waste has been observed on the site during	
				the site visits this year.	
				the site visits this year.	
Standard	Section	Human activities that have negative	In Control	Trespass and unauthorised removal of trees in August	
Management Actions	1	effect on biodiversity are permitted if		2019. Report was provided to the Biodiversity Conservation	
Human Disturbance		they are listed under Clause 6 or if they		Trust as required.	
		are undertaken as part of the			
		management plans			
		- Ongoing from commencement date			
L	1				

Standard Management Actions Human Disturbance	Section 1	Must not store or dispose of waste - Ongoing from commencement date	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Action Completed Satisfactorily - No stored waste observed during site inspection. No evidence of additional or new waste was observed during the site 6 monthly visits (inspected 16 February and 4 August 2022).	
Standard Management Actions Human Disturbance	Section 1	Must take all reasonable steps to remove waste deposited by others, or which is otherwise present on the site - Ongoing from first payment date	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. No waste has been observed on the site during quarterly site visits this year. Action Completed Satisfactorily - No stored waste observed during site inspection.	
Standard Management Actions Human Disturbance	Section 1	Signage must be installed and maintained to deter human disturbance including dumping. Signage must be the biobanking signs available by OEH - Within 3 months of first payment date	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Signage and fencing as per the BBA have been installed and are in good working order. Action Completed Satisfactorily - New BSA signs have been installed.	

Standard Management Actions Human Disturbance	Section 1	Fencing of 3 km of the site. \$4500 allocated every three years to maintain fencing. Single sign to be installed at each of the two locked gates - Within 3 months of first payment date		Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Signage and fencing as per the BBA have been installed and are in good working order. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard Management Actions Human Disturbance	Section 1	Retain the management access track on the Cataract River side - Ongoing from commencement date	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Existing access track retained.	
Standard Management Actions Retention of regrowth and remnant Veg	Section 1	Native veg must not be cut down, felled, thinned, logged, killed, destroyed, poisoned, ringbarked, uprooted, burnt etc. Except in accordance with Fire Management Plan or Permissible Development under Clause 3.5 - Ongoing from commencement date	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Several CPW canopy species were illegally felled in MZ1 in August 2019. Some sections of the trees were removed from the site, no other evidence of vegetation being killed, destroyed or poisoned onsite occurred during this reporting period. No evidence or observation of recent ringbarking or tree felling onsite (except for the reported incident) since commencement of the BBA). Action Completed Satisfactorily - No evidence of recent disturbance to native vegetation observed. Previously disturbed area recovering well.	

Standard	Section	Planting required in the 0.5 Ha	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	Management Zone 3 - 250 plants.		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Replanting or supp		Record date of planting		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
planting		- commencing from first payment date		August 2022:	
				As per the Section 6.6 of the BBA, a planting program has been implemented as a 'local planting day", with preparation on 15/05/18 and planting on 22/05/18 for the species listed in the planting schedule. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard	Section	Protect plants from grazing for two	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	years or until 50cm high.		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Replanting or supp		Record the date when the plant height		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
planting		requirements are met.		August 2022:	
		- commencing from first payment date			
				Plant guards have been maintained around plantings.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

Standard Management Actions Replanting or supp planting	Section 1	Survey the plants for success - Conduct first survey 24 months after completion of planting, then every 12 months for 5 years	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Currently there is a 90% success rate in survivability of the canopy species planted. However, the seedlings are being significantly grazed by native and non-native herbivores on the site.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily.	
				Comments as per Annual Report (submitted August 2022):	
				Survivability of the canopy species planted since the drought has declined. Forty-five seedlings planted (of the 250 originally planted) have survived as of 4 August 2022. This is likely attributed to the drought experienced in the first 18 months after planting. The seedlings continue to be significantly grazed by native and non-native herbivores on the site.	
Standard Management Actions Replanting or supp planting	Section 1	Seeds and plants used for planting must be obtained from locally collected provenances, unless reasons to do otherwise. - Conduct first survey 24 months after completion of planting, then every 12 months for 5 years	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Illawarra Landcare confirmed by email on 26/9/19 that all plantings were sourced from Western and South Western Sydney.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily.	

Standard	Section	Don't remove dead timber except for	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	firewood for one household (landowner)		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Retention of Dead		or fencing repairs.		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
Timber		- Ongoing from commencement date		August 2022.	
				Quarterly site visits. Specific site visit for illegal timber felling in MZ1 On 9 Aug 2019. CPW canopy species were illegally removed. Observations made during maintenance sweeps for all zones during annual and quarterly sites visits.	
				No evidence of dead timber removal observed during inspection.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard Management Actions Retention of Dead Timber	Section 1	Timber brought from outside must be documented - Ongoing from commencement date	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The Next Audit is scheduled for after August 2022.	
				No additional timber has been introduced to the site since commencement of the BBA. Observations made during maintenance sweeps for all zones during annual and quarterly sites visits.	
				No evidence of dead timber removal observed during inspection.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

Standard	Section	Take reasonable steps to prevent,	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	control erosion		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Erosion Control		- Ongoing from commencement date		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022.	
				No areas identified across the site which currently require any supplementary erosion control or stabilisation. Observations made during maintenance sweeps for all zones during annual and quarterly sites visits. No evidence or erosion observed during site inspection. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard	Section	Don't remove rocks from the site	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	1	- Ongoing from commencement date		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Erosion Control				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022.	
				No rock removal has occurred on the site since the commencement of the BBA.	
				No evidence of rock removal observed during inspection.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

Standard Management Actions	Section 1	Can bring rocks from outside the site but once onsite cant be removed.	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Erosion Control		- Ongoing from commencement date		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022.	
				No rock removal has occurred on the site since the commencement of the BBA.	
				No evidence of rock removal observed during inspection.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Additional Management Actions Control of Feral and Overabundant Native Herbivores	Section 2	Comply with the Management Plan - Ongoing from first payment date		Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022. Negligible feral or overabundant native herbivory in all areas except MZ3. Grazing in MZ3 is likely by wallabies, kangaroos and goats (no goat scats observed onsite to date). In accordance with the BBA annual inspection required for species traces. Opportunistic observations made during weed control and maintenance sweeps for all zones during either the annual and/or quarterly site visits. Action Completed Satisfactorily.	

Additional	Section	Review Management Plan every 4 -6	N/A	BioBanking Agreement 215 only made on 1/2/17.	
Management Actions	2	years.		The review on the Management plan will occur before the	
Control of Feral and		Notify Chief Executive in writing within		end of the 6th year since commencement - February 2023.	
Overabundant Native		14 days of commencement of review.			
Herbivores		Findings of the review must be		Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
		submitted to Chief Executive within 3		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
		months of commencing the review.		COVID19 concerns. The Next Audit is scheduled for after	
		Chief executive to determine if update		August 2022.	
		is required.			
		Landowner must submit updated plan		Funding for this action will be included in the next	
		within 3 months of this request.		management payment. BCT considers that the existing	
		Update must cover matters as per 3.2.		management plan remains fit for purpose and no update is	
		of Section 1.		required at this time. It is recommended that this funding	
		- Ongoing from first payment date		will be reallocated by the landowner to other management	
				actions e.g. weed control.	

Additional	Section	Comply with Vertebrate Pest MP	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	2	- Ongoing from first payment date		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Vert Pest				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
Management				August 2022.	
				Minimal rabbit scratching/scat mounds observed in	
				transmission easement. No rabbit burrow/warrens found	
				on property. Numerous (generally inactive) wombat	
				burrows also did not show signs of rabbits in residence.	
				Fox scats were observed in the transmission line	
				easement (29 April 2019, 6 August 2020).No goat scats	
				have been observed during quarterly site visits. However,	
				there is potential for goats to access the site (and graze in	
				MZ3) as goats have been be sighted in the same gorge at	
				another site serviced by Landcare Australia at Douglas	
				Park. Liaison with Greater Sydney Region LLS is currently	
				in progress to include the site in the regions upcoming	
				Spring and Autumn fox baiting program due the presence	
				of fox scats at the site.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

Additional	Section	Review Pest Management Plan every 4	N/A	BioBanking Agreement 215 only made on 1/2/17.	
Management Actions	2	-6 years.	''''	The review of the Management Plan will occur before the	
Vert Pest	_	Notify Chief Executive in writing within		end of the 6th year since commencement - February 2023.	
Management		14 days of commencement of review.		end of the out your cines commencement it obtains 2020.	
Management		Findings of the review must be		Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
		submitted to Chief Executive within 3		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
		months of commencing the review.		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
		Chief executive to determine if update		August 2022:	
		is required.		7 (4945) 2022.	
		Landowner must submit updated plan		Funding for this action will be included in the next	
		within 3 months of this request.		management payment. BCT considers that the existing	
		Update must cover matters as per 3.2.		management plan remains fit for purpose and no update is	
		of Section 1.		required at this time. It is recommended that this funding	
		- Ongoing from first payment date		will be reallocated by the landowner to other management	
				actions e.g. weed control.	
A -1 -1:4: 1	04:	Fautiliana anna staidean at tale anna d	l= 0==f==1	ŭ .	
Additional	Section	Fertilisers or pesticides not to be used	in Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	2	except for weed or pest control		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Nutrient control		- Ongoing from commencement date		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022:	
				No fertilizers have been used on the site since the	
				commencement of the BBA.	
				No evidence of fertiliser or pesticide use observed during	
				· ·	
				site inspection. Herbicide use appears to be appropriate for implementation of management actions.	
				implementation of management actions.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Additional	Section	Not relevant to this site	N/A	Not relevant to this site	
Management Actions	2	- Ongoing from first payment date			
Control of exotic fish					

Additional	Section	Don't impede natural flow regimes	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Actions	2	- Ongoing from commencement date		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Maintenance or				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
reintroduction of				August 2022:	
natural flow regimes					
				No evidence of artificial structures being constructed to	
				impede natural flow regimes observed during site	
				inspection.	
				No artificial structures installed to impede the natural flow	
				regimes on the site. Natural flow regimes are maintained	
				on the site in accordance with the BBA	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

Standard Management Plan Weed Management Plan	3	- Spray/Slashing 4 times per year (MZ1-3). Some moment zones only required once per year (MZ4, 5 & 6)		Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022: Level and type of weed control reported by landowner is consistent with agreement. 2022 weed management activities: - MZ1, MZ2, MZ3 and transmission line (TL): Ongoing treatment of Paterson's curse, African Lovegrass, Spear Thistle, Bridal Creeper and Stinking Roger. The TL was planned to be slashed in July 2022 to improve efficiency of weed treatment in this zone, however this has been postponed until there are more favourable weather conditions. Hand pulling of weeds inside tree guards at MZ3 - MZ4 and MZ5: Management zone not visited: no access due to high-risk cliffs. No weeds observed in adjacent management zones. - MZ 6 and 7: Quarterly maintenance weed sweeps ongoing. Occasional spot spraying of African Lovegrass in these zones. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard Management Plan Weed Management Plan	Section 3	Site inspections as weed treatments applied. Annual inspection and Monitoring Report - Annually from first payment date	In Control	Included in South32 BioBanking Agreement Annual Report. 2022 report due 19 August.	

Standard Management Plan Fire for Conservation	Section 3	Fires intervals between 7 and 30 years - Once every 12 to 30 years	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022: No planned burning in any zones until 2026. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard Management Plan Fire for Conservation	Section 3	Exclude fire until 2026. Unplanned fires permitted. Must not burn >25% of the site at any one time Once every 12 to 30 years	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The Next Audit is scheduled for after August 2022. No planned burning in any zones until 2026. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard Management Plan Fire for Conservation	Section 3	In MZ5 totally exclude fire other than wildfire - Once every 12 to 30 years	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The Next Audit is scheduled for after August 2022: No evidence of recent fire activity during site visits (BBA suggest last burn/wildfire was in 2004). No evidence of recent fire activity observed during inspection. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard Management Plan Fire for Conservation	Section 3	Visual monitoring in 2026 as per MP table - 2026	N/A	Not required until 2026	

Standard	Section	Monitoring prior to and after burning as	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Plan	3	per table		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Fire for Conservation		- 2026 or following a wildfire		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
		-		August 2022:	
				No evidence of recent fire activity during all visits (BBA suggest last burn/wildfire was in 2004). No evidence of recent fire activity observed during inspection.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Standard	Section	Periodic trittering along fence lines is	N/A	BioBanking Agreement 215 only made on 1/2/17.	
Management Plan	3	permitted but must not affect canopy or		The review on the Management plan will occur before the	
Fire for Conservation		mid storey - Every 5 years		end of the 6th year since commencement - February 2023.	

Ctondard	Contina	Monitoring of number and imposts as	In Control	Commente de per lest appuel quelit by DCT (site visit	
Standard	Section		In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Plan	3	annual basis		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Control of Feral and		- No or negligible occurrence on the site		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
Overabundant Native				August 2022:	
Herbivores					
				No control required due to no or negligible impacts and no	
				or low levels of occurrence.	
				Tubestock planted in MZ3 to be protected with tree guards.	
				Tubestock planted in M25 to be protected with tree guards.	
				Annual inspections of species traces and potential impacts	
				by suitably qualified restoration ecologist or environmental	
				scientist.	
				Minimal rabbit activity observed. Heavy grazing of plantings	
				(above tree guards) in MZ3 due to kangaroos and possibly	
				goats – although goats haven't been observed on the site.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
				,	
Standard	Section	Protect MZ3 Planting	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Plan	3	- Review annually		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Control of Feral and				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
Overabundant Native				August 2022:	
Herbivores				August 2022.	
TICIDIVOICS				No control required due to no or negligible impacts and no	
				or low levels of occurrence.	
				Tubestock planted in MZ3 protected with tree guards.	
				Annual inspections of species traces and potential impacts	
				by suitably qualified restoration ecologist or environmental	
				scientist.	
				Action Commisted Catiofostovily	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
		L			

Standard	Section	Species traces and potential impacts	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Plan	3	- Annually		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Control of Feral and				COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
Overabundant Native				August 2022:	
Herbivores					
				No control required due to no or negligible impacts and no	
				or low levels of occurrence.	
				Tubestock planted in MZ3 protected with tree guards.	
				Annual inspections of species traces and potential impacts by suitably qualified restoration ecologist or environmental scientist.	
				2022 Monitoring observations: Negligible feral or overabundant native herbivory in all areas except MZ3. Grazing in MZ3 is likely by wallabies, kangaroos and goats (no goat scats observed onsite to date). In accordance with the BBA annual inspection required for species traces. Opportunistic observations made during weed control and maintenance sweeps for all zones during either the annual and/or quarterly site visits.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

tion 1	080 baiting	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	BCT
-	If warranted (Consult OEH/LLS)		·	Recommendation:
			COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	Liaise with Local
			August 2022:	Land Services
				regarding the likely
			,	effectiveness of
			·	undertaking a fox
				baiting program on
			implemented if required, in consultation with LLS.	the site. Fox baiting.
			No evidence of vertebrate pest activity observed during site	Action completed in
			•	Spring 2020.
			during site visits.	
			Following liaison with Greater Sydney Region Local Land	
			Services the site is currently included in the regional Spring	
			and Autumn fox baiting program due to the presence of fox	
			scats and observations at the site.	
	· ·		·	
-	If warranted		,	
			August 2022:	
			Annual monitoring for traces and scats to record date,	
			location and estimated number of pest species identified.	
			1080 baiting program for fox/dogs/rabbits to be	
			implemented if required, in consultation with LLS.	
			No evidence of vertebrate pest activity observed during site	
			inspection. Monitoring identified some fox activity.	
			Action Completed Satisfactorily	
	ion [- If warranted (Consult OEH/LLS)	- If warranted (Consult OEH/LLS) ion Den fumigation or habitat removal - If warranted In Control	- If warranted (Consult OEH/LLS) 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022: Annual monitoring for traces and scats to record date, location and estimated number of pest species identified. 1080 baiting program for fox/dogs/rabbits to be implemented if required, in consultation with LLS. No evidence of vertebrate pest activity observed during site inspection. Monitoring identified some fox activity in MZ1, MZ2 and in the TL. No Goat scats have been observed during site visits. Following liaison with Greater Sydney Region Local Land Services the site is currently included in the regional Spring and Autumn fox baiting program due to the presence of fox scats and observations at the site. Action Completed Satisfactorily In Control July 2012 annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022: Annual monitoring for traces and scats to record date, location and estimated number of pest species identified. 1080 baiting program for fox/dogs/rabbits to be implemented if required, in consultation with LLS.

Standard	Section	Qualitative observation for traces and	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
Management Plan	3	scats		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
Vertebrate Pest		- Annually		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
Management Plan				August 2022:	
				Annual monitoring for traces and scats to record date, location and estimated number of pest species identified. 1080 baiting program for fox/dogs/rabbits to be implemented if required, in consultation with LLS. No evidence of vertebrate pest activity observed during site inspection. Monitoring identified some fox activity. Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Annexure D:	Ref.	Requirement / Obligation			
Monitoring,					
reporting and record					
keeping					
requirements					

D. A	14.0	IDL. C. D. S. C.	L 0 :	O	T
Monitoring	1.3	Photo Points	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit	
		- Within 12 months or commencement		3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to	
		date and every 12 months thereafter		COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after	
				August 2022.	
				The landowner must ensure that photographs are taken at photo-points at each of the locations and in the direction identified in the table titled 'Locations of photo points' shown in section 1.2, Annexure D of the biobanking agreement, within 12 months of the commencement date and then at least every 12 months thereafter. No photos were taken from PP10 for WHS reasons due its location in a steep gully. This is an acceptable minor variation.	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	
Monitoring	1.3	Percentage of ground cover present on the biobank site - Annually	In Control	Comments as per last annual audit by BCT (site visit 3/9/20). The 2021 annual audit did not take place due to COVID19 concerns. The next audit is scheduled for after August 2022.	
				Quarterly site visits for this reporting period, include 20 October 2021, 16 February 2022, 17 June 2022, 13 July 2022, 04 August 2022. No stock incursion has allowed groundcover to be maintained and/or increase in density across the site over the previous 5 years due to the installation of the exclusion fencing (refer to photopoints for further detail) heavy rainfall in the region in 2021 and 2022 has significantly increased growth of existing groundcover (and weed species due the weed bank in the soils within the disturbed areas of the site).	
				Action Completed Satisfactorily	

Monitoring	1.3	Number of stock and dates when stock	In Control	As per South32 Appin West Biobanking Agreement Annual	
		have entered		Report 2022.	
		- Quarterly			
				No stock observed in all management zones on each site	
				visit. There has been minor damage to the top strand of	
				the boundary fence with the neighbour to the south from	
				falling branches during high wind. A contractor has been	
				sourced to make repairs in Aug/Sept 2022.	
				Grazing by stock animals has ceased on the property to	
				the south since the change in ownership and there has	
				been no known incursion into the site since the previous	
				reporting period.	

Monitoring	1.3	Physical condition of fencing	In Control	As per South32 Appin BioBanking Agreement Annual	
		- control of stock		Report 2022.	
		- control of humans			
		- control of ferals and overabundant		Access for management purposes includes South32 and	
		herbivores		Landcare Australia (land management contractor) staff.	
		- control of vertebrates pests			
				There is no ability for stock or unauthorized motor vehicles	
		- Quarterly		to access the site with the current exclusion fencing in	
				place.	
				Routine inspections conducted at each site visit to ensure	
				fencing is secure and that there have been no incursions.	
				Any incursions and associated impacts would be reported	
				to South32 and the BCT as per BBA	
				There has been miner demand to the ten strand of the	
				There has been minor damage to the top strand of the boundary fence with the neighbour to the south from falling	
				branches during high wind. A contractor has been sourced	
				to make repairs in Aug/Sept 2022.	
				to make repairs in Aug/Dept 2022.	
				In August 2019, a breach report was prepared and	
				submitted to the BCT in regard to trespass and damage to	
				the boundary fence and the illegal felling of CPW species	
				including, Ironbark species.	

Monitoring	1.3	Records of human disturbance - Bi-annually	In Control	As per South32 Appin BioBanking Agreement Annual Report 2022.	
				Access for management purposes includes South32 and Landcare Australia (land management contractor) staff.	
				There is no ability for stock or unauthorized motor vehicles to access the site with the current exclusion fencing in place.	
				Routine inspections conducted at each site visit to ensure fencing is secure and that there have been no incursions. Any incursions and associated impacts would be reported to South32 and the BCT as per BBA	
				There has been minor damage to the top strand of the boundary fence with the neighbour to the south from falling branches during high wind. A contractor has been sourced to make repairs in Aug/Sept 2022.	
				In August 2019, a breach report was prepared and submitted to the BCT in regard to trespass and damage to the boundary fence and the illegal felling of CPW species including, Ironbark species.	
Monitoring	1.3	Evidence of erosion - Bi-annually	In Control	As per South32 Appin BioBanking Agreement Annual Report 2022.	
				There are no identified areas across all Management Zones as currently requiring any supplementary erosion control or stabilisation (inspected 16 February and 4 August 2022).	

Monitoring	1.3	Evidence of waste - Bi-annually	As per South32 Appin BioBanking Agreement Annual Report 2022.	
			No evidence of additional or new waste was observed during the site visits (inspected 16 February and 4 August 2022).	
Reporting	2	Landowner must complete and submit and annual report to the Chief Executive for approval using the annual reporting template.	Previous report submitted on 19 August 2021. 2022 Report due for submission on 19 August 2022.	